	2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2

1

21

22

23

24

2.5

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Good morning. It's a delight to see all of you and to be here at the Court of Appeals, my favorite place. And this as you know is the second year of our annual hearings on the -- and really this was put together by the Task Force to expand civil legal services in our state. The chair of our Task Force is Helaine Barnett who is sitting right over there. Helaine, stand up so we can see you? The former head of the legal services corporation and the Task Force has been so instrumental in preparing for the hearings and we thank you, Helaine. We're really here because we recognize that we here in the judiciary and the profession, that our fundamental Constitutional obligation, responsibility and our professional obligation is to foster equal justice for all in this state. And certainly our view is if not the judiciary and the profession to stand up for civil legal services for the poor and indigent in this state, if not us,

who? I will recognize that these hearings are really conducted by the judicial and judicial leadership and leadership of the professions in the state and with me are to my right, Tom Mercure the Acting Presiding Justice of the Third Department, here in Albany, to my left is Chief Administrative Judge Ann Pfau, and to my far right, is Vincent Doyle, the President of the State Bar Association.

So, these hearings really do represent again the judicial and professional leadership of the Bar in this state, and we recognize, that it's not enough to just keep our Courthouses open, but inside those Courthouses everyone must get fair and equal justice.

These are difficult times, and particularly in these difficult times, the least advantaged in our society, are the ones most at risk in our legal system. As you've all I'm sure been aware as of late the recent statistics on poverty is mind boggling. In New York City, 20% of the population is living at or below the poverty

2.5

level and outside of New York State outside of New York City almost 15% of the State, is living at poverty level. And all of those people, the people who have the most difficult times are faced as all of us are with legal problems, really effecting the necessities of life and we certainly recognize aside from our constitutional mission and professional responsibilities that we have a moral and ethical obligation to support civil legal services, for the poor. Every civilized society, going back to biblical times, recognizes that it is judged by how it treats its most vulnerable citizens and certainly it's no different It's not only the right thing to be doing, it also serves the bottom line, of our institutions here in New York and other society and state government.

Civil legal services is a good investment for every dollar that the Task

Force found last year at hearing that every dollar spent on civil legal services in this state, five dollars are returned to the State, returned back to the State which

reduces social services, homelessness, incarceration and increased federal benefits coming to New York. When we allow the most vulnerable to fall off the cliff, we also suffer, that is something that was made abundantly clear to the hearing last year. This is the third year and this year we have one in Manhattan, one in Westchester and this is the third hearing here in Albany. Our last hearing will be Thursday in Buffalo. The Task Force remains active in preparing its report for this year based upon the hearings, and it's our independent research.

The job at these hearings is to measure the current state of legal services in New York and the gap in civil legal services that we have in New York State, and particularly as it relates to the essentials of life, the roof over someone's head, their personal safety, the well-being of the family, their livelihood, and their entitlement to subsistence, income and benefits. The criteria in New York that we have set and the Task Force has recommended

we have accepted civil legal services 200 people living at 200 percent of the poverty level or below to give you a sense of context. 200 percent of the population level is a family of four earning \$44,000 a year. When people in that kind of economic circumstance run into a legal problem effecting again the essentials of life, \$44,000 in a year to feed four people, to house four people, to meet their basic needs, is a very difficult task.

Last year, we through the Task

Force, we established a process in New York,
and pursuant to a joint resolution of the

legislature where we hold public hearings we
determined the unmet legal needs in the

State and how do we eliminate that or narrow
the gap. We put that money in the judiciary
budget and then the legislature and the
governor act. I think that's a very good
template for New York, to provide a

systemic, consistent, dependable stream of
civil legal services that last year enabled
us to obtain 27 and a half million dollars
in the judiciary budget for civil legal

services, fifteen of which we turned over to IOLA, whose moneys had been reduced through the low interest rates today, from 36 million dollars to 8 million dollars in one year, so 15 million given to IOLA and another twelve and half millions dollars was given to 56 providers through a grant process, in the New York State Court system. So, those monies were greatly helpful when you tend to do the exact same thing this year too -- figure out the gap, in civil legal services and put it in the budget.

The report last year was comprehensive in terms of civil legal services. We received testimony at our hearings from clients and business people, people from the banks, from landlords, from hospitals, attesting to the fact not only of the great need, but also that again it comes to the bottom line on each of those institutions and on society to provide civil legal services for the poor.

We also learned a tremendous amount about civil legal services in the State. In New York City, for every one

1.3

2.0

2.5

person accepted for civil legal services 8 or 9 people are turned away. Outside of the city it's not that much better. Last year over 2.3 million people, appeared in the courts of New York, without representation. Including 98 percent of tenants in eviction cases, 99 percent of borrowers in consumer credit transactions, 95 percent of parents in child support matters, and up until recently, a majority of people who come in, in foreclosure proceedings. 70% of the cases in New York, deal with the essentials of life, the necessity of life. And that includes family law, consumer credit, landlord/tenant and foreclosure cases.

We certainly are learning a lot more this year, and expect to learn a lot from the hearings today. We will hear testimony from a representative of the Attorney General, from Mike Coccoma the Deputy Chief Administrative Judge from the Courts outside New York, from a business leaders panel, from a judge's panel, and a strategic partnership panel here about technology and civil legal services and of

24

2.5

course, a clients panel to tell us what directly will benefit civil legal services, to the individuals involved. Certainly there's nothing more important to the judiciary and profession than meeting our Constitutional and ethical obligation to support civil legal services and equal justice in this state. That's the spirit in which these hearings proceed. I mentioned that Fern Fisher is here, the Deputy Chief Administrative Judge for the New York State Courts and heads our access to justice initiative state wide, Fern, I thank you for all your terrific work and being here today. Lillian Moy provided such a great assistance in putting together today's panels, and has been so active and productive here in the Albany area in terms of this whole issue of civil legal services.

There are other members of the commission here, who I want to thank for being here, I thank each and every one of you for being here, and I hope you all recognize that we're going to move these hearings along in a very direct way. We

2.5

have your full written testimony and when you see that the red light goes on, it means get a little nervous those that are lawyers, but don't get too nervous, we are among friends and family here, and what we want to do is learn about what our problems are with civil legal services, what the gaps are, and so don't be upset if we interrupt your testimony because we also want to ask you some questions, and we're going to proceed in this beautiful majestic place, and we have done this hearing here for the reason that I think the Court of Appeals with all its majesty and history, symbolize what this Court system is all about. And I want to tell you, what it's all about is equal justice. We pursue justice every day, in our respective roles, you know in the Bible the old testament speaks of justice, as shining pursuit for rich and poor, high and low alike and that's what we do, every day, and that's what every one member of the legal profession does, and we cannot do that, unless there is a steady, dependable consistent funding stream for civil legal

1 services and that funding, in large measure, 2 has to come out of the public fist and that's why we put that into the judiciary 3 budget and last year and this again year. 4 5 So without any further 6 discussion, let me ask Martin Mack, who is 7 the Executive Deputy Attorney General for 8 Regional Affairs to testify on behalf of the 9 Attorney General. 10 MR. MACK: Your Honor, shall I 11 come up here? 12 THE COURT: Sure, absolutely, 13 front and center Mr. Mack. 14 MR. MACK: Thank you. Thank you 15 for allowing me to be here. 16 Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Mr. Doyle, good morning. My name is Marty Mack and thank you for inviting Attorney 18 19 General Schniederman to address the hearings 2.0 on access to the civil legal services in New 21 York State. Unfortunately, the Attorney 22 General is unable to attend today, but I'm 23 honored to speak on this critical issue on 24 behalf of our office. Attorney General 2.5 Schniederman would like to thank Judge Pfau,

Judge Mercure and the New York State Bar
Association and Vincent Doyle for their
leadership. And I would especially like to
thank Chief Judge Lippman for providing the
impetus for these hearings.

Judge Lippman's efforts on these issues, has been nothing short of extraordinary. The history of the legal services movement in our country, began as a reflection of the widespread recognition by the legal professional, that we had a special obligation to ensure that justice was accessible to all, regardless of economic status.

For decades, legal services

lawyers helped achieve major victories in

every aspect of the fight to protect the

rights of the disadvantaged and they brought

in one cases affirming the Constitutional

rights of the poor, and help them enforce

their right to government benefits, the

honest treatment of consumers and access to

health care, and they advocated for

legislative changes if the courts could not

help. This all began to change in the

24

2.5

1

1980's, when the legal services corporation saw its funding slashed and mandate The budget for LSC had been cut attacked. and the same time the need for these services has grown. Today, the great recession has caused the ranks of the working poor and the need for the legal services to grow even faster. When the loss of a job or foreclosure on ones home or custody of ones child is at stake, justice demands nothing less than adequate representation. As the Task Force to expand access to the civil legal services, so clearly and ably illustrated in its report to Chief Judge Lippman last year, we are falling short of this ideal in New York state.

Nationwide the LSC estimates that for every client served by an LSC funded program another person who seeks help is turned down because of insufficient resources. Here in New York the Office of Court Administration estimates that more than 2.3 million New Yorkers are under represented as they attempt to navigate our

legal system. The funds for modern report for courts states in 2009 almost 3 million low income New Yorkers face at least one legal problem without representation and 1.2 million face three or more matters without

access to counsel.

Let me focus for a moment on one issue that our office is working on resolving; the mortgage crisis that lead to tens of thousands of New Yorkers battling to keep their homes. Every foreclosure represents a threat to these futures. New Yorkers threatened to foreclosure has only the promise of a fair legal system protect them from being homeless and having their American dream, die an unjust and unkindly death. And yet we know that all too often the system is not fair. Too many home owners have to go it alone when facing a foreclosure objection action because of lack of legal counsel. Even with State and special state legislature funding for foreclosure prevention services and the surrogate pro bono assistance across the state, 44 percent of the New Yorkers facing

1

2.5

foreclosures lack legal representation. lack of individual representation, in foreclosure actions is one reason why we have a systemic abuse of the legal system, by lenders and debt collectors. We have all heard harrowing terms and tales of abuse, including foreclosure actions brought against home owners actually up-to-date on their mortgage payments. A recent preview of bankruptcy filings in the Federal District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York by the New York Post, found that 92% of the creditors, asserting a right to foreclosure against bankrupt families lack the proper chain of title for the property they were seizing. For every abusive case uncovered, there are dozens upon dozens of home owners and former home owners who have been steamrolled because they did not have adequate representation. Abuses such as robo signing, sewer service, and improper legal documentation, only happen because lenders and debt collectors are able to assume that the overwhelming majority of home owners won't have attorneys

2.5

to fight back. The recent reforms enacted by Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, requiring lawyers or leaders to affirm that they have taken reasonable steps to verify the accuracy of their papers and residential foreclosures, were needed in large part, because of a lack of private counsel in individual cases, to identify, and object to false filings and to the surprise of no one, the newly enacted acted reforms dramatically reduced foreclosure filings in New York. Our office looks forward to being involved in the defense of this group. But we must do more. The threat of foreclosure remains all too real for many home owners. Federal Reserve Bank of New York reports that as of March of this year, roughly ten percent of the residential mortgages in New York City, and 9 counties of the Hudson Valley and Long Island, are either in foreclosure, or are more the 90 days delinquent and the pain is acutely concentrated in some of the poorest areas of our state, where the need to provide civil legal success is greatest. One of our

2.5

offices top priorities, is to restore New Yorkers faith in their public sector institution. We believe firmly that one of the best ways to restore a faith in a our state government is to ensure that the tax payers dollars are well spent and that we route out waste wherever that exists. Every public dollar wasted, is a dollar lost for critical services like health care and housing. That's why Attorney General Schniederman established a new tax payer protection bureau in the Office of the Attorney General to target contractors, pension con artists and large scale tax cheats who rip off New York State government and its tax payers. Legal service attorneys are a vital partners in the effort to save tax payer dollars, supporting them is a wise, long term investment for New York. As they help to make our judicial system more efficient and to achieve better results for all parties. With the aid of counsel, cases are resolved and are growing. Unnecessary adjournments are avoided and costly trials are averted. Judges and court personnel

2.5

matters to parties with counsel than to those who represent themselves pro se.

Effective civil counsel for the indigent and the working poor also has direct and tangible benefits for state and local governments. Preventing eviction and foreclosure helps to avert homelessness.

Keeping families together avoids costly foster care placements. And obtaining federal disability benefits in unemployment insurance, can reduce or supplement state and local public assistance benefits.

New York State is quite literally leaving hundreds of millions of dollars on the table, every year, in unclaimed federal benefits to which our citizens are rightfully entitled. Our state loses over 400 million annually, because under represented New Yorkers lose their rights to access federal programs like veterans benefits and disability payments.

Finally it is our offices duty to defend the State and its agencies in a wide area of actions and proceedings. Often our

office finds itself in an adversarial posture with legal service lawyers. While we may not always agree on the merits of a given dispute they are able and worthy opponents and their involvement in the process results in better outcomes for all parties. Our office has worked opposite legal service lawyers to achieve settlements and positive policy solutions, for this state and its citizens in areas such as juvenile justice, mental health and prison reform and legal service lawyers are not only our adversaries, but they are also our partners. Lawyers working on the ground in the community serve as the eyes and ears of our state government, including the office of the Attorney General. They help us to identify systemic problems in the community throughout the State and they provide early warning systems for emerging problems. Lawyers in our service routinely work with legal service attorneys developing affirmative cases. Our office's Labor Bureau receives complaints from lawyers about of violations of worker rights and

2.5

discrimination of the workers and attorneys in our Civil Rights Bureau work with legal aid lawyers to identify unlawful discrimination in the workplace, housing and schools. And our Health Care Bureau receives fits and leads to help to address the systemic problems in health care delivery. Often it is information from legal service lawyers, that enables us to identify and address patterns of wrongdoing before the problems become widespread.

Thank you for giving our office and me this opportunity to testify today. We must ensure that all New Yorkers in cases involving the fundamental struggles in society can gain meaningful access to the legal system.

