PROCEEDINGS

2

1

3456

7

8

10

9

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Good morning. This is the third of four hearings on unmet civil legal services needs in New York. I'll start out by telling you that no issue, is more fundamental to the Court's Constitutional mission than ensuring legal justice for all. The availability of affordable legal representation, for low income New Yorkers is indispensable to our ability to carry out that mission, and that's why we're here today. I'll introduce to you the panel, that is presiding over today's cases. To my right is presiding Justice Anthony Cardona, Presiding Justice of the Third Department, we're delighted that he could be here with us, to my left is Chief Administrative Judge Ann Pfau, who is responsible for the daily operations of the State Court System, and to my far right, is the former President of the State Bar Association, Kate Madigan, who I'm so pleased represents the Bar of the State of New York. This is the hearing really

presided over by the judicial leadership of 1 2 the State and leadership of the profession. 3 4 5 6 7

And the reason why we're all here is because this is so important to what we do, and I want to thank everybody who is here today, witnesses, citizens, members of the task force, Helene Barnett the Chair of the task, where are you? Steve Banks is here, somewhere, there he is, Camille Enders and Willie LaVoy are here and I want to thank all of them for being here, participating on

this task force.

What is clear is that the economic collapse that we've had in this state, and in this nation, has dramatically effected the people that appear in the court in our courts particularly, the most vulnerable in society the poor, the elderly, struggling families, the disabled, the victims of domestic violence. There's been a sharp rise in foreclosure proceedings, evictions, debt cases, family offenses, matrimonial conflict, and the premise of these hearings and the cases we're going to have to take to the Legislature, is that

13 14

8

9

10

11

12

15 16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22 23

2.4

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

when you're dealing with the very necessities of life, the roof, the very roof over people's heads, their livelihoods, their well-being and that of their families is, you must have a lawyer when you come into Court. Amount 50 years ago, in Gideon vs. Wainwright the US Supreme Court said it's an obvious truth when someone is hauled into a court in a criminal case and doesn't have a lawyer, they cannot get a fair day in court. They cannot get their day in Court. And we think it's an equally obvious truth almost 50 years later, that again when someone comes into Court, without an attorney, dealing with the very fundamentals of life that they cannot get their day in court without an attorney.

Last year over two million people came into our courts, 2.3 million people without an attorney, a real shocking number if you think about it, 2.3 million people, and despite the best efforts of our Bar and I want to commend Kate and all the other Bar Associations in the State that have contributed over two million hours of pro

25

bono work, it is just not enough and what we need to have, is stable, consistent state funding out of the public to pay for civil legal services. This is as important as all the other things we prioritize in this state. Whether it be the schools, or the hospitals, or all the other things that are the essentials of a civilized society. For every person that civil legal service providers take in take as clients, there are another eight to ten that are turned away and that's also a staggering number, it is witness to the absolute need to provide again, some kind of coherent way of dealing with this. This is not something that we can just leave and say gee, let's have people just, you know, fend for themselves, with the end result, is that society pays the price. Both in terms of what it does to the cohesiveness of the society and in terms of the bottom line, that those people wind up using the Social Services, that are so costly and for a relatively small investment I think the bottom line is also really we're down to the benefit of the State. But first

25

and foremost, this is certainly a moral and ethical obligation that we have, as a judiciary and as a profession, and the reason, and we have chosen to conduct these hearings, and take a leadership role in this is if not us who? If those who are entrusted to provide equal justice to all, all of us in the justice system, whatever role we have, I'm not going to stand up for that premise and that mission, who is? And that's why these hearing are going to be conducted. I believe in the end, we will present to the Legislature the most comprehensive report in the country on this issue, chapter and verse, as to why it has to be one of the priories of our society, and our government, and we are going to do that in conjunction with the judiciary budget request, and it is critically important that and we were so pleased that the Legislature did a joint resolution endorsing the process that we've laid out asking us to do that report, and asking us how much money is needed, to close the gap in civil legal services.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, we have a full plate today, I just want to introduce a few people, Judge, my colleague, Victoria Grafio and I'm so pleased she's here today sitting right up front, Judge Malone, from the Appellate Division, Judge Egan, from the Appellate Division, and I'm so pleased that they are all here today. And this is an important event and being in Albany is particularly important, and we have a full plate so what I would ask the witnesses to do is, try to stay on schedule. It's not necessary to necessarily read your statement, by all means you can, but we're trying to limit each witness to approximately five minutes and maybe five minutes of questions, but don't get scared if the light comes on, it's advisory and we're used to that here in the Court, that but so we're trying to move along. It's a very lengthy hearing and we'd like to keep on time.

What is most important is we would like to get the information that we need to give our report to the Legislature and I would also note that Judge Fern Fisher

I'm

the state wide Administrative Judge for 1 2 access to justice and the Deputy Chief 3 Administrative Judge in New York State, 4 Fern, where are you? Right over there. 5 so pleased she's here and without any 6 further adeu let's get to our two witnesses. 7 Michael Breslin, the Albany County Executive, and Kathleen Hogan the 8 9 District Attorney of Warren County. Hello. 10 We're so pleased to have both of you here. MR. BRESLIN: Thank you very 11 12 much, Your Honor. 1.3 Judge Pfau, Mrs. Madigan, I'm the 14 County Executive and I'm here to define the 15 problems that we face in Albany County. We're fortunate first of all to 16 17 have a number of exemplary civil legal 18 providers in the County, Legal Aid, Legal 19 Aid Project, Civil Justice Center, 2.0 Disability Advocates and Castle Program, all 21 of which contribute mightily to represent 22 people, but again, not enough. There are 23 over 50 lawyers that are employed by these 2.4 agencies providing these services. They are

25

providing services for those facing

1 homelessness, evictions, mortgage foreclosure, domestic violence, old age, 2 3 disability claims. The Legal Aid is a critical part of ours with the Department of 4 5 Social Services to prevent evictions or forestall them to allow individuals to find alternate housing, by making the process work and giving them alternatives. intervention not only prevents individuals from becoming homeless, but saves significant tax payer dollars, by avoiding stays in homeless shelters. For example, in 2009 Legal Aid

For example, in 2009 Legal Aid forestalled evictions of some 75 families and 27 individuals, with an estimated savings to Albany County of \$702,000. As well as a saving --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: County Executive, let me stop you for a second, it's such an important point that you're making. We heard this testimony over and over again, there's a feeling that gee, if we spend money on civil legal services, it's still another drain on our economy and what we heard from people in the trenches like you

We

is that is exactly the opposite. By 1 spending the money on this, in the end, for 2 3 the local governments the state governments, 4 that the bottom line is an impact in a very 5 positive way. 6 MR. BRESLIN: That's correct. 7 also do things with agencies like United Tenants and other organizations that 8 9 actually counsel people beforehand to get to 10 them before legal type intervention that 11 maybe avoids the problem. But in the final 12 analysis, if that fails, there's a 13 significant money that we pay, as well as 14 where the people have to get bumped around to -- in the homeless shelters because 15 16 that's not a nice place to be compared to 17 where people where being evicted from. 18 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And so beyond the 19 moral imperative? 2.0 MR. BRESLIN: Absolutely both. 21 In Albany County during 2008 which was the 22 last year we have real numbers for, there were 5,986 petitions filed in the City of 23

2.4

25

Albany, coupled with Watervliet that amounts

to six thousand. Only three to four percent

25

1

of the tenants were being represented by an attorney. While the majority of the landlords in Albany, 55 percent Cohoes, 70 percent, Watervliet were represented by an attorney. There's an approximate cost of the Department of Social Services for placements -- of individuals out of evictions was a million six. Legal Aid helps us in helping individuals with Social Security disability benefits, their claims both for SSI, and SSDI, were huge in Albany County. They won 337 disability cases generating over 400 thousand dollars, for their clients. That is not only money -client's money that's back to the community. That is all part of our economy.

JUDGE PFAU: I would just add
the only -- the people that you are bringing
out that we heard before and when we focus
on representation in the courts and the
figures we talked about, there's a number of
people who appear without representation, so
much of the representation like you're
talking about, is before they get to the
Court. To avoid going to Court.