On behalf of the attorney General Schneiderman we look forward to working with the Task Force to expand access to legal services, with our understandings, colleague Chief Judge Lippman and our -- with our partners in law and the legal profession and Legislature, to achieve this noble goal.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you

2.5

Attorney General for your very interesting testimony. I think it's so important that the chief legal officer in the state, comes in and testifies about important civil legal services. Let me ask you first something that I think you were every eloquent about in your remarks, you would think that the Attorney General as would so many other people who — or legal people who represent people in Court would rather not have an opponent and that people, without representation, and you win. Why is it important that, that everyone be represented? Why is the result better when people are represented?

MR. MACK: Well the bottom line is that, let's take the example of the mental health hearings. So much is at stake. The retention hearings where we retain an individual against their will. We deliver medicine against their will, you know, basic fundamental liberty is at stake. And an efficient hearing and proper outcome and a full airing of all issues could only be accomplished with the legal service

attorneys. We're in court almost every day
throughout the state, and such a retention
hearing could only work, and the rights of
the individual only be protected, with
Mental Hygiene Legal Services.

THE COURT: So everyone's rights
is protected when there's a level playing
field?

MR. MACK: That's right. There's no -- there may be a situation of the individual, whose liberty is at stake.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. On the foreclosure issue which is so important and I know the Attorney General has been so active on that issue nationally, in terms of a foreclosure impact on people, why is it that you think that the requirement that we put into place in New York, has resulted in a decrease in foreclosure filings? Talk to me in the context of when so many of the people until recently -- recently had no representation, you know, again in these foreclosure proceedings.

MR. MACK: Well, I think it's pretty clear, Your Honor, I think the result

is, the result is the effect of the cause and the cause here is that, the review of title, the filing of the documents, the service on individuals who are parties for foreclosure proceedings, has all been very faulty, and once they realize that there is light being shed on the proceedings that the attorneys who are pursing these cases are individually responsible for the accuracy of the documents being submitted, then I think that it gives them pause, it requires them to review all the documents and what they are finding in this review, are many gaps in the process.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So basically without -- without the strongest of the Attorney General, in the area, without the kind of requirements that we put into place in New York you can have a situation, where you have a foreclosure proceeding, the person against whom that proceeding doesn't have representation, it can go through and really there's no basis for gravitas to the --

MR. MACK: Absolutely correct and

I think basic jurisdiction of having good title and the right to bring the foreclosure is in question in some of these actions.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: You can lose the roof over your head and what is more essential and yet there could be nothing less.

MR. MACK: The consequence is huge and over time, it will become more obvious, how industrial and mechanized this foreclosure system became, with the few players, you know, pushing mortgages through, without paying attention to the basic fundamentals of recording.

important and I note what we are trying to do across the State is to make sure that everyone, at these proceedings, first of all make sure that the proceedings are real, and that once they come into court that everyone has legal representation, to help them because, even on the foreclosure proceedings, that do have a solid basis, the bank doesn't want the property back, they want to work something out, wouldn't you say

that's the case?

MR. MACK: Absolutely. The interesting thing in what you say and you don't see as many foreclosures in community banks simply because they hold on the paper, they need the customers where, and they monitor and deal with their customers and because they don't want the foreclosure. It is the huge banks that put in the mortgages and bundle them, that's the foreclosure, they didn't care about the customers, and they didn't pay attention to the basis of real property transfers.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.

question and it was just so interesting to hear your testimony, from your various statewide view of all the different things that the Attorney Generals Office is involved in then usually when you talk about the fundamental right to legal services, you think about a criminal sense, this basic right if you're in a criminal proceeding to be represented. From your perspective, looking at all those different areas that

you cover, would you say that there is as significant rights at stake on the civil side, when you're talking about your foreclosure and mental hygiene and all the areas that you touched on?

MR. MACK: Even more so in the sense too there are more people involved in the civil controversy and civil problem and the criminal justice system obviously liberty is at stake in the criminal justice system, but livelihood is at stake and an ability to have a secure future, is at stake to care for your children is at stake. And all these civil litigations at stake.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you can have something that is even more precious, if that were possible, than your liberty, at stake in the civil proceedings?

MR. MACK: I would think so and if you ask a parent what's more precious, their liberty or their child, it would be their child and they would overwhelmingly decline the necessary services and needing — that the the child needs during the administrative proceedings.

1	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.
2	Greatly appreciate your testimony Attorney
3	General.
4	MR. MACK: Thank you.
5	JUDGE LIPPMAN: And thank the
6	Attorney General. We greatly appreciate
7	that.
8	While Deputy Chief Administrative
9	Judge Coccoma is coming up I also note that
10	I see Ann Erickson from the Empire Justice
11	Center and Steve Banks from the Legal Aid
12	Society, both of whom have done terrific
13	work on the Task Force and appreciate their
14	being here today.
15	Judge Coccoma, it's terrific to
16	see you.
17	JUDGE COCCOMA: Good morning.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you want to
19	begin your testimony?
20	JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes and since as
21	you point out, Chief Judge Lippman that our
22	testimony has been submitted in advance, I
23	would like to just highlight portions of my
24	testimony, which I wish to comment on this
25	morning.

2.0

First of all, good morning to you Chief Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, Judge Mercure and President Doyle. I wish to begin my remarks with a quote, "there can be no legal justice where the kind of trial a man gets depends on the money he has,"

Honorable Hugo Black, United States Supreme Court Judge, circa 1964. Having been a judge for nearly 17 years including 13 years as as multi-bench County Judge, I have had contact with many unrepresented litigants that appear before my Court. My remarks are based upon my personal experiences from the bench, as well as the administrator of the trial Courts of the 57 counties, outside the

parts: First my personal observations from the bench, and second a summary and comment upon the efforts so far to meet the demand for civil legal services to the poor. The seriousness of the problem this committee seeks to address cannot be underestimated. The Courts which I supervise are rural, suburban as well as urban counties. They

City of New York.

24

2.5

1

consist of county, family, surrogate courts as well as Supreme Court. I am also involved in the supervision and education of town and village justices. And although the character of the community that these courts are located in may differ, the challenges to the litigants who appear in these courts unrepresented, remain the same. Often their entry into the civil court system is fraught with confusion, frustration and in many cases, fear. We all know that we are in unprecedented times in our state, in this nation, with unemployment as its highest level, evictions and foreclosures rampant and social problems related to the economic downturn being at an all time high. And it's no surprise here that more and more people are turning to the Courts for access to justice, and to protect their basic human rights.

To put the issue of representation in perspective over two million litigants appear without attorneys in our New York Courts annually. And you will hear during the course of your public

2.5

hearings across the State, from litigants who have been put in this position. hear of their pleas of helplessness and distress, confusion and frustration, when facing Court proceedings, on their own. From my own perspective and I believe I speak for judges throughout the state, a case that comes before me involving a party who is unrepresented, presents an added challenge. Unfamiliarity with the Court proceedings, the Court processes, and most importantly, a lack of understanding of the substantiative law itself, creates an impediment to the unrepresented individuals, which is impossible to overcome. It is simply not a level playing field. My first comment in Court to an unrepresented individual is to advise that person, of their right to be represented by counsel and their right to a reasonable adjournment so they can speak with, and reappear with, an attorney. However, these words carry little comfort if there are no attorneys available, either through a pro bono program, or a civil legal services program. In most cases

2.5

and especially in matters of consumer debt collection and foreclosure, a litigant will come back to my Court after having received that reasonable adjournment to obtain counsel, only to inform the Court that they were unable to secure the service of an attorney. And so, they must then proceed without counsel. Just like most judges throughout the state, I must then take the time to explain the court procedure to them, how to subpoena witnesses, how to ask a proper question, on both direct and cross-examination of witnesses. And how to serve papers. This extra time taken by the judge to try to be fair to the unrepresented party has the cases back up. And with increased case loads eventually this will reach critical mass in our Courts. In fact, it has in some courts. As a result of the reduction in force of staffing in our courts, further delays in the processing of cases only creates a bottleneck. Of grave concern to me as Administrative Judge outside the city, is the impact on the family courts throughout New York State,

1

2

which are struggling with maximum case loads, lack of judges and inadequate staff to manage these cases. Although 18 (b) attorneys and attorneys for the children assignments do provide services to a number of unrepresented individuals, in many cases there are not sufficient numbers of attorneys participating in the program. We need additional funding to train and recruit these attorneys. Unrepresented litigants present an ethical dilemma for the Judge as well. While the judge must take the time to explain the law, and its applicability in a case, there is a fine line that a trial judge must walk to be fair and neutral to both sides and to not give the appearance of favoring the unrepresented litigant. addition, given the time and ethical constraints on the Judges the explanation of the procedural and substantiative law that the unrepresented receives, is cursory at best.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge Coccoma, let me ask you a question in your particular area, you -- your home base is Cooperstown?

1	JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes.
2	JUDGE LIPPMAN: How many
3	providers are there in that area or is it
4	easy to get legal representation for the
5	Court?
6	MR. COCCOMA: That's a very good
7	question judge, there's no resident
8	providers in Otsego County.
9	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where do they
10	come from?
11	JUDGE COCCOMA: Utica or
12	Binghamton or Albany.
13	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that easy for
14	people in general?
15	JUDGE COCCOMA: No, it's easy an
16	hour drive to Binghamton, hour and a half to
17	Utica or Albany.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: These are
19	generally poor people?
20	JUDGE COCCOMA: Our local Bar
21	does have some pro bono programs
22	attorney-for-the-day type program, but it's
23	not enough. I was in court last Friday
24	afternoon and a litigant in a Supreme Court
25	matter was unrepresented, I gave that

1 individual the adjournment, the two week 2 adjournment to obtain counsel. So, access 3 to legal services in the rural areas is --4 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And I 5 particularly want to focus and forgive me 6 for interrupting you, I want you to 7 personalize this, when you see a -- when you 8 talk about the judge in the difficult 9 position, when he has someone who is 10 unrepresented and you have been there. 11 JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes. 12 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Not just in the 13 Supreme Court. 14 JUDGE COCCOMA: Family Court as 15 well. 16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You know it's 17 first hand, what happens to you, your role 18 as you started to say is the impartial 19 arbiter of disputes as opposed to being 20 neutral, what happens when you are asked by 21 someone in a serious case, that let's say 22 this effects their livelihood, the roof over 23 their head, their family, their safety, what 24 do you have to do, how do you meet that 2.5 obligation as neutral arbiter when you have

somebody just who has no representation and you know there's something very vital at stake for that person?

JUDGE COCCOMA: Well recently let me give you an example, I had recently, in a Supreme Court matter, there was a litigant defendant who was brought in on a contempt proceeding for failure to answer an Information Subpoena, on a consumer debt matter.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Which is very common today.

JUDGE COCCOMA: Very common, and they don't understand, what these subpoenas are. There was an attorney representing the plaintiff bank, the defendant was unrepresented. I gave him his rights and he told me he tried to secure an attorney, was unable to do so because it was contempt, which would have you know dealt with his rights, not necessarily incarceration, but he was subject to a fine. I felt I was within my rights to assign him an attorney. And I looked around the Courtroom, saw a local attorney and asked him if he was

1 available take an 18 (b) assignment and he 2 said he was. I asked the defendant to fill 3 out a financial affidavit, make sure that he 4 met the guidelines and he filled it out in 5 the courtroom room and I assigned the 6 attorney, adjourned the matter for a half 7 hour and put it at the bottom of the 8 calendar and when he came back in front of 9 me, the 18 (b) attorney had worked it out 10 with the plaintiff's attorney, the motion 11 was going to be held in abeyance, the 12 information subpoena was going to be 1.3 finished within a number days and the matter 14 was resolved. Having this other attorney 15 involved brought about a resolution of the 16 matter. And I didn't have to write a 17 Decision and the case was resolved. 18 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You didn't have 19 to be in effect the lawyer, for the -- when 2.0 you are not supposed to be the lawyer for 21 either side. 22 JUDGE COCCOMA: Right. When they 23 are unrepresented as I pointed out, that's 24 the ethical challenge for the judge. 2.5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: As to whether you

1	can stand there and let someone's basics of
2	life be impacted and yet, you are not
3	supposed to be their attorney and what do
4	you do? That's the ethical dilemma that
5	you're talking about?
6	JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes, you know you
7	are looking at the other side and you can't
8	help but say to yourself, am I the neutral
9	judge hear or am I
10	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Or the lawyer.
11	JUDGE COCCOMA: Lawyer. So the
12	appearance for the trial judge the
13	appearance of impropriety, that's the fine
14	line.
15	JUDGE LIPPMAN: How you walk that
16	line.
17	JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes.
18	JUSTICE MERCURE: Judge Coccoma,
19	you administer the courts in 57 counties?
20	Is that correct?
21	JUDGE COCCOMA: That's correct.
22	JUSTICE MERCURE: Rural and
23	suburban, would you say the problems is
24	greater in rural areas because of the lack
25	of providers in this?

2.5

JUDGE COCCOMA: Well, I think

what Judge Lippman pointed out, Judge

Mercure the lack of providers, to the rural counties, the inability to go across the street into the office of the legal services office, is an added problem. And as I said in my County one would have to drive to

Utica, Binghamton or Albany or to meet with them to see if they take the case.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Either way you can't win. If you're in the big urban center, they turn people away left and right and if you're in the rural area, you can't find a provider acceptable to allow you to have representation, so it's real is a Catch 22.