MR. BRESLIN: Yes, and in some 1 2 instances we do have other agencies that are 3 giving the non-legal stuff to make sure that the people are doing what they've got to do 4 5 or if they really should be evicted or 6 ultimately will be evicted to find a place 7 to go. So the proceedings never happens, but it's done in a way that the people get 8 9 to a better place. 10 JUDGE CARDONA: Do you have 11 conversations with the providers concerning 12 the people they can't represent because they 13 don't have adequate staff? Do you get 14 involved in that at all if they don't? My 15 understanding is they can't represent 16 everybody that has a need. MR. BRESLIN: Providers in the 17 sense like social --18 19 JUDGE CARDONA: I'm talking 2.0 about Legal Aid, Legal Services. 21 MR. BRESLIN: Absolutely there's 22 a finite amount of resources they have and 23 absolutely. 2.4 JUDGE CARDONA: That's a problem 25 because they don't have the resources, maybe

1 they or we can do a lot more in saving 2 money. 3 MR. BRESLIN: Absolutely. 4 There's no question of that and I mean, I'm 5 not going to sit here and say that every one 6 of those persons who is going to be evicted 7 needs legal representation or that it's 8 never going to happen, but there's a fair 9 measure of them that would, with legal 10 representation. We get to a much better 11 place and appropriately so not just to 12 delay, delay for the sake of delay. 13 MS. MADIGAN: Is there any sense 14 that a particular population of senior citizens has far greater concerns at the 15 16 time of eviction, this -- that increase 17 costs or is there mitigation? MR. BRESLIN: I don't know that 18 19 but --2.0 MS. MADIGAN: County funds? 21 MR. BRESLIN: I don't know that 22 in the instance of the senior citizen that 23 will cost more because they're more frail 2.4 and likely into to need additional services 25 and the like. To say that's the problem is

focused more on seniors and I'm not able to say that, but I will say when a senior it's a much more, well, that and family with young kids those too are the ones that cause us the most distress and those are people that generally wherever they have been, they've been by their own volition and is a better place that we can provide for them. I mean we do our darndest.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: You do your darndest at great cost and not necessarily with something you desire.

MR. BRESLIN: In all cases where they have been or are being pushed out, is not going to be a better place. But we work in that arena as well. And I'm not going to have anything further with the specifics of my testimony, but I mean I'm torn in these times to figure out where does the money come from? But I do know every time that we have someone who generally needs those services, that we get them off the margin, whether it's their frailty or kids, and the like, if we get them to a better place we're all the better for it. Moreover as well as

dollars and cents those people bounce from 1 2 one -- from one thing to another and 3 another, and if we can get them in the first 4 instance, and I think that -- not only is it 5 legal aid in the sense of a lawyer assigned 6 to do a specific task, but it's other things 7 that we need to make sure that this will those -- legal agencies that, it's United 8 9 Tenants is the biggest around in our area 10 who -- who sit down with people and find out 11 what the situation is, and not always to go 12 into Court, but to figure out, what the 13 better alternative is, but if necessary to 14 go to court, and judges have been very 15 receptive in allowing them to speak on their 16 behalf. We do very much need it and it 17 would benefit not only the individuals, but 18 all of us. 19 And I just say not simply dollars 2.0 and cents because, the alternatives, 21 ultimately are much more expensive in most 22 cases. 23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you County 2.4 Executive Breslin, I'm so pleased that 25 you're here today. I think it's important

that the people understand what the ground
level people like you are grappling with
every day, with the real problems that are
facing our citizenry.

MR. BRESLIN: Those are the real
numbers out of or Social Services Department
to define the alternatives.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you so

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you so much. District Attorney Hogan so pleased you're here today.

MS. HOGAN: Good morning, Your
Honor. Thank you for having me. I was very
honored when I was asked to speak here
today, because I am a career prosecutor. I
started in the Brooklyn D.A.'s office back
in 1988 and I can give you a perspective
from a metropolitan and rural viewpoint.

As the Warren County District

Attorney, someone from a small county, I am a working D.A. and I handle cases. I see day in and day out, a number of people who are denied adequate civil legal representation. And I specifically want to share with you this morning the plight of the domestic violence victim, survivor, I

2.4

25

should say. I see without a doubt, three, four times a week, in a county that only has 65,000 people, that there is a strikingly large number of the people who are going into the Family Court arena without representation. And I want to share with you one case that we just resolved this week. It started in January, on Martin Luther King weekend, that Saturday night the victim was beaten by her husband of seven years and there has been violence throughout the relationship. She was -- she had substantial bruising around the face, she never notified law enforcement, she took her kindergarten daughter to school the following Tuesday, and on the way to school on Wednesday, the six year old said to her mother, mommy, can you not bring me to school any more? That child realized the marks that were on her mother were inappropriate and it was that moment when that woman realized she needed to get out of the relationship. She went to the Family Court, and the Family Court judge was so aghast at her physical condition, that he

25

said have you reported this to the police? No, I haven't. He picked up the phone and called me and said you need to begin a criminal investigation. So it was that the criminal court case started, and this woman's the father-in-law, had money, he hired an attorney for his son, and an attorney for her. There was litigation, there was a hearing, she was put through an excruciating cross-examination, and I question whether a Legal Aid attorney, would have who is trained in the issue of domestic violence, would have been a better alternative for her. Because while she was a person who had money, she did not have an attorney that was experienced in that area of law. Legal aid civil services in Family Court give domestic violence, domestic survivors a tremendous resource to be able to withstand the Family Court proceedings, where there is often a concurrent criminal Court proceeding. In this particular case, this woman went through such an arduous cross-examination, that she literally made a threat to her own life and said too I don't

want to go to forward with the criminal 1 2 case. 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Kate, District 4 Attorney Hogan, you make a --5 MS. HOGAN: I prefer Kate, Your 6 Honor. 7 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. This is one justice system and I think sometimes 8 9 people fail to recognize how it is so 10 interrelated and the different parts of it, and I think your testimony is so telling in 11 12 that regard, that it all fits together. MS. HOGAN: Well, and I want to 13 14 commend the legal aid civil attorneys 15 because they do have expertise and I think 16 that more funding for them in the arena of 17 the Family Court, so that they can be the 18 advocate for whomever, whether it's the 19 mother or the father, and it doesn't have to be someone who is a domestic violence 2.0 21 survivor, but it really is everyone who 22 comes into the Court system is supposed to 23 get a fair shake. You're not supposed to be 2.4 standing there on your own, and you surely 25 when you go in shouldn't have to run the

risk of losing your children because you can't get counsel.

I had a chance to speak to one of my colleagues here and she works in the Family Court doing DV volunteer pro bono that takes an enormous amount of time and understanding these cases are — that they require an emotional stamina on the part of the advocate and also an expertise, and I think that it really is a disservice to anyone who is put in the Family Court forum that they're not, not given an opportunity to file for civil legal services.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: What could be more important when you are dealing with your family, your safety, your very well-being for you and your family?

MS. HOGAN: To echo what County
Executive Breslin said, there's a ripple
effect to all of this because if some child
is in an environment that is unhealthy
simply because that parent had the
wherewithal to have a better attorney in
Family Court, that child is not necessarily
going to be the citizen we need. I can see

early on in some of the kids in these

families that I suspect will become part of

the criminal justice system. And it's not

really something that is within their

control. The environment that they're in is

a dangerous one, so at the time when kids

are going to make maybe not so smart

decisions, a kid from that environment is

clearly going to have less of an ability to

make the right choice than other kids.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think it's fair to say in so many areas of theoretically the civil justice system, that the outcome of not having legal services winds up giving you a lot more customers later on.

MS. HOGAN: I would love to be put out of business, I would, I want to diminish my case loads and it's more fundamental than wanting to -- to be improving the criminal justice system when you look in the eye of a woman who in this particular case had seven years of a violent marriage, and then she goes into the Family Court, and she is not given a fair shake? And that's what I said to her, you weren't

given a fair shake. Thank goodness we had a great Family Court judge who saw right through that and the right decision was made.