NUDGE COCCOMA: It is, and you know we have to rely upon the private Bar, to participate in a pro bono activity, to try to meet that and they do a great job, but essentially it's just not enough given the number and that's what we're seeing come into the courtroom, when I sit on special term motion calendar in Supreme Court it's -- I'd venture a guess that one third of the

1 people in there are unrepresented. 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think it's fair 3 to say, I'll say for a fact and I you know 4 you would agree, that in this economy, you 5 see more and more people coming into the 6 Court without representation. 7 JUDGE COCCOMA: Without a doubt. JUDGE LIPPMAN: And I think what 8 9 we found at the hearings, if you had 10 representation, a lot of times you never get 11 into court because the matters are worked 12 out before you even get to court. So it really it is this economic distress that we 1.3 14 felt in our state and country, has made this 15 issue of civil legal services, so much more 16 critical. 17 JUDGE COCCOMA: Yes. 18 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And now that we 19 disturbed you from you statement is there 2.0 anything else you want to say? 21 JUDGE COCCOMA: Well I see my red 22 light is on. 23 JUDGE PFAU: Judge one of the 24 things we hear about and you touched on in 2.5 the rural area issue we think of the case

1 loads in the urban Courts, the Family Court 2 in the city. But what about the multi-bench court that you administer, there are so many 3 4 of them. What happens to case loads there 5 in the intersection between rising case 6 loads with one judge hearing everything and 7 an increase in the lack of representation. 8 JUDGE COCCOMA: Well, if I can 9 use this as an example, Franklin County up 10 in Malone, New York, Judge Bob Mane, is the 11 -- he's the one judge in the County, he's 12 the multi-bench Judge. He just does it all, 13 and yet I have grave concerns as a colleague 14 and as a judge to take on way too much and 15 we have an inability to get Judges up here 16 to handle cases and with the increase in 17 numbers and in a rural county such as 18 Franklin, he's getting further and further --19 20 JUSTICE PFAU: The increases 21 aren't just in the urban areas. 22 JUDGE COCCOMA: They're in rural 23 areas without a doubt too, especially in the 24 Family Courts in the rural area. 2.5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Which we will see later on in the testimony, having the attorney means so much to the individual involved and you saying that from the perspective now of the judge and you will -- you see the business panel and you can see judges appearing on an every day basis and these cases and again you come back to the individual whose life is at stake in so many ways and yet no representation.

Now Judge Coccoma, whatever else you'd like to say?

JUDGE COCCOMA: Let me wrap up my testimony, with a few other comments. First of all, I urge and I recommend that you consider new ideas, to help stem the tide which is overwhelming the civil legal representation of our most needy citizens. In that regard, I would propose, and I discussed this with Judge Fisher, I would perhaps the creation of a perhaps a committee a statewide committee, chaired by myself and Judge Fisher, to look into implementing the ideas that this Task Force recommends throughout the state, taking into account how the law is practiced in the

24

2.5

various regions around the state, and to try to implement and simplify the processes that you may be recommending such as the forms, the preparation of forms, etc. When I hear of an increasing number of recent law school graduates unable to find jobs I asked myself, why could we not develop a funding stream, a steady funding stream, of programs which would provide an opportunity for these attorneys, who are eager to put their skills to work in public service programs to provide legal services to the poor. Perhaps this Task Force could recommend, that in exchange for a two or three year commitment to such a program, those lawyers would receive a reduction in their student loans, similar perhaps to the AmeriCorp program that we see many college students enter where public health provide participants with the assistance of the medical school tuition. This is idea which I believe should be considered and pursued, and recommend to the Legislature for appropriate funding.

As my time draws to a conclusion,

1 I again thank you for the opportunity to 2 highlight some of my concerns, to give you a 3 small piece of the puzzle that you must put together into a clearer vision for the 4 5 future. I believe New York can be a leader 6 on this issue of civil legal services, for 7 only we as trained judges and lawyers, can 8 truly understand and appreciate how 9 important it is, that a Court system truly 10 provide a level playing field for all who 11 enter through the Courthouse doors. 12 So let me close and emphasize

with the words of US Supreme Court Justice
Lewis Powell, Jr., when he served as
President of the American Bar Association,
"equal justice under the law is not merely a
caption on the facade of the Supreme Court
building. It is perhaps the most inspiring
ideal of our society. It is one of the ends
for which our entire legal system exists.
It is fundamental that justice should be the
same, in substance, and in availability
without regard to economic status."

Thank you very much. It's been a privilege to appear here this morning.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

1 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge Doyle? 2 (Laughter) 3 MR. DOYLE: I wasn't going to 4 correct you judge. 5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: President Doyle. 6 MR. DOYLE: Judge Coccoma, thank 7 you for coming and for your testimony. Two 8 things I'm glad you did touch on the some of 9 the -- on your suggestions and solutions, in 10 your testimony and I found that very 11 interesting. Two things, first of all, to 12 your suggestion that some of these exchanges need to be implemented statewide and there 1.3 14 are a variety of conditions in different 15 parts of the state. The State Bar 16 Association would love to work with you, and 17 Judge Fisher, on that. We have members 18 across the State outside the state as well, 19 but we certainly would love to work with you 2.0 on the simplifying and making standards and 21 forms and things like that. So, the State 22 Bar absolutely supports you and would love 23 to assist you on that. 24 JUDGE COCCOMA: We welcome the 2.5 State Bar Association's participation in

that.

24

2.5

MR. DOYLE: Terrific. The other thing is all of the ideas that you talk about you mentioned whether it's the forms, help center, do-it-yourself programs, the lawyer for a day program pro bono efforts are all wonderful ideas, but they take funding as well and that's one point I want to make about these do-it-yourself or help centers and they have to be funded and they have to be set and run and organized by someone and that is, it's not a cost free solution. Pro bono in addition as you mentioned I'm glad you said it and we heard it from the other witnesses that as generous as lawyers are even if we ask them to be to more generous there's no way that generosity alone will solve the unmet legal needs of this State. I think we all agree on that. In addition to that, we need to keep in mind the pro bono programs do cost money, it takes money and time to organization them, to coordinate them, you have insurance issues, it's not just a lawyer devoting his or her own time out there. You have costs

that are associated with that, connecting
them with the right program with the right
clients, making sure the work is done, done
properly, making sure the lawyer is
protected, so all of these solutions as
wonderful as they are they take money as
well.

JUDGE COCCOMA: I agree, I mean, in order to manage a good pro bono program you need an administrator and a staff to organize and in one of the projects we did in our County a few years ago with the assistance of the Rural Law Center out of Plattsburgh, New York, we provided free CLE programs to attorneys who get up to two free CLE credits who take one pro bono case for the year. And to organize that, we needed the administrative assistance of the Rural Law Center which is a civil legal services agency that needs funding.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you Judge

Coccoma. I might add in relation to

President Doyle's remarks, that it is a

puzzle, and that we need civil legal service

providers in this state to keep their doors

open, and to be funded through the public fists, but that being said, there isn't enough money in the world to be able to meet all the needs, through that public funding that's where the very generous and really spectacular efforts of the Bar have come in on the pro bono side. It's really the different part of this vast undertaking that we have to have in this State, and we need that steady dependable, consistent funding, public funding and we need the generosity and professionalism of the Bar. So thank you Judge Coccoma and appreciate your time.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, you too. The next group and you might say well why are we having a business leaders panel and what does that have to do with the civil legal services? It's somewhat counterintuitive and what does the business community care? Well, we will find out right now. Do you want to come up?

Buckmaster DeWolf, general counsel of GE Global Research, F. Michael Tucker,

President and CEO of the Center For Economic

JUDGE COCCOMA:

Have a good day.

Growth and James Barba, President and CEO of 1 2 Albany Medical Center. 3 Mr. DeWolf, if you would like to 4 start? 5 MR. DE WOLF: Yes, sir. My name 6 is Buck de Wolf and I'm here for General 7 Electric and I would just like to let you 8 know, that I'll give my prepared remarks so 9 I don't take others time. So on behalf GE I 10 want to thank --11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Move that mic a 12 little closer to you. 13 MR. DE WOLF: I've got one, can 14 you hear me okay? 15 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Perfect. 16 MR. DE WOLF: I want to thank you 17 Chief Judge Lippman and the Task Force to expand access to civil legal services for 18 19 inviting us to share our thought on the pro 20 bono legal services. This is a wonderful 21 effort in partnership of many of New York 22 State pro bono service providers to bring us 23 together to discuss this critical issue. We 24 are all public interest advocates in some 2.5 fashion. Some of us are full time public

2.5

interest advocates who otherwise strive to maintain a balance between more commercial pursuits and our pro bono commitments. We are, as part of that pro bono community, we can learn from each other. For me, my commitment to pro bono services and my work as general counsel still, as a corporation, are not at odds. Rather they inform and enrich each other. These days there is a great deal of cynicism about big business. And I believe that that actually ignores both the socially useful benefit of business and in turn the value that social responsible activities like pro bono can bring in the business side. At GE we consciously work on our own and with other major companies to find a practical way of applying the aspirations of the universal declaration of human rights, the UDHR in the business context and urging other businesses to do the same. In essence the UDHR demands that we respect the dignity of every individual and contains several provisions which address the issue of equality and access to justice and we strive to live by

2.5

that principal because you have a commitment to the values imbedded in that declaration and also too we believe that, a fair, just and stable society, one anchored in the rule of law is frankly better for business. the same vein one of the most important aspects of my position, is addressing compliance issues. Compliance with legal and ethical requirements these days is an area of growing complexity and an area for all in-house general counsel. Compliance, however, is more than simply not doing the wrong thing. It is also very much about the firm doing the right thing. Taking ethical actions because this compliance not only helps to resolve problems with individuals and communities, it also increases the company's long term value. Pro bono and other forms of volunteerism along with transparency, diversity and sustainability, should be a core value of all responsible and thoughtful institutions and aligns well with the societal value and the business value. I have found the several pro bono matters which I have been involved in

including fair housing, disability rights,

juvenile issues, immigration issues,

criminal rights and intellectual property to

become a most interesting and professional

enriching experience in my career and I hope

all lawyers, young and old, are able to

enjoy and learn from those pro bono

opportunities the same way that I have.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you this.

MR. DE WOLF: Please.

here, and let's see if you can separate them, and one theme is that the stability of communities in this State, and community right here, contribute to the bottom line, to the well-being of the company, the other theme is that it's the right thing to do, and as a company that prides itself on its values, we want to do the right thing.

Let's talk about the first one; how does having civil legal services, having people represent you, having the fiber of the community stay together, not having people fall off the cliff, how does that help you

in terms of your business side?

MR. DE WOLF: It's an excellent question, there was a press interview apparently held at two very similar times and they were not colluding and they asked Bill Gates and Warren Buffet what's the most important thing for any country from an economic perspective, and both stated the rule of law. And it's the stability that's provided by the rule of law, that allows an economy to thrive and individual companies to thrive and that setting is no different. And for us, a culture and a society, that is guided by legal principles and access to justice is really the most important thing for us.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So if people stay in their home, stay in this jobs, have their family well-being, their livelihood intact, that helps GE make more money?

MR. DE WOLF: It's a more stable society, it's a better work force, it's a better customer base, all of these things, GE is really dependent on the community to survive and to thrive, and as they survive,

so do we. So the one --

MR. DOYLE: Mr. De Wolf, have you read the American Bar Associations rule of law project, the report card they produced?

MR. DE WOLF: I have, but not to speak intelligently about it.

MR. DOYLE: It's very much what you're talking about, the American Bar Association actually generates a report every year, grading every country's adherence to the rule of law, and I'm aware that many businesses use this report, in addition to other information, that you're talking about to assess a particular country and what their adherence to the rule of law is, in terms of whether they wish to invest in that country and have a presence there and this speaks very much to what you're talking about. And of course one of the main principles of adherence to the rule law is representing a persons rights and giving them an opportunity to access justice and to be represented, if they need be.

MR. DE WOLF: Yes, we find that

2.5

1

that's the case globally, and it's one of
our main tenants in compliance and integrity
and the need to give our employee the
opportunity to raise issues and all of that
is, access to justice, that's key for the
success of the movement.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why is it
important as to the second prong, why is it
important to do the right thing?

MR. DE WOLF: It's interesting, you look at a company like General Electric which has been around for a long, long time and -- and we have lots of big buildings and lots of employees and lots of valuable things, but the most valuable thing we have, is our name. Our brand is the most valuable thing we have and the only way to protect that is by doing the right thing, and maintaining our representation. And that's about participating and actively being in the community and being a good member of the community.

JUSTICE MERCURE: You indicate it's your goal to achieve 50% participation?

MR. DE WOLF: Yes.

2.5

JUSTICE MERCURE: Are you able to achieve that goal?

MR. DE WOLF: Yes. As I understand it, I was pushing on that to make sure that I was comfortable with that, yes, it's -- yes we have there's a big place so that's really a US metric, because the laws and rules are different in other countries, and yes, it's -- it's very important for us, to allow our employees you have the legal the freedom to spend time, on pro bono and to do it right and in a professional way, as appropriate for our clients.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you Mr. De Wolf for coming in. Mr. Tucker, President and CEO of the Center for Economic Growth.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you. Chief
Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, Justice Mercure,
President Doyle, as a member of the Bar and
representative of the legal community, it's
an honor and privilege to testify before you
today. I want to thank you and commend you
and the Task Force, on your leadership in
addressing these critical issues and for
holding these hearings again this year to

2.5

keep and focus on this issue. In these difficult economic times, the Task Force findings are as relevant as they were when released last Fall. For more than two decades the Center For Economic Growth has been at the forefront of economic and public policy discussions, effecting 1.1 million residents of the capital region. Stability, efficiency, and quality of judicial system and fairness, equity and accessibility are important considerations for businesses, when they are considering investing, expanding or locating in any community. Tech Valley in New York's capital region are become globally recognized as a hub for technology clusters and the regions reputation and character are more crucial now more than ever in the business of development and attraction efforts. Confidence in our legal system should be one of our global strengths. Access to justice at all socioeconomic levels is fundamental to a vibrant economy and it effects our community, it's quality of life and enables us to attract business to the area and to

2.5

encourage investment by existing business, to growing standards. The unmet need for legal services burdens the economy as a whole, individuals and business alike will suffer from the consequences that lack of the legal services access creates, because legal services are an essential part of our social network. Equitable access to civil legal services is not about giving people a sense of entitlement or a means to avoid contractural obligations to landlords or business owners, but about integrating all individuals, into the social order, on an equal basis. The lack of legal services is no longer just an issue just for the abject poor, there are now newly poor and temporary poor individuals in our society, particularly with the recent floods and natural disasters in our area. They're increased needs of these services. Offering access to legal services is a sure path to help jump start the economy and make the family productive again. Governor Cuomo made it clear that New York is open for business. Our state cannot be positioned to do this without a proper access to legal services because that system is just as important as assets like new construction and human capital. Access to the legal services by all New Yorkers is fundamental to a vibrant economy and it effects our community, quality of life and our ability attract business.

me ask you a question; in this economy what is the fallout for business, when you have people going onto Social Services, losing their jobs, losing their homes, foreclosure or evictions, what's the fallout or the -- how does the -- what we have been lead to believe by the business community is a direct relationship to the bottom line of business in this State, is that the case?

MR. TUCKER: Certainly it is,

Your Honor. When individual families are

suffering financially there's tremendous

stress, not only on the individual, but

their spouse, their children, and that

transcends into education issues, work

issues and an ability to get to your job on

2.5

time be able to perform. It also prevents you from owning or continuing to own a home, open a bank account and to be a productive member of the community. It adds tremendous pressures on Social Services agencies and health care institutions. And then, of course, the downstream effect of crime, domestic violence, and other social issues, that really it's incumbent on the business community to ensure that these services are provided so that people can get back on their feet and get the education and training they need to get back into the work force and become a part of the society.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: What Mr. De Wolf called the stability of the community is obviously at stake, if people don't have access to legal representation in all of these essential areas of their lives.

MR. TUCKER: Beyond the added cost to business owners, and who are -- and other tax payers, who have to absorb the costs. If we pay for legal services civil legal services up front over the long term there will be a bottom line issue and save

all the tax payers money and provide opportunity for individuals throughout the state, to do better in their lives and their community.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.

JUSTICE PFAU: Just one question
Mr. Tucker, you talk about stability it's
not just for the economic growth business
here, but it's important as and for
attracting new business is what I'm hearing
you say?

MR. TUCKER: You may be familiar with the opportunity that we have here in the capital region and not because of the expansion of GE, of also, the fact that we're now a semi conductor, nano technology cluster of tremendous growth and opportunity with the Global Foundries project to attract countries all over the world and they not only want to see a rule of law, they want to also see the opportunity to ensure that the individuals in the community and the employees that they're going to hire have stability throughout the Social Services network, legal services, quality of life.

1 JUSTICE PFAU: Thank you. 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, 3 Mr. Barba. 4 MR. TUCKER: Thank you Judge 5 Lippman. 6 THE COURT: So what does the 7 Albany Medical Center have to do with civil 8 legal services? 9 MR. BARBA: Good afternoon. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Tell us? 11 MR. BARBA: Thank you, Judge 12 Lippman, Judge Pfau, Mercure, President Doyle. I've had the privilege to be 13 14 President and CEO of the Medical Center for 15 over sixteen years and during that time I've 16 seen a good deal. And against that backdrop, I appear here today first thanking 17 18 you for the opportunity, to be able to 19 testify, about the need for civil legal 20 services for the poor and underserved. 21 Those of us who are in health care, now 22 recognize that these times, are like no 23 others in memory, due in great part for sure 24 to the economy, but for the poor life's 2.5 complexities already are aggravated, and

often debilitating leaving many without hope and in fact despair. At Albany Medical

Center we see far more of the poor, than the regions other heath care facilities. We depend on the services of the Legal Aid

Society of Northeastern New York because we recognize that underlying the patient illness are issues that exacerbate the illness and prevent healing.

Let me begin if I may, quickly, by just putting the Medical Center in context. Our mission is the only academic health science center in New York that distinguishes us in the 25 counties and the three million people we serve. We are the regions leading health care provider with more than half a million outpatient visits a year, and 32,500 in-patient discharges, last year. We are the number one Medicaid provider in the twenty-three New York State counties in our service area. In the last ten years, Medicaid discharges from those counties, have increased by an astounding 50%. We also have a generous charity care policy. Last year we budgeted more than 45

1

24

2.5

million dollars, to provide medical services for which we will not be reimbursed. So we see hundreds and hundreds of patients, who are the poor, underserved, and working poor. These stunning statistics have a face, and remind me as I was thinking about this testimony of words that I actually wrote and delivered in 1969, at the centennial celebration at Notre Dame Law School. said all those years ago, law becomes justice, only when it is made available to all, on equal terms. But now, I understand there's a corollary to that thinking. this, delivering health care, means more than delivering heath care services. absolutely requires sharing our knowledge, that could lead to justice.

As an academic Medical Center we are productively involved in the community and understand increasingly how health care and the social determinants of health imply. At Albany Med College an alumnus and a gentleman who is a social professor of the college today, operates a clinic in one of Albany's poorest neighborhoods, here is what

1 he observed to me recently, if I may, 2 "people's inability to access justice, leads 3 them from frustration to hopelessness, and finally to despair." One of the biggest 4 5 battles I face he said, is to help our 6 patients overcome the overwhelming 7 temptation to just give up. And so --JUSTICE MERCURE: Can I ask you 8 9 essentially it's your testimony that your 10 patients certainly have significant medical 11 issues, but they also have very significant 12 legal issues? 1.3 MR. BARBA: Absolutely. 14 JUSTICE MERCURE: That's why 15 you're concerned about this case? 16 MR. BARBA: The two combined and 17 legal issues often prevent us from truly 18 helping solve the medical issue. 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you 2.0 another question, Judge Mercure raised an 21 issue which seems very obvious to us which 22 is that they're attendant problems that 23 surrounds an illness or whatever the medical 24 problem is, what about your bottom line, I 2.5 assume you want to treat everybody who is

coming in, and that needs healing, that's your job and you want to do that, isn't the fact that a lot of people come in, and they don't know how to access entitlement health benefits, that they have through whatever, the federal government, the State, private, is that an issue that your people come in, who just are divorced and they have no idea, how to pay you, or to pay for this health service?

MR. BARBA: Judge Lippman, it's an issue for us every single day. And I can give you anecdotally some examples. First of all, Medical Center Hospital is over 160 years old, and during that entire span of time, it is been our mission the mission of which I'm very proud, to take care of all who seek care from us, irrespective of ability to pay. So for example, if a patient presents to our Emergency

Department, the busiest in upstate New York, today, the first question that patient is asked is, do you need care? And if the answer is yes, we then begin an immediate series of questions with respect to illness

2.5

or the injury. Much later on in the process quite frankly the issue of ability to pay comes up. And when we find out that there's no ability, or that perhaps the patient may be Medicaid eligible but not a Medicaid patient, we begin then to have our social worker who frankly has been trained by the Legal Aid Society of Northeast New York to intercede with the patients to assist them in any way that we're able to assist.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: The bottom line is, well I know, your commitment to heal the sick you are not a charitable organization, you have to keep going, is that not correct?

MR. BARBA: At the end of the day, if we do not operate the Medical Center as a business, we would go out of business, that is.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exactly.

MR. BARBA: That 45 million dollars that we have budgeted for 2011, we will spend comes right off the bottom line. In other words, had we not these issues the money would flow right to the bottom line and I'd be able to re-invest in the

community, but it's gone it's because we have to provide free care to a large and increasingly large pool of indigent patients.

that I hear from all of you, is that yes, we want to do good deeds within our society and do what we're supposed to do, but also civil legal services for the poor, helps our business, our institution keep the economy in each of your individual cases, and the good of the State, keeps it going and keeps it successful and allows it to continue to perform services. Judge Pfau?

Say particularly where in your health care facility are you feeling it twice? There's a number of times today where we hear the legal issues are killing us, the stress of this and often people's health is suffering and your patients, with health related issues because of the stresses on them not having lawyers and you're also trying to help them access their medical rights and they don't have a lawyer, so you're getting

1 caught in the middle? 2 MR. BARBA: Absolutely, as I said 3 earlier, and I mean this very sincerely, we're not in the assistance of legal aid. 4 5 Lillian Moy and her folks and Legal Aid of 6 Northeastern New York we probably would not 7 be able to battle our way through those issues and those choices. 8 9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you 10 all for your services to the community, and 11 for coming in today. 12 We now have the Judge's panel the 13 Honorable Leslie Stein from the Appellate 14 Division Third Department, Honorable Timothy 15 Breen from the Warren County Family Court, 16 and Honorable Christine Clark from 17 Schenectady County Family Court. Judges 18 all, welcome. 19 JUDGE STEIN: I've never sat in 20 this seat before. 21 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's not easy 22 being a witness; watch for the red light. 23 Go ahead. 24 JUDGE STEIN: As you know, I 2.5 currently serve as a Supreme Court Justice

sitting in the Appellate Division for the Third Department and I want to thank you, Chief Judge Lippman, Chief Administrative Judge, Judge Pfau, Presiding Judge Mercure and President Doyle for the opportunity to present testimony at this hearing, on this very important topic.

I applaud the Chief Judge and the Task Force, for there groundbreaking work in investigating the need for civil legal services in New York, and for recommending a means to increase resources for such services.

After I served as law clerk to
the Schenectady County Family Court judge
after law school, where my colleague now
sits, I practiced matrimonial and family law
in the capital district for more than ten
years before I was appointed to the bench.
I have served as a judge since 1997, when I
was appointed to the Albany City Court. In
2002 I became a Justice of the Supreme
Court, and in 2008 I was appointed to the
Appellate Division. And as you might
imagine, I have witnessed the need for civil

1 legal services, from a variety of 2 perspectives throughout my career. As a 3 practitioner I found when the adverse party 4 was unrepresented, there often would be 5 delays in the proceeding, negotiations, 6 settlement and trials. This type of delay 7 made representation of my own clients more 8 time consuming difficult, and --9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you didn't 10 like it, when you had no opponent and you're 11 dealing with a pro se? 12 JUDGE STEIN: No at all. I would 13 do everything within my power to steer the 14 other party to a good lawyer. 15 JUDGE LIPPMAN: But you don't do 16 your job unless there's someone to talk to 17 and understand the legal context? 18 JUDGE STEIN: My partner and I 19 used to say, in the matrimonial field that 2.0 if we had a good lawyer on the other side we 21 can settle the case in about twenty minutes, 22 the rest of the work was about convincing 23 ours client that was a good settlement for 24 them. So, yes. 2.5 JUSTICE MERCURE: Could you

focus on the civil appeals pro bono pilot program?

JUDGE STEIN: Yes absolutely, and this is something that I just recently came to learn about, the civil appeals pro bono program, yes, and I learned about it in preparing for this testimony, that the Legal Project, here in the Albany, as well as New York State Bar Association, and the Rural Law Center joined together to administer this program. It is a family law appeals project and in the Third Department, during its pilot period that project approximately had 36 applications and I'm told so far and has provided appellate representation to six litigants in Family Court, family law matters. And you know, I want to add that this is a tremendous program, because I think that often times people don't realize the really the need for representation in appellate matters, and also that you know, we heard today already I am sure you heard around the State about you know well about the scarcity of resources, but I think that those resources are even more scarce in our

2.5

1 Appellate Courts. 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge Stein, I 3 think that, just for the benefit of the audience that in Family Court some people 4 5 are represented and that's the State 6 requirement that the People have to have 7 representation in certain kinds of 8 proceedings. 9 JUDGE STEIN: Correct. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Others it 11 doesn't. 12 JUDGE STEIN: Right. 13 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And the 14 particularly related problems that come into 15 Family Court, on appeal matters which is 16 what you're talking about, generally would 17 not have a right to representation. 18 JUDGE STEIN: Right. Often times 19 we will get applications for poor person 2.0 status in the Appellate Division and the 21 most that we can do for the litigants is to 22 reduce their filing fees, or reduce the 23 number of briefs and records that they have 24 to submit. But otherwise you know they're

2.5

on their own, and you know these cases

involve worker compensation cases,

unemployment compensation, we have many,

many inmate grievance, and disciplinary

matters, and these folks just simply are on

their own, with very little direction.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're some

programs that try to represent the indigent,

JUDGE STEIN: Absolutely, yes.

you know all of those different kinds of

people, but few and far between?

MR. DOYLE: Judge, if I might first of all, Justice Stein welcome and thank you for mentioning the civil appeal pro bono pilot project that is the first year that the State Bar worked with the Rural Law Center, and the legal project, and we certainly appreciate the Third Department in the consideration and administration and the leadership of the Third Department and is very helpful in helping us get that up and running.

As you mentioned, there were these 6 screened and the number of applicants 6 litigants were able to arrange services for and I'm happy to report on the

2.5

six cases six of them resulted in favorable 1 2 decisions on appeal, so those are six people 3 who, you know, four people justice was 4 served on appeal who otherwise may not have 5 been able to pursue an appeal and Judge 6 Lippman you'll be pleased to know just last 7 week the Executive Committee of the State 8 Bar voted on the recommendation before 9 running this program, to expand it, to seek 10 permission from the Fourth Department to 11 expand it into the Fourth Department and to 12 expand it beyond family law into other areas 13 of the essentials that you talked about, 14 housing and benefits and things like that. 15 So, thank you Judge Stein for mentioning 16 that. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. 18 JUDGE STEIN: You know when you 19 talk about housing and those types of 2.0 matters I can say this, that for the 21 majority of five years in the Albany City 22 Court where I presided over civil, 23 commercial and small claim matters. 24 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You did housing? 2.5 JUDGE STEIN: Yes.