JUDGE PFAU: We have heard at the other hearings from that panel of judges, talking about how difficult that is to walk that line, not to be the advocate, but to remain the judge, but yet when you are in a situation like that with a victim of violence, and you know that that victim would be so much better served with legal support.

MS. HOGAN: Exactly, Your Honor.

And I think that there is and again I want to commend not just the Legal Aid Society, but as in our county we have a domestic violence community coordination counsel and we have a lot of energy put into these cases, to give emotional support to have a domestic violence advocate. And we don't have the critical component of an attorney, as much as we want to be an advocate for the domestic violence survivor, and if we don't have someone in the well who knows the rules

of evidence, who knows what the Family Court 1 Act provides, who knows how to stand up and 2 3 argue or get the hearing adjourned so that the witness is not so effected to sworn 4 5 testimony and cross-examination, that's 6 going to be later used in the criminal case. 7 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It would seem so 8 fundamental. 9 MS. HOGAN: It is fundamental, it 10 is fundamental and I think that is has an 11 enormous impact not just that quality of 12 that life, but in the community, because the 13 more we have people who are in a stable 14 environment --15 No question. JUDGE LIPPMAN: 16 MS. HOGAN: Who have been handled 17 appropriately by the Family Court, I think 18 that the better off we all are. 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Societal 2.0 dysfunction, you know just, you know, is 21 counter to everything that we have to 22 achieve in our state, and in our government, 23 and it serves no ones interests and 2.4 certainly the lack of legal representation 25 contributes greatly to that. I think people

1	who sat in Family Court, and Judge Cardona
2	has a really good sense.
3	MS. HOGAN: Yes.
4	JUDGE CARDONA: I appointed an
5	attorney for everybody, and Mike used to pay
6	it.
7	MR. BRESLIN: I did.
8	MS. HOGAN: Thank you, Your
9	Honor.
10	JUDGE LIPPMAN: There's no
11	question, it's so fundamental.
12	JUDGE CARDONA: It's so
13	fundamental. People don't know, it's a
14	matter of fairness, we've got to do the
15	right thing.
16	MS. MADIGAN: And of course the
17	Family Court does have the discretion to
18	appoint counsel for the child. Does that
19	occur in your community?
20	MS. HOGAN: Yes it does, and the
21	issue isn't, I mean, I think that our Family
22	Court is doing a tremendous job, under very
23	adverse circumstances. Any time I walk down
24	into the Family Court I'm amazed at the
25	volume of the people that are there. The

1 waiting room is overflowing. This is one area in the judicial system that I think 2 3 needs to be really funded in a much greater way, and I am sure my colleagues in the 4 5 D.A.'s Association are going to be saying 6 what are you talking about, but it's the 7 Family Court. 8 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Without question 9 Kate. 10 MS. HOGAN: Is the need for more 11 funding. 12 JUDGE LIPPMAN: District Attorney 13 Hogan, thank you so much. And County 14 Executive thank you. You have been very 15 helpful and we appreciate your coming down. 16 Okay. Okay. Next we have a 17 panel of laymen of community leaders, Dennis 18 Hughes, Denise Berkley, Patricia Bentley, 19 Earl Eichelberger and Steven Longo. Would 2.0 you come up and sit at the witness table 21 please? I appreciate your all coming in, as 22 non-lawyers, don't get nervous. The white 23 light means theoretically you have two 2.4 minutes left. The red light means your time 25 is up, but we're not going to yank you off

the witness stand, just relax. Let's first take the president of the New York State

AFL-CIO, Dennis Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Good morning. My name is Dennis Hughes and I'm the President of the 2.5 million member New York State AFL-CIO. I'd like to extend my thanks, my thanks to Judge Lippman and today's panel, for the opportunity to present my point of view on this most important and urgent issue.