1 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And how many 2 people where there are evictions proceedings 3 were people represented in general? 4 JUDGE STEIN: The vast majority 5 of the tenants were not represented. 6 JUDGE LIPPMAN: What problems 7 does that cause for you? 8 JUDGE STEIN: Well --9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Aside from the 10 problems to the individual? 11 JUDGE STEIN: Right. Well for 12 the most part, the problem really is that, 1.3 the unrepresented tenants were often had 14 legitimate defenses to the eviction 15 proceedings which they either were unaware 16 of or unable to articulate, and as I think 17 Judge Coccoma mentioned earlier, that puts 18 the judge in a very difficult position, 19 because we want to preside impartially but 2.0 of course we had to see that justice is 21 done. So that, that makes things difficult 22 and certainly prolongs proceedings. 23 very fortunate in the Albany City Court to 24 have a number of pro bono attorneys through 2.5 the Legal Aid Society and this is something

1 that I don't think has been mentioned yet, 2 alternative dispute resolution which is I 3 had almost every day, a mediator a volunteer 4 mediator, who was present and available to 5 sit down with all litigants in all sorts of 6 cases in my court, but including eviction 7 proceedings, and I found that very often 8 tenants that might otherwise not be able to 9 achieve the best outcome were able to do so, 10 through the efforts of a mediator. So that 11 was very helpful. 12 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, Judge 1.3 Stein. Have you got anything else to say? 14 JUDGE STEIN: No. The only other 15 thing I guess I would add to that would be, 16 there has been some discussion about consume 17 credit cases and foreclosure and I think 18 that foreclosures have gotten a lot of 19 attention lately, but consumer credit cases 2.0 are equally significant to the debtor 21 involved. 22 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Especially as of 23 late, huh? 24 JUDGE STEIN: Especially as of 2.5 late. And I experienced that in a very big

1 way in City Court with certain banks and you 2 know their processes, that were very 3 automated and very difficult for the debtor to deal with, and it's an area that merits 4 5 very serious consideration. 6 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And very much 7 within what we call the necessities of life. 8 JUDGE STEIN: Very much so. 9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you Justice 10 Stein. We appreciate that. J. Timothy 11 Breen, the Honorable, Warren County Family 12 Court, you're on. 1.3 JUDGE BREEN: Thank you. Good 14 morning everybody, good afternoon. I want 15 to thank Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, Judge 16 Mercure and President Doyle. And I want to 17 thank Judge Lippman and in particular Chief 18 Administrative Judge Pfau and the 19 chairperson of the Task Force, Ms. Barnett, 2.0 for giving me the opportunity to testify 21 today, on this very important topic. 22 There is a continuing unmet need 23 for civil legal services in the State of New 24 York, and the time for the legislature to 2.5 provide stable funding for them is now.

1	judiciary has spoken and it unequivocally
2	continues to maintain that civil legal
3	assistance is required, for the most
4	vulnerable low income New Yorkers who are
5	facing the loss of their family, their
6	shelter, their personal safety, and their
7	economic resources. The purpose of my
8	testimony today is not to repeat the same
9	testimony that you heard last year from
10	judges in support of the four findings that
11	were stated in the report of the Task Force
12	in 2010; however, one cannot dispute that
13	our current status quo is not acceptable.
14	As you mentioned Judge Lippman in
15	your opening remarks, the number of
16	Americans that are currently living in
17	poverty is increasing annually. That means
18	more business for the Family Court system in
19	particular.
20	JUDGE LIPPMAN: You feel it every
21	day.
22	JUDGE BREEN: Yes.
23	JUDGE LIPPMAN: The fact that the
24	economics in your courtroom?
25	JUDGE BREEN: I do, I do. And

1	sometimes I feel like the ship is sinking
2	because the volume is increased so much.
3	JUSTICE MERCURE: Judge Breen,
4	you have been a Family Court judge for 12
5	years?
6	JUDGE BREEN: 13, I have been a
7	support magistrate for fourteen years and I
8	have 27 years in the Family Court system,
9	and I have been an acting Supreme Court
10	Justice for 11 years.
11	JUSTICE MERCURE: So the
12	problems now in Family Court, are far worse
13	than they've ever been?
14	JUDGE BREEN: Yes. First of all
15	the numbers are up significantly, during my
16	tenure in the Family Court of 27 years.
17	It's dramatic the increases of time. We
18	have very little time any longer to
19	conference cases, the bench calendars need
20	to be moved.
21	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's the
22	economy do you see these people every
23	day?
24	JUDGE BREEN: I do.
25	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What does the

economy do to the people's lives that bring them to court?

JUDGE BREEN: Well, I think one of the statistics that just came out in the New York Times article that you mentioned in the census report, there was a specific quote from the Director for the Institute of Research and Poverty at the University of Wisconsin, at Madison, and he talked about the fact that he believed we're risking a new underclass. That young less educated adults, mainly men, can't support their children and form a stable family because they're jobless. I see those men every day. I try to put the cement together because I need two parents to raise children. men are angry, they're very angry in the courtroom because and frankly I don't think they understand what's going on and this is a common problem with pro se litigants, and some reactions instead of questioning with anger and as you know security is a problem in the Family Court system. I'll say that all the --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Security and

2.5

1

time.

24

2.5

1

JUDGE BREEN: Certainly. One of the things I want to draw to your attention and it's been mentioned in general, how much more complex the Family Court proceedings have become over the years. And I gave you an example in my testimony, which may sound a bit outlandish, but it's actually from a fact pattern where a mother of four children in her care recently separated from the husband, she files a paternity petition, naming two to three men as the putative father of a new born child. She then had to name her husband because of the presumption of legitimacy in the paternity action and she also filed custody, child support, family offense petitions, because of the alleged DV in the home. The husband counter-files with his custody, child support and family offense petition, due to the domestic violence allegations which we ordered an investigation about the child the protective people stepped in and eventually neglect proceedings were brought and under Article 10 by the Department. My point was

2.5

to stress to you this becomes such a complicated affair, at the time of the arraignment of the neglect petitions there could be possibly 21 people involved in this case scenario. There are 11 parties present, ten attorneys. The day and age of Family Court mom and dad doesn't exist any more. We have intervenors and grandparents and in 90% of our cases these days grandmothers are raising many children and they often step in to serve as a custodial resource in neglect proceedings. Many of these people if not all we find are indigent and entitled to counsel. Unfortunately when we call these cases we find in my small courtroom I have three tables and three microphones for the 21 people. Be that as it may, many of the people even though they have been arraigned and advised of their right to counsel do not obtain counsel. There sometimes is a resistance and a simplicity and some of these people they think we are going to fix the problem. The idea that we are working in an adversarial system where the rules of law will apply

1 sometimes goes right over their head. 2 as much as we can allocute, and make knowing 3 waivers, people seam to not want to --4 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Even when people 5 have a right to counsel. 6 JUDGE BREEN: They decline it. 7 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Often you don't 8 have it and the court, I would assume it 9 leads to not necessarily just results 10 sometimes and some chaos in the proceedings? 11 JUDGE BREEN: Chaos certainly and 12 I think there's no question that a pro se 13 litigant without counsel results are often 14 poor. 15 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why don't you 16 explain for everybody, in a nutshell, when 17 are people in Family Court entitled to 18 counsel? When they are not in the broadest 19 stroke. 2.0 JUDGE BREEN: Well, the Family 21 Court Act provides a very generous direction 22 as to assignment of counsel to indigent 23 parties. We can afford indigent parties the 24 assistance of counsel in cases involving 2.5 abuse, neglect, domestic violence, custody,

2.5

and parenting time, foster care and permanency planning proceedings, contempt proceedings, adoption and paternity, but there is a problem, if you read the statute and the authority under the Family Court Act for instance, in a paternity proceeding only the putative father is afforded counsel.

Not the petitioner, this can actually result in a pro se petitioner failing to prove, sometimes a paternity case, resulting in the lack of a stability and/or support for a child.

JUSTICE MERCURE: When you have such a situation, there's often a tension between remaining neutral and trying to see that justice is done?

JUDGE BREEN: Sure this is a balancing act that all Judges talked about and we constantly balance our role. I do think that I've become of the mind in Family Court over these many years, I think we have particularly in that Court a great obligation to communicate, and the effort to communicate become more and more important but there's a line that needs to be drawn,

1 but when you try to explain to a pro se 2 litigant well this may take a trial with a 3 Tropea analysis under relocation or this is 4 a Bennett/Jeffries case when you explain 5 that to a grandmother obviously they have no 6 idea what I'm talking about and I can't go 7 any further, but I do try to stress to them 8 the seriousness of the proceeding that will 9 require a trial with evidence to be 10 presented, and sometimes sort of not to 11 scare them but to let them know the reality 12 that counsel has become important if they're 1.3 going to proceed. They come to court 14 sometimes on a first appearance and think 15 the case is going to be heard, and --16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Resolved. 17 JUDGE BREEN: And everything will 18 be resolved. I often say to people I'm a 19 judge, not a social worker and I'm not a 2.0 counselor and I'm not a psychologist. We 21 have to apply the law. I think people come 22 with a misconception. 23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you a 24 question about domestic violence. 2.5 JUDGE BREEN: Sure.

1 JUDGE LIPPMAN: We read about it 2 in the paper, and graphics in terms of the 3 you know what you hear about, would you say it's the case that most domestic violence 4 5 victims have a whole host of attendant legal 6 problems that go along with the narrow issue 7 of the domestic violence, whether it be 8 housing related, occupational, family, some 9 other respect under domestic violence? 10 Aren't domestic violence questions, 11 particularly for the victim, don't they 12 overflow into so many, many other areas? 1.3 JUDGE BREEN: They do judge. 14 JUDGE LIPPMAN: That require 15 legal representation? 16 JUDGE BREEN: They do and we are 17 very pleased that Lillian Moy's program 18 provides and often they do come in on an 19 Article 8 and we are required to hear ex 2.0 parte applications immediately. They're put 21 right on the calendar, which becomes 22 somewhat difficult on a busy day, but often 23 times at that first appearance they do not 24 have counsel and it's only a --2.5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's complicated.

1 JUDGE BREEN: But yet, we do have 2 authority under Article 8 to immediately 3 address issues of child support and custody, 4 even on an ex parte basis, but you're right 5 the people do have multiple problems and 6 issues, and just by the mere fact there's a 7 victim of domestic violence, is that level 8 playing field that we want to achieve, it's 9 not there when you have a victim of 10 violence. 11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you 12 judge. 1.3 JUSTICE PFAU: Just one more, I 14 assume that I know from your court like all 15 Family Courts, do you see cases that if the 16 parties both have attorneys, their issues 17 could be resolved before they come to court 18 and that the people tend to as a knee jerk 19 reaction come to Family Court as you say as 20 the place to solve the problems? Could your 21 calendars be reduced if you had lawyers and 22 things might get resolved without that 23 thinking that they have to come to Family 24 Court? 2.5 JUDGE BREEN: I think judge, I'm

not sure if the access before coming to
Court the counsel that you're talking about,
but clearly the impact would be that the
petition process would be dramatically
improved. Our petition process is
deteriorating as I speak, petitioners are
not literate and they are incomprehensible
often times because they're pro se petitions
and we waste a lot of time and lawyers get
involved in amending pleadings or going
through motion practice because some are
subject to immediate dismissal for a failure
to set forth a cause of action and that
would be an immediate benefit and speed the
process up when that's available to us.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you judge.

JUDGE CLARK: Good morning.

Chief Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, Justice

Mercure, President Doyle, I'm here today to

express my support for increased funding

stability for civil legal services. I

appreciate the opportunity to participate in

the hearing and I want to thank Judge

Lippman and the Task Force for making this

issue a priority in New York.

2.5

It's crucial that we increase access for the rising number of people coming to court. This not only supports the idea of equal justice, but also makes a tremendous difference in the ability of a court system to function effectively. I have seen many unrepresented individuals, over the past six years in my role first as City Court Judge and now as Family Court Judge. Self-represented litigants have a severe disadvantage when appearing against a represented party. The self-represented litigant doesn't know the law or understand the burden of proof, the rules of evidence. As a judge as we talked about I find it difficult when I have an unrepresented party or the self-represented party appearing in front of me and I have to be careful to ensure that the unrepresented party's rights are not violated by the represented party while making sure that I remain neutral. As a City Court Judge I handle both civil and criminal matters, but in the civil part it was mostly in the landlord tenant area that

2.5

I saw the unrepresented individuals. monthly housing cases, and we have landlord tenant matters and every day eviction proceedings. In those eviction proceedings the tenants were almost always without an attorney and the tenants had no idea of their rights and we were fortunate in Schenectady to have Schenectady Community Action Program, which is able to send a non attorney, to court, to help explain the eviction process to those tenants and in the most egregious cases they were able to link up to the Legal Aid Society. As a first year law student I worked for the Legal Aid Society for Northeastern New York York so I can tell you first hand the number of individuals that apply for those services and the number that seek assistance and it's so greatly outweighed and there's not enough attorneys to take the cases. I had one particular case that came to mind and I have an outline in the testimony where I had a young man who was living in housing that he had been in for years and years, a new management company came in and they started

2.5

the eviction process. This young man was severely special needs and had no attorney, and no idea, what was happening to him. And it was only because I knew that there was an attorney in our community who takes pro bono cases such as this that I was able to have my court staff call her and say can you come down and help this young man. And it was only by her ability to speak with this management company, and set out a whole new system where he actually the man mailed the rent to the attorney the attorney got it to the management company and that young man would have ended up homeless, and had extreme special needs, and would have become a huge burden with nowhere else to live. a Family Court Judge I can assign counsel in many proceedings and I do; however, the quidelines for assignment of counsel at the poverty level I see many individuals who work, but are living hand to mouth, that have no disposable income and they cannot retain a private lawyer. Schenectady is like many upstate cities and facing trying economic times.

1 2

3

5

6

7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Lippman, you brought up the issue of domestic violence. A case that I had seen as a Family Court Judge was a young woman who came in on an ex parte motion for an Order of Protection and I had never seen someone in all my years even as an Assistant District Attorney, so terrified, of what was going to happen to her for even coming to Court. Her alleged perpetrator had abused her, on several occasions, and had most recently broken her nose, and she was living in the home that he owned, she had a job but when she told me how much her income was I knew she was wasn't going to be able to afford an attorney and I'm thankful that we have a legal project here in the Capital District who I was able to say, to her, she also had a domestic violence advocate with her, I said speak to your advocate about the

JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's typical as we talked about, a typical problem, someone comes in with a domestic violence situation, that has so many tentacles to it in terms of other legal problems that they are facing.

legal project.

1	JUDGE CLARK: I see it every day.
2	JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's the common,
3	it's the norm, right?
4	JUDGE CLARK: I mean she is
5	relying on this person although she had
6	she was relying upon him financially she was
7	going to lose the home that she was in,
8	because that's where she was living, in her
9	situation she didn't have children, but I
10	see many with children and they find
11	themselves filing for a neglect proceeding.
12	So, the one domestic violence issue can just
13	cascade to the entire life.
14	JUDGE LIPPMAN: So the legal
15	funding that goes to a group like the Legal
16	Project, you feel that funding directly in
17	your courtroom?
18	JUDGE CLARK: I do. If I
19	didn't
20	JUDGE LIPPMAN: And an ability to
21	provide representation again on matters
22	involving clearly the necessities of life.
23	JUDGE CLARK: When I have
24	somebody who is working poor who works but I
25	can't assign counsel to if I didn't have the

legal project, that woman, in this instance, would have had to have a family offense trial against a man that she couldn't even look at because he beat her.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you all. I appreciate your testimony, from the judicial point of view. I note that my colleague, Victoria Graffeo, is here and Judge Graffeo I am so pleased to see you and Judge Egan from the Third Department, glad to see you Judge Egan and so thank you all, appreciate it, your testimony really is illuminating and shows from every different perspective how civil legal service impacts on the Court system and obviously on people's lives. Thank you.

We will now have testimony from a strategic partnership panel which includes

Debra Sullivan, former Capital District

Paralegal Association board member, and chair of the pro bono committee. Debra

Schimpf, Schenectady Community Action

Program, Julie A. Davie, North County

Mediation Rural Law Center, and Professor

Joseph M. Connors, Director Albany Law

2.5

24

2.5

School Clinic and Justice Center. Ms.

Sullivan, we're going to start with you?

MS. SULLIVAN: Good morning Judge Lippman, Judge Pfau, Judge Mercure and President Doyle. My name is Debra Sullivan and I'm a paralegal and former pro bono Chair of the Capital District Paralegal Association. My testimony at the Chief Judge hearings and the civil legal services, is on behalf the Capital District Paralegal Associate CDPA and in accordance with the social commitments, to pro bono activities with the community. The pro bono committee works on contacting various not-for-profit agencies to determine a need for our membership to bundle pro bono activities. The committee works closely with the various organizations in making the necessary arrangements to involve our membership. CDPA prides itself in involving members in pro bono activities and encourages all members to be involved to assist those members of the community, who cannot otherwise afford to pay for legal services. Our goal is to use our knowledge and provide

2.5

our services, to assist those people who need it most. We have proven that when it comes to assisting the public, the CDPA is a very strong alliance of members and continues to be.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Did you find the need greater today, than early in a time?

Basically you base it on the economy?

MS. SULLIVAN: Well, the areas that we work with are really a lot -- we work very closely with the legal project, so any activity that we have, we do contact them and they contact us and we do become involved in that. As far as the divorce clinic and also in the legal clinic and case coordinator there and we work for the Albany Law School for their Senior Law Day so those types of area that we are involved in at present.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay.

MS. SULLIVAN: Members of the association have volunteered for pro bono activities conducted by the Albany County Bar Association, such as the Run For Domestic Violence and Law Day Clinic. We

2.5

have an excellent working relationship with the legal project, and are ready to assist with their various projects. We also volunteer our time at the Albany Law School. The association has increased its involvement significantly with the legal project for various projects including the divorce clinic. Our members attend training at Albany Law School and assist the public. Through my involvement with the legal project I became the legal clinician coordinator for the legal project at the Mechanic ville location. The legal clinic is an excellent program and helped so many. There are clinics held in several locations in different locations throughout the year and the Mechanicville clinic consists of two attorneys and maybe three depending on the need. Community members in need contact the legal project to make an appointment and appear on a scheduled day to meet with the attorney so people can call for an attorney to discuss virtually any legal topic such as employment, credit, bankruptcy, health, landlord/tenant, personal injury or family

2.5

The attorneys meet with the individual and provide advice to those that would not otherwise be able to afford an attorney and this is a valuable service to the entire community. Through my experience with and in speaking with people who come to me for private consultations, they're very appreciative of the clinic and what it stands for. To some it may be a simple matter, but for them they are seeking quidance and can't afford the legal system. Time and time again I have been told that the clinic is such a great program and they are so happy with the services they offer. One person recently wrote on this evaluation, the appointment with the lawyer was so helpful I now know what my next step I feel like I now can do something is. about my situation. Thanks for the peace of mind. We hear comments like this all the time and see the sense of relief and I see it in the faces that those we try to help. The most valuable thing about the legal clinic is the fact they provide legal -early legal intervention in the people's

24

2.5

lives. And information is truly power and letting people know what options they have and if in fact additional legal services are necessary, can make a tremendous difference in avoiding a major crisis in life. also critical that the clinics are open in the community. The legal project does not do a full intake when people call for assistance because they are meeting with a pro bono attorney, which avoids the problem of legal conflict. This way people can feel comfortable in coming in to ask a legal question no matter what the situation. Anonymous evaluations are provided post consultation at the law clinic and an income level is asked for at that time. At least 90% of those that attend the clinic are considered over 200% of poverty and would not dream of going to a private bar for help.

The clinic levels the playing field by those people that have no access to legal information and this is critically important too especially in the economic period of people literally on the edge. The

2.5

pro se support program is a wonderful way that we can connect our volunteer with low income people in the community who need an uncontested divorce and we hear all the time from Judges that so many people come to court with incomplete or incorrect paperwork, when they are seeking a divorce, and they get turned away from the court and they are wasting everyone's valuable time and frustrating those that are seeking to use a process friendly to the layperson. Unfortunately so many people are confused by the paperwork and struggle to get through it all, only to be stymied by the process. With this program we use non-attorney paralegals along with students from Albany Law School, who are trained to understand the paperwork and guided by two or more attorneys in the legal project on site to literally sit with the individual and help them complete this paperwork; what an amazing difference this makes. People leave feeling calm and more in control of their situation and more aware of the process that lies ahead. The Courts are very happy with

2.5

the results, finding more people are prepared with less paperwork ready to move ahead.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So basically what you do is, collaboration with the legal project with Albany School, and together be able to impact on the legal services.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SULLIVAN: With the paralegal association, through them, yes.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. Thank you for the work that you do. And Debra Schimpf, Executive Director, Schenectady Community Action Program which we have heard a little bit about.

MS. SCHIMPF: Thank you, Your
Honor. Chief Judge Lippman and
distinguished members of the panel. Thank
you for the opportunity to testify, on the
important topic of civil legal services, in
downstate New York. My name is Debra
Schimpf and I am the Executive Director of
the Schenectady Community Action Program
which serves Schenectady County. SCAP's
mission is to eliminate the symptoms or to
eradicate poverty as we serve the low income

1 population of Schenectady County, and in 2 particular, the City of Schenectady. face a daunting poverty rate of 20.7 percent 3 4 in the city, one of the highest in 5 northeastern New York. In addition, our 6 total poverty population, of 15,826 people, 7 is consistently at risk of losing the 8 essentials of life: Income, housing, 9 safety, health care and education. We have 10 always been and continue to be an 11 anti-poverty agency and we share that 12 mission with the colleagues at the Legal Aid 1.3 Society of Northeastern New York. SCAP 14 offers an array of services including Head 15 Start, transitional and permanent housing 16 for homeless families, crisis intervention for individuals and family, not able to meet 17 18 their basic needs, case management for the 19 low income people, budgeting, income tax 2.0 services and employment services. 21 JUDGE LIPPMAN: How did you 22 interact, with the provider, civil legal 23 service provider? How do you go about what 24 you do together? 2.5 MS. SCHIMPF: We do it in a

1 number of ways. Judge Clark had mentioned 2 that we are present, in the city eviction 3 Court every single day, we have a case 4 manager there. 5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: When there's not 6 a lawyer there nor the hope to get a lawyer 7 there. 8 MS. SCHIMPF: We're there. 9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You provide some 10 information. 11 MS. SCHIMPF: We can give them 12 basic information, and we can offer them assistance with some of the economic issues 1.3 14 but frankly, still so many of them are not 15 represented by the attorney. Last year 16 alone, we provided about 2,000 interventions 17 for evictions and I would say between 18 fifteen and 20% maximum we're able to 19 actually defer to Legal Aid and that's 2.0 significant. 21 JUDGE LIPPMAN: How do you do 22 that? You have a working relationship? 23 MS. SCHIMPF: We have a close 24 relationship with them and have for more 2.5 than fifteen years. Two days a week we have

two attorneys in our office there Thursday and Friday, so there's a very, very close working relationship between our coordinator Donna Gonzales and the two attorneys. We also have a direct line to them, we contact them.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: The bottom line is, with all your good work, with all their good work, still most of the people lined up in the end are not necessarily with legal representation, without representation?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. We will do triage because services are limited, and they really take on a lot more than they really should, given the time there. There we still --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: If there were more funding, you know for both of you, obviously more people could be represented and?

MS. SCHIMPF: That's correct, the funding is significantly inadequate. Two out of five days a week doesn't begin to handle the situation, and in the end toward the end of 2009, we started seeing an uptick

1	in the number of people being evicted, and
2	that has stayed steady for us and we are
3	really overwhelmed.
4	JUSTICE MERCURE: You could use
5	their assistance five days a week?
6	MS. SCHIMPF: Absolutely.
7	JUSTICE MERCURE: 7 days a week
8	perhaps?
9	MS. SCHIMPF: Probably 7 days a
10	week except I think we wouldn't be able
11	we'd be exhausted. We're doing everything
12	we can do.
13	Yes.
14	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yet you need
15	assistance of having lawyers or the ability
16	to actually represent people as you can go
17	only up to a certain point.
18	MS. SCHIMPF: That's correct.
19	For more than fifteen years we have engaged
20	in a variety of formal and informal
21	partnerships with Legal Aid, these are
22	they include the basic referral
23	relationship, as well as a formal funded
24	partnership, and Schenectady County
25	residents that are suffering from

2.5

homelessness received rental assistance as well as legal assistance and we work with the Legal Aid Society in a local homeless prevention and rapid rehousing project and this partnership includes several other not-for-profit community based agencies along with SCAP and Legal Aid. partnership places two legal aid attorneys, available for intake at our offices on Thursdays and Fridays, as I mentioned, in addition our long term housing coordinator is stationed at the city eviction Court every day. Our coordinator regularly screens many people at risk for homelessness, providing information and referrals to SCAP. She can then link tenants to financial assistance from SCAP. At the same time Ms. Gonzales refers low income people who have received a threat of eviction or court papers to a Legal Aid attorney. Typical landlord/tenant issues referred to Legal Aid include those tenants who are being evicted illegally, who have never received or been served Court papers, who have serious code violations, especially

2.5

vacate orders or who are trying to protect
their extremely valuable public housing or
Section 8 tenancy. Through this partnership
they have been able to maximize the
resources available to Schenectady County
clients. SCAP administers rental and
utilities subsidies and provides information
to clients with simple evictions as well as
public assistance advocacy and case
management. Finally to the clients who need
an attorney in order to prosecute a standard
defense a referral is made to the Legal Aid
Society. And I really want to add we
triage, so unfortunately it's a most extreme
case that we have a referral.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes, that's true.

MS. SCHIMPF: SCAP and LASNNY
jointly address common issues with DSS, and
these can include clients with an emergency,
who are turned away, because they arrived
too late, clients would have a written
eviction turned away, because they can stay
in the apartment, and/or are told to come
back when the Sheriff locks you out or you
are told to come back when you received

2.5

court papers. In Schenectady County, regrettably non-English speakers are sometimes turned away by DSS as they did not bring their own interpreter or DSS has no interpreter available. We also address untimely possessing of emergency and ongoing applications. Legal Aid lawyers provide invaluable legal assistance on all of these issues. Our partnership with the Legal Aid society is powerful in our community. addition to resolving the housing cases, Legal Aid can also represent our clients in a variety of other legal issues that preserve the essentials of life. include unemployment insurance appeals, social security disability, appeals, divorce, adoption, custody, child support, wills, immigration, foreclosure, real property, bankruptcy and debt collection. We know that the Legal Aid Society has similar partnerships throughout New York. Funding for civil legal services is necessary to allow low income people to maintain the essentials of life. This society is committed to partnering with

1 community agencies like SCAP, training 2 non-attorneys to give resourceS, provide 3 information, case management, and financial 4 assistance, and attorney resources can be 5 preserved for clients with the most pressing 6 legal issues. Legal Aid Society attorneys 7 also are trained by SCAP and other agency 8 staff to recognize appropriate legal issues, 9 for referral to Legal Aid. I hope that the 10 chief judge Task Force will again recognize 11 what all that legal services agencies like 12 the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New 1.3 York have done to partner with non-legal 14 providers to meet the needs of low income 15 people sufficiently. Thank you. 16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you and 17 thank you for the work you do. And it's 18 instructive on how you work seamlessly with 19 the Legal Aid Society and all part of again 2.0 we talk about the puzzle, putting this 21 together, certainly you're a part of the big 22 part of that. 23 MS. SCHIMPF: Thank you. 24 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Julie Davies, 2.5 from the North Country Mediation Rural Law

Center.

2.5

MS. DAVIES: Good morning Judge
Lippman, Judge Mercure, Judge Pfau and
President Doyle, thank you so much for the
opportunity to speak with you today about
the funding of civil legal services. My
name is Julie Davies, and I am the Director
of the North Country Conflict Resolution
Services, that's a program of the Rural Law
Center of New York, and we're part of the
OCA funded Community Dispute Resolution
Program, and our territory in that is the
five northern most counties in New York,
probably the most rural and probably among
the most economically disadvantaged.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So how do you fit into this puzzle that we're talking about?