My organization represents
working men and women from every sector of
our work force. Our members are the
backbone of the city, town and neighborhoods
and communities in the state, and as such we
are acutely aware of the effect political,
social and economic policies have had on the
every day lives of working people. It's my
belief that unmet civil legal services
throughout the state can be traced to public
policy decisions carried out over the last
three decades. These policies have greatly
influenced the lives and have negatively
impacted the lives of most men and women who

25

need these services the most. As a result, most remaining businesses have latched onto a race to the bottom, lower wages, reduced health care and defined contribution rather than defined benefit pensions that have become the norm. While this strategy may result in short term gains for those employers, the economy as a whole falters. As corporate profits may rise, workers have less money in their pockets to spend and as such small business overall suffer as a result of fewer customers. The municipal and tax base decreases and the sales drop off. When these policies fail, we end up with this low wage, high debt society. The less money to spend most people rely on borrowed money. So there is more credit card debt and an increase in home equity loans and as people go deeper into debt it becomes -- it is increasingly more difficult, to get out. They lose their homes, their assets, and have nothing to fall back on, and need good legal representation more than ever.

JUDGE CARDONA: People that are

working, people out there working, they can make 30, \$40,000, they have difficulties getting access to the system, but I think that's what you're talking about.

MR. HUGHES: That's what I'm

talking about, without going through this to the end, you know we used to do most of the legal -- a lot of the legal services that working men and woman receive is through their benefits structure and we have legal service plans and other things of that nature, that to a great degree come from public employment as well as Taft/Hartley funds and others. We've seen a tremendous decrease in the extension of that benefit. You know, when you go into the negotiation and agreements, that's one of the first things you let go because you're looking to hold on to the benefits of health, pension and wages. So, even as we have people working at diminished levels, they have much more need for legal aid.

JUDGE PFAU: Are you seeing increased needs?

MR. HUGHES: I am.

23

2.4

25

JUDGE PFAU: Both across the

types of things that are needed,

foreclosures?

MR. HUGHES: Eviction,

foreclosure all the -- even so far as Wills

and Judge again legal services that people

put off over time because they don't have

the money to do it, and then when they get

into that situation it becomes a large legal

way to get out.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Also part of this puzzle, for a lot of people they think of it as totally the indigent and then people that can afford and there really is that in between group that often is, as you said, particularly in difficult times, what we would categorize as the working poor to some degree, and I know the Capital Women's Bar and the legal project that targets that particular group for pro bono efforts, but it really is a problem. People who may be working, but literally just getting by and you get into a legal situation and it involves things that are fundamental to life, and you just can't afford it.

MR. HUGHES: And you can't afford 1 2 it. And these are about people that have 3 just stepped on the first ladder, you know to the middle class, to some semblance of 4 5 economic security, an entry level, I would 6 say union jobs, public employment jobs that 7 are, they pay -- that's the 30 to 50, \$50,000 if you are lucky and that type of 8 9 thing and that's the -- what they are really 10 what -- what we're talking about here. And we have become more litigious in the way we 11 12 do business here and people have that need 13 of legal services or access to good legal 14 advice more than ever. 15 JUDGE PFAU: Its interesting in 16 Rochester talking about education and 17 hearing about the need to have a lawyer to 18 navigate the public education system. 19 Something as basic as that. 2.0 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And to navigate 21 the health system and all the others. 22 JUDGE PFAU: So you want to get 23 the basic services you're entitled to. 2.4 MR. HUGHES: Just to end up here without taking more time, we realize the 25

situation, we're in here, this is a change to the economy with needs that we've never seen before. And it's very important to all of us, to the State of New York, to my members, to communities to everyone, that we develop a mechanism where we have sure and reliable funding for legal services in this state. It is — it's one of the things that we must do as we move forward.

Thank you so JUDGE LIPPMAN: much. I think you've identified the right words, sure and reliable, consistent, stable, funding that you can rely on because really what happened in the IOLA crisis in New York State highlighted for all of us the problem when you are relying on unstable sources of the funding where the interest rates for obvious reasons in this economy have gone way down and lawyers accounts, and that particular problem threatened to close down and some legal services providers, as a direct reflection in the economy, rather than if you had stable, consistent public funding, that you know is going to be there, in particularly difficult economic times.