MS. DAVIES: Our program does over 13 hundred cases a year, in those five counties, and we are in 54 courts with regular mediation services. There's no question, that there is an acute need for services we see in our work every day. We feel that mediation services and other forms of alternative dispute resolution, can free

1 up some of the resources that need to be 2 used in more critical cases, and in 3 instances where civil legal services 4 attorneys can step in. 5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you could 6 resolve these matters, and we sort of 7 obviate the necessity for having an attorney 8 because you've been able to kind of in a 9 mediation context to resolve the issue? 10 MS. DAVIES: Sure, if there 11 obviously needs to be in-take to determine 12 whether their cases are appropriate for mediation, but when cases were deemed 1.3 14 appropriate for mediation, then there's a 15 tremendous possibility to include mediation, 16 in that sort of continuum of services. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Other cases go 18 straight to the provider I assume so you can 19 have -- there is a --2.0 MS. DAVIES: Exactly. I think we 21 feel that the need is so great there needs 22 to be, sort of --23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Options, right. 24 MS. DAVIES: Yes, I mean starting 2.5 at the very basic legal information and then

self-help materials and probably in the
middle of that is a need for mediation
alternative dispute resolution and direct
legal representation. And it's sort of -it operates as a triage, and in that way,
cases that are appropriate to be resolved by
mediation will save resources for other.

JUSTICE MERCURE: You offer

JUSTICE MERCURE: You offer mediation across the vast spectrum in terms of the types of cases?

MS. DAVIES: We absolutely do.

Like almost everyone of the CDRC community
program, we have mediation services, in

Family Court issues, special education, our
program has an agricultural -- we do have
some real very subsidence level farming in
our county.

The debt issue that's come up around that on a regular basis, to me the issue is really an appropriate way to find a resolution to some of those issues, and perhaps save some of these farms. Besides the work that we do in the town and village courts, and that work is heavily into housing issues and consumer debt issues, we

1 have programs as you said, a very wide range 2 of civil matters. 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thanks so 4 much. We appreciate it and again, very much 5 a part of the puzzle. Thank you for your 6 good work. Professor Connors? 7 MR. CONNORS: Thank you for this 8 opportunity. 9 And thank you to the Task Force 10 for this opportunity. I'm a former legal 11 services attorney from Monroe County legal 12 assistant in the late 80's, early 90's, and 13 I bring that perspective as well as the 14 perspective of a clinical law professor at 15 Albany Law School since the early 90's to 16 this discussion. My comments today will talk about 17 18 a reform movement in legal education that 19 impacts the availability of using law 2.0 students to help close the gap and access to 21 justice, what Albany Law School is doing, 22 but in the end the reality is that we're 23 only one piece of the puzzle. 24 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You know we do 2.5 always hear don't you get the law schools

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

involved? The could be helpful if the law schools, the kids who can't just go out and can't get a job. Tell us how law schools are involved?

MR. CONNORS: Law schools are involved, Albany Law School has in-house clinical programs that work in collaboration with the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York and the legal project and the Empire Justice Center, not competing for limited funds, but working together so that we're not duplicating services. Some of our joint initiatives include health law initiative, and advocacy for working with families effected by HIV and AIDS and preventative matters, with their doctor, so we can avoid legal problems down the road, working with family to set up emergency plans for the future care of children, working with individuals to set up health care directives, working with the families in a wholistic way to make referrals to non-legal providers to hopefully avoid legal problems down the road. We will have domestic violence initiatives with Legal Aid

2.5

and the Legal Project, beyond their -- if there's any service in a wholistic manner that's training law students to become future service providers. Lorraine Silverman of the Legal Project is a former clinic student and Alejandro Taylor is currently at the Legal Aid Society and works with our program. So these joint initiatives are very important. We also have a tenant foreclosure initiative, to represent tenants being evicted by the mortgage crisis and there's a plan in collaboration to provide, not to compete, but to address on that need that unmet need. I made a special point to as a side note as to the Legal Empire Justice Center as a young legal services attorney, I found this training to be invaluable and as a professor I still rely on their great web sites today as well as the web site of the other backup legal centers at the Western New York Law Center website. The programs at Albany Law School also is proud of our loan repayment assistance program and while we can't serve everyone, they're limited, but there's still

1 a need for additional statewide support for 2 that. Pro bono activities like that, I 3 started to list in my written comments some 4 of the professors who are actively involved 5 in the community, but you realize that 6 really this would be too long as most of our 7 professors work or are involved in some sort 8 of pro bono service, be it through 9 continuing legal education, training or 10 direct advocacy or appellate advocacy, 11 sometimes working alone, sometimes working 12 with law students. 1.3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: So there's really 14 is synergy between the law school and the 15 providers and pro bono efforts? 16 MR. CONNORS: Certainly. 17 competition, but trying to join forces, to 18 address the matter. 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that a 2.0 recognition professor that such an amount of 21 funding is able to be provided? 22 MR. CONNORS: It's not meeting 23 the needs even with the current coordinated 24 system. I can speak for the Law Clinic in 2.5 this case as we turn away about 20

1	individuals away per week, with meritorious
2	claims and approximately 600 individuals a
3	year that we want to serve, about half of
4	that is extended representation, but a lot
5	of it is limited representation where we're
6	giving free counsel.
7	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Like everyone
8	else, it's a limited amount of resources for
9	which
10	MR. CONNORS: So, part of the
11	solution has to be increased permanent
12	funding to make it all work.
13	JUSTICE MERCURE: What
14	percentage of matters are you looking to
15	extend?
16	MR. CONNORS: About half of the
17	people that call us we can help.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's pretty
19	good in terms of the statewide perspective
20	whereas you know, in parts of the state for
21	every one, 8 or 9 are turned away.
22	MR. CONNORS: Right.
23	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right.
24	MR. CONNORS: Part of that is
25	through a referral mechanism which we set up

2.5

through the Legal Project so they know what type of cases to refer to us.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Connor,s in your written submission you make the point that you talk about and seek change in the legal education, to reform efforts and you mentioned some of the State Bar work in that field, but to the extent that there could be reevaluation, in some of the accreditation, some of the admission standards to be more accepting of clinical work and practice orientated education, that would fit in, that would allow for more work from law students directed toward these types of unmet legal needs.

MR. CONNORS: Right. That

definitely deserves further study and

reevaluation. Currently the number of

clinical or special credits allowed in

Albany Law School is limited by Court of

Appeals Rule 620. Each of our clinics are

of six credits up to -- so if a student

wants to take a clinical opportunity, for

four in the three years that would be 24

credits right there. And in terms of

2.5

admission to the Bar, it's a bigger issue, but more prep consideration and practical experience being a factor, so that graduates are practice ready and committed to exercise their professional judgment necessary to recognize the needs of the most disadvantaged members of our community.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you all. Appreciate it. Thank you for your efforts, in support of the legal system.

Thank you. Michael Hertz, Chief Marketing and Business Development Officer, White & Case.

MR. HERTZ: Good morning or good afternoon I guess. Thanks for the invitation.

Thank you. Thanks for the invitation to give a talk today. Judges and the panel, and obviously I have just returned from England so it's really great to see the work that you've done, and doing on this issue and resources to add resources to the problem. Just to give you and I'm not going to go through my background in detail, but I have experience in the big law

23

24

2.5

firms, both the practitioner and more recently in senior management roles, all of which involve extensive uses of technology to, to run the law firms effectively and as efficiently as possible. There's probably and most relevant to this, I founded or co-founded Pro Bono Net, back in the late 90's, with some help from a couple of other big law firms in New York City. And Pro Bono Net continues to be on the Pro Bono Net and it continues to grow and evolve and we work close with the Courts and the Legal Aid community and the Bar, the law schools, really across the State just communities and not just in New York, but across the country, deeply involved in various parts of my life in some of these issues, and just to go through I think the headline why I think technology can really help expand as there are three key areas in that and one is that's around efficiency and the second is around protocol and effectiveness and the third is, around immigration. So there are ways we can just use technology in indefinite ways to reach the people with

1 some help.

So, let me just address each of those three and I wont go through the testimony that I submitted.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Fine.

MR. HERTZ: On the efficiency point, you know there are basic fundamental building blocks that need to be addressed for functioning organizations to have in terms of technology today and so when I look at both the way the private Bar approaches the technology and the way that the Legal Aid community groups also approaches technology as you might expect there's a big gap in the investment that Legal Aid organizations are able to make. For a variety of reasons in their basic just in their basic platform that they use to collaborate with other Legal Aid, and access to justice organizations. One thing I would just urge the Task Force to look at is to do a little study on how we might approach that differently, and try to assess what are the basic fundamental building blocks in the Legal Aid organization.

1 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you think that 2 to some degree, technology would be as 3 important as adding lawyers or support staff? 4 5 MR. HERTZ: I think they go hand 6 in hand, I don't think -- I don't think you 7 want to create a kind of zero sum gain 8 there. I think, that technology needs 9 skilled advocates behind it. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. 11 technology can to some degree, as it does in 12 all institutions can maybe make it less labor intensive to do what we have to do. 13 14 MR. HERTZ: Yes. 15 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And whether you 16 call it efficiency or money well spent, 17 technology is very important to the legal 18 services. 19 MR. HERTZ: I think it's 20 absolutely critical if you look at the gap 21 that we're facing there's no way to bridge 22 that gap without doing something that is, 23 innovative, and we can fund more lawyers, we 24 can fund more creative delivery mechanisms 2.5 and all those things and we also need to

find a way to reach --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's even more important than in the more rural areas where you're spread out over a large --

MR. HERTZ: There's a good example of technology being used today with support from the grants and legal services corporations as we go through the years, with more urban Legal Aid programs and court programs are able to reach rural populations with help and part of that is just information, so giving access through libraries, through social services and DSS agencies up in the rural areas where this -where somebody can access the information about you know various different civil legal services issues, but also actually accessing lawyers using technology to connect a volunteer lawyer and a Legal Aid lawyer in a urban area, with somebody, whose living in a rural location and some business that we're talking about across the country, and I'm sure that this is absolutely true in New York State as they are backed, they're the population that has the need and lawyers and

1

2.5

advocacy there to serve them I think this as there's been reductions in funding a lot of rural programs have been hit hard.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Hertz, have you in the funding part, as a lawyer, in private practice who has been involved in his own efforts to see technology grow and operate and being involved in the State Bar Association, a large organization, technology upgrades are expensive.

MR. HERTZ: Yes.

MR. DOYLE: Time consuming and require a lot of the skill, and attorneys knowledge which lawyers are not known for having necessarily technological skills. I hear from your testimony what you've already said you suggest that there may be ways that the Task Force can help provide some type of economy or scale or at least investigate the economy of scale for the providers the small provider in rural areas that perhaps they can together join and invest in some general platform that would be more cost effective for them.

MR. HERTZ: Some of that is

2.5

happening already and some of the work there was in the New York Law Center, it has done along those lines and definitely, pooled their recourse resources and built tools together so that one they're not building multiple tools, and Pro Bono Net was based on that concept as well. It's absolutely the right way to go about it. No single entity or provider can be kind of or do the continuous investment that is needed. So I'm absolutely sure that that's the right approach so I recommend that. I guess it creates more incentives for that collaborative approach to invest in technology with the continuous --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Quality issues, how does the technology impact on the quality of representation?

MR. HERTZ: It's critical -- so really playing in the big law firms that firms that's in England that firm was trying to support and train lawyers up to a certain level across the world. Same thing is true in the Legal Aid community and it's one of the founding principles of Pro Bono Network

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

is that if we're going to get more lawyers involved in doing volunteer work we need a to bridge one of the main obstacles that the lawyers indicated, and has been from doing that lack of training in the area of expertise where the self legal services were needed. So getting lawyers both Legal Aid lawyers who are here during this whole time and volunteer lawyers up to speed, and delivering the service that the right quality level is something that technology can help with. On line-line training you know, materials and practice, virtual training materials, just very simple technology, list serving, just a very simple technology that allows people to be on E-mail distribution lists and talk to others.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Particularly this

training I can see that, on the pro bono side all -- you have so many lawyers that don't work in certain areas, but they want to dedicate as they go on more and more of their time to the pro bono type of activities, I can see how technology really

can be very important in that.

MR. HERTZ: A lot of it's changing quite a bit so that you know in a lot of ways that, lawyers now support themselves and this has been true historically, but now that technology makes it lighting fast. To reach out and to a peer who has done that before or had that experience before so it's not text book training, it's saying you go, excuse me, I saw that you did a tape of this recently can you walk me through what you did there and technology is extremely valuable for that.

MR. DOYLE: And as the court system moves as it should towards e-filing and electronic and other means of using technology, appearances, video conferencing and that legal service providers are going to need to be up-to-speed with that in order to participate.

MR. HERTZ: Absolutely, so
there's actually again you know, we're
sitting on the tip of the iceberg now. The
Legal Aid groups Pro Bono Net the Court
system in New York, in California, a number

of court systems around the state and country work together on building on-line document assembly technology where mostly in an assisted environment from there someone is sitting with a person in front of a computer going through, you know, questions and answers, and at the end of that, the system generates the proper forms and filings. And somebody reviews it before that goes in. But to then try to do electronic filing to have more sophisticated filing systems to give some triage, and I think it also has a huge potential again all of these things, I think have a huge potential, but require more.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's the latest in the technology to legal services state of the art?

MR. HERTZ: I think the technology that we're starting to experiment with is huge and the potential if it's deployed correctly, I think it could be you know, a barrier to being deployed badly.

But if it's deployed with the help from law students, and private attorneys, the Legal

Aid lawyers and other people that are or that have access to the justice system, I

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Again in terms of funding, it would be a wise investment to invest in the newer and innovative ways to base this for anyone, but us in particular obviously for organizations trying to serve wide populations with not enough resources.

think that has a potential to help people.

MR. HERTZ: Sure I think that's right.

And I think the other thing just to look at perhaps in the work that your doing is, setting up something similar in New York State to what the -- what the Legal Services Corporation set up, and ran for the last twelve years and still running which is a technology innovation grant program. And if you look at that program the expert of that program, the former President of the LLC here, but that program is relatively small dollars and has generated a huge amount of innovative approaches and great collaboration across the -- across and state justice community. If you we were to look

at that program and think about building a

New York State version of that, I think it

would have benefits.

will have to do that because it's a landline to you and your firm too. Now Legal Services Corporation funding is under siege, and who knows what will survive there and that's why we're trying hard to create a permanent, dependable, consistent funding stream here in New York out of the public fist, but technology is certainly a piece of the puzzle and we appreciate your coming in.

MR. HERTZ: Thank you for inviting me.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Appreciate it we will finish off our hearing today with a client panel, that includes Arthur Demar, Jr., a client of the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, accompanied by Robert Vanderbles, Dana P, a client of the Legal Project, accompanied by Lorraine Silverman and Rassan Kellam a client of the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York accompanied by Robert Vanderbles.

Mr. Demar, did you want to start?

2

MR. DEMAR: Good afternoon.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

My name is Arthur Demar Jr., and I'm fifty-eight years old and I live on social service disability, and I'm disabled and have been for four years. I currently live in Keesville, New York. Beginning of May, when the flooding occurred at Lake Champlin, Plattsburgh, I was living on the second floor of an apartment called Lake Side Apartments. And when the flooding reached its peak on May 7th, May 8th, my apartment complex was condemned because of the electrical was going to be flooded, but at that time I was over in Mary Fletcher Hospital as I just received cancer surgery. So when I was released from the hospital on May 9th, I didn't even have a place to go I didn't have an apartment, nothing, I had to go to a Social Services that day first thing I come out, and I was set up in a hotel room for a couple weeks. At that point in time, Department of Social Services told me that there was a Legal Aid representative at the shelter that they'd

24

2.5

set up, that was the first day that shelter was open, and I met with a representative from the Legal Aid on the 12th and once I got my strength up and was able to move around. And they give me the option of having a letter sent to my old landlord because he wanted to keep part of my money for my rent because of the fact that I couldn't get my stuff out. And because of the flooding, the Plattsburgh City Police Department would not allow us to go in the building after dark and because of my medical condition it was hard for me to turn around and to get my stuff out. So I was able to get my stuff out on the 21st, but the landlord wanted to keep part of my rent from the time of May 8th to the 21st because I couldn't get my stuff out. I met with the current representatives from Legal Aid Society, I met an in-take officer on the 12th, and then I met with Dan Alley numerous times between the time that my old landlord said I wasn't going to get all my money back and we ended up going to small claims court, and Mr. Alley, the only thing he had to do

22

23

24

2.5

other than, you know, go by the letter of the law was just change a few numbers and I got a partial refund, but he still wanted to keep the 3 days worth of rent because I couldn't get my stuff out until the 21st. We ended up going to small claims court, where at this point in time my old landlord finally decided to put up the money that he owed me, and settle out, and because of that, I didn't have to ask social services to help me in relocating. They offered me a months security, a months rent for a voucher and I told them I didn't need it. I was able to get my money back, between my resources and the other resources that I had, I didn't need them. Basically Legal Aid not only turned out and got me my money back and did what they were supposed to do and also saved Clinton County about fifteen hundred dollars. I didn't need any help.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: You know the point we were making is the point that you made. That civil legal services can very much decrease dependance of people on social services.

1 MR. DEMAR: Yes. 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thereby making 3 the government more stable, and decrease 4 those costs. 5 MR. DEMAR: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 6 And in fact that in my case you know, I 7 saved the county, between twelve and fifteen hundred dollars. 8 9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And so, other 10 than going to legal services you would have 11 no ability to deal with this problem? 12 MR. DEMAR: No, I paid up all my 13 bills at the beginning of the month, I went 14 into the hospital on the 4th and I had my 15 operation on the 5th and I was released on 16 the 9th, and I didn't have any money in the bank. I had 35 dollars in the bank and that 17 18 was it. 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank so 20 much, appreciate it, appreciate your story. 21 Dana, for the legal project do you want to 22 tell us how legal services helped you? 23 DANA P: Thank you for having me 24 here today. My name is Dana and I am 2.5 forty-years-old and I have taught special

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2.5

education for 22 years, I have two children

that I single parent with no financial

support. Apart from my college years in

Rochester, I have lived in Troy my entire

life. I was married in 1996 to a man who I

thought would be a wonderful husband and

father. I knew that he had a terrifying

childhood. He told me that when we were

first together, he witnessed his father

repeatedly and horribly beat his mother

right in front of him and he even saw his

father on occasion put a gun to his mother's

head and play Russian Roulette. On more

than one occasion after that he told me he

hated his father, and that he would never

ever want to be like him. At first he was

gentle and kind and he showed no inclination

of the man that he was to become. He was a

I don't know what happened, but

good dad, a loving husband.

after about 9 years of marriage that has changed. He began to drink, to excess, and his health deteriorated. He was diagnosed

mis mourem deterrorated. He was draymosed

with diabetes, but continued to drink and

the alcohol defeated the medication. Then

24

2.5

he just keep getting sicker. His behavior towards me and our children took a drastic change. He began calling me vulgar and racist names and threatening me with sexual assault and that he could kill me. refused to allow me to go to church or spend any free time with others except for my time at work. He threatened to take my children away from me, and I stayed with him because I thought he needed me and I kept hoping that I kept hoping to help him get back to the man he once was. This went on for four years and got progressively worse day by day. Our children were experiencing so much stress they were getting terrible headaches and stomach pain and then having trouble sleeping and hence concentrating in school. I tried to get help for my husband but he refused help. Things got worse and worse. I knew that I had to leave him and get help for myself and my children.

I didn't want to break up the family, but I felt like I had no choice.

However, he chose that he would not let me go on and flatly told me there will be no

2.0

divorce, you cannot leave me. He threatened to torture me, rape me and kill my family. He began to stalk me, cutting my phone lines so I cannot call out for help and on more than one occasion he sabotaged my car, he secretly added fluid to the brake line so that the car that I drove, the mini van for my children, and did not even care, that they too would be or could be harmed and/or killed. He threw fits of rage in the home and in the neighborhood for anyone that may try to help me and then threatening to massacre my father and brother if they tried to intervene. He threatened to kill himself and leave a note for my children blaming me

So I knew I had to get away from him only though I barely had enough money to take care of my family since my husband was no longer bringing in enough supplemental income and I hired a lawyer and 2008, so that I can file for divorce. My husband found out about this attorney, when he was checking my cell phone, and called the number that he told me and then he told the

for his death.

2.5

lawyer, he had better watch out and he would never let me leave him. Then he increased his stalking and controlling behavior, escalating the threats of harassment. wrote me horrible letters that would shock and sicken you if you saw them. pretended to be anonymous stating that he would come and rape me and kill me in my sleep or tell me that I should just kill myself quick and get it over with. I got an Order of Protection from Family Court, but he just kept on violating it, and increasing his threats and intimidation. He wrote my first lawyer a letter so bizarre and frightening he withdraw from my case. again left with no one to help me after I spent \$3,000 that I did not have on that attorney. He could no longer stay on the case. In the mean time my husband's behavior escalated even more and in November of 2008 he was witnessed pouring brake fluid all over my mini van and keying the van and placing nails under the tires to flatten them while parked in a public lot, all in violation of the Order of Protection.

was finally arrested and placed in jail

2.5

after retirement. I felt like I had no one to help me. Ironically all this time my husband was given the benefit of an assigned attorney while I was struggling to find a way a way to afford the help that I needed for me. Even more ironic was the fact that my husband became the man that he most hated and he was like his own father. Thankfully I have to say that I did call the Legal Project, like Mrs. Loomis suggested. I am so incredibly grateful that I was told about the Legal Project, that they were willing to take my case. I don't know if I ever could have gotten free of my husband and the abuse if it wasn't for their help. I feel like the Legal Project was a blessing. My attorney, Lorraine, empowered me to know freedom, fight for my family rights and stand up with and face with courage that happened during domestic abuse. I could not have afforded more traditional legal representation. I pay all of my own bills while my ex-husband sits in prison planning his next revenge. Lorraine is trained to know how to guide her clients in the light

of peace and justice.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

My husband is currently -- my ex-husband, delightfully at Marcy Correctional Facility, but he will be out way too soon. But with the help of Lorraine and the Legal Project I was able to finally be divorced from him in January of 2011, after three long hard years of fighting to get free. I never would have been able to achieve that without them. The Legal Project gives all woman the strength to make a better life even when they have no financial means. They truly do God's work. Please do all you can to make sure every victim has someplace like the Legal Project to turn, when there is no one else to help them. Thank you for you time.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. a compelling story, clearly when you're dealing with ones physical safety, and well-being of your family, and I don't think there's anything more necessary in life and that's what civil legal services provides and doing in particular the good work of the Legal Project. So --

21

22

23

24

2.5

DANA P: There's an assumption that when you're a professional and you're a professional for a determined amount of years it will all be okay because on paper it may appear that, I'm more well-off than you are, and in the case being I haven't received very few child support payments so if it wasn't for the ability to take them -for them to take me under the program that I got in, I can't think of where I would be because if it wasn't for their legal representation also and support, and everything that I needed, and caring for again my children, and getting them into a safer environment.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well thank you.

So it's so telling in terms of why we're

here today and so thank you so much. And

Rassan Kellam whose a client of Legal Aid of

Northeastern New York.

MR. KELLAM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Rassan Kellam and I'm fifty-eight-years-old, and I speak today as a former client of the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, but for the past six

2.5

years I have been stably housed, employed and clean and sober. I was able to achieve this despite twenty-two years of abusing alcohol, Marijuana and Cocaine, multiple instances of homelessness and a short time in jail. After four different stays in city missions, I hope to never be homeless again. Through the assistance of the variety of community agencies I have been given the opportunity to put my life on the right track, a 12 step program helped me surrender to my own addiction. The drug court program and half way house assisted me with obtaining employment. I now work at Time Warner Cable in marketing for the past four years. Opportunities have provided me with Mohawk Opportunities have provided me with a rental supplement and case management services as they do for a number individuals with disability. I recently have been dismissed from the program because I am exceeding the financial guidelines.

I owe my thanks to many people for these successes and I thank the Legal Aid Society for helping me, to protect these

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2.5

gains that have transformed my life.

Recently my landlord tried to evict me for the nonpayment of rent. I admit that I owed one months rent, and with my next paycheck I planned to pay my landlord what was due. asked my landlord to give me until the next day, after court, when I received my pay check to pay him. My landlord was unwavering and would not agree to give me that one day that I needed, that extension. I was afraid of becoming homeless again. didn't know how the system worked. Through the affiliation of a personal acquaintance who also shared her story of hardship and overcoming and learning of this individuals overcoming with the assistance of the Legal Aid Society, I was forwarded to the Legal Aid Society for assistance. I contacted the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, at the SCAP office, and met with Rob the next day. Mr. Vanderbles saw that the landlord had not given me a ten day notice to cure followed by a 30 day termination notice and even though he had agreed to do so in the lease we signed. Mr. Vanderbles

2.5

represented me in court, and moved to dismiss the case, because my landlord had not followed the termination procedure, we had agreed to in the lease. Mr. Vanderbles also moved to strike the demand for \$150 of late fees, because there was no lease term allowing late fees. All I wanted was one day to pay my landlord, with the next pay check. With the defense raised by Mr. Vanderbles the landlord agreed to use the next paycheck to pay my landlord and we were able to stop my eviction. The Legal Aid Society enabled me to help myself and preserve the stable lifestyle I had worked so hard to obtain. From the beginning the Legal Aid Society guided me through the process and gave me peace of mind, and in a highly professional manner. My best interests was always their priority. If I had been evicted I would have lost my housing, my rental supplement, my job, and perhaps my sobriety. Returning to homelessness would have been a lifestyle change that I could not have coped with. court Rob took the time to discuss strategy

and guidelines for avoiding this situation again. I now budget my income to ensure that I pay rental on time every month, so that I would not be at risk of homelessness again. I am grateful to the Legal Aid Society and hope that you do all you can to ensure that Legal Aid have the staff and the resources to help people like me maintain their housing, their jobs and keep their lives stable and on track. Thank you.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you

Mr. Kellam. Fair to say, Legal Aid Society
had a dramatic effect on your life and
pulling it together for you. It's a story
to be repeated a hundred times over in
addition to our three witnesses today.

So, thank you all, obviously
thank you to the Legal Aid Society of
Northeastern New York and the Legal Project
for helping, the three of you with life
issues that we all have. So thank you so
much, and at this point I'm going to end the
hearing and I think it's on an appropriate
note, that all of the witnesses that we've
heard today, representative of the Attorney

3

1

4

6

5

7

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

General, the judges, business leaders, the partners, the technology partners, all go to

support the services that impact on people's

lives. And I think that your stories not

only demonstrate that, but also the

underlying point that we tried to make, that

by saving people's lives, we also help our

society, our State government, our State

government in terms of the reduced costs for

Social Services, homelessness,

incarceration, increased federal benefits

and other benefits coming to New York.

The bottom line is that I think these hearings demonstrate over and over again, from so many different perspectives, that civil legal services are a wise investment for New York. Not only in terms of the moral and ethical obligation that we have to help those who need assistance, but also in terms of the bottom line of our society, the institutions of that society, that are all served by helping people helping and our community stay stable, and stopping people from falling off the cliff and sometimes during some of the worst

1	moments of their lives.
2	So, thank you all, thank
3	everybody for coming to these hearings and
4	we greatly appreciate it and I thank the
5	Task Force for doing the hard work to
6	prepare us all for the hearing.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	
3	I, CYNTHIA A. WEST, a Shorthand
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
5	State of New York, do hereby CERTIFY that
6	the foregoing record taken by me at the time
7	and place noted in the heading hereof is a
8	true and accurate transcript of the same, to
9	the best of my ability and belief.
10	
11	
12	
13	Cynthia A. West
14	
15	Dated: October 3, 2011
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	