1

1 MR. HUGHES: Can I say one more 2 thing if I can? 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Sure. 4 MR. HUGHES: Judge that we also 5 are fortunate to have a large membership 6 that are the lawyers, that actually perform 7 these services and you know it's hard to hold on to competent people over a long 8 9 period of time when the funding goes up and 10 down and you don't know where you're going. 11 There's many parts to this, but not only do 12 we want legal representation, but we want 13 the best legal representation we can get and 14 we want to attract the best attorneys that 15 we can. So that's another aspect of the --16 it's not sp much the level which we would 17 like to see much higher, but the 18 consistency. 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you 2.0 so much, we appreciate your coming in. 21 Denise Berkley, the state wide secretary for 22 the Civil Services Employees Association, 23 CSEA. 2.4 MS. BERKLEY: Good morning, Your 25 Honor. Good morning. I'm honored to be

here this morning. My name is Denise

Berkley, and I am the state wide secretary

of the Civil Service Employees Association,

CSEA, New York's leading union.

As a union representing working men and women and unions with a strong commitment to community, CSEA is pleased to offer its support for increased access, to the legal services in New York State. CSEA represents 295,000 New York State local, municipal, County, state and private sector employees and as a labor union, we hold these values, as our working principles, honesty, inclusiveness, full participation, diversity, open communication, accountability and fiscal responsibility. We share these principles with the Office of Court Administration and legal community. Only when these principles are observed will all New Yorkers have equal access to the justice system.

While CSEA provides access to free representation in most labor and employee related disputes, it has as a benefit to members to provide access to a

23

2.4

discounted legal service plan, many of our 1 members will find hiring any private 2 3 attorney, to be a prohibitive cost. Many are eligible for free civil legal services. 4 5 For example, a family of four with an annual gross income of \$44,100, would well be 6 7 eligible for free civil legal services. The average salary, the average salary, of a 8 9 CSEA member is below \$40,000, a year. CSEA 10 is well aware of the many situations in 11 which there are not enough civil legal 12 services lawyers, to provide much needed 13 representation. 14 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And these are the 15 very people who are turned away often. 16 MS. BERKLEY: Exactly. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Because they 18 don't have the funding to do it and that's 19 the problem and what we're trying to do is, 2.0 quantify what is the unmet need, and where 21 it is, what kinds of cases, what areas of 22 the state, and the dollars involved. 23 MS. BERKLEY: Yes. 2.4 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Because again for 25 every person there are just, there are

estimates all the way ranging from up to 8 1 2 to ten are turned away, whatever you want to 3 accept. 4 MS. BERKLEY: There are so many 5 that need the legal services providers, that 6 aren't able to meet the significant need. 7 Just what we just saying for civil legal services with respect to the domestic 8 9 violence, and family law, foreclosure, and 10 threatened homelessness, senior citizens 11 needing representation, who try to maintain 12 an independent life. And we are aware that 13 the CSEA members throughout the state have 14 benefited from the limited legal services program that exists and now indeed later 15 16 this morning, one of our members the Court 17 will hear from, Dorothy Medina, a CSEA 18 member who has been represented by the Legal 19 Project, in Albany New York. 2.0 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Just what we are 21 talking about, the working poor? 22 MS. BERKLEY: Exactly. 23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Who can't afford 2.4 attorneys. 25 MS. BERKLEY: Exactly.

1	MS. MADIGAN: Do your members
2	find that if their civil and legal needs are
3	not being met and that that also results in
4	an increase in absenteeism and you add to
5	the cost of
6	MS. BERKLEY: All of that adds to
7	the cost because there's an effect, how can
8	I come to work when I have other issues, I
9	have to deal with. It will cause
10	absenteeism and all the other areas that we
11	have to deal with. So it's an effect it
12	effects a domino effect.
13	JUDGE LIPPMAN: You've also got
14	a situation where people who are working
15	spending time in legal situations that don't
16	continue working that become a burden on
17	society rather than someone who has income.
18	MS. BERKLEY: That can't come to
19	work.
20	Yes.
21	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes.
22	MS. BERKLEY: Okay. Thank you.
23	Thanks so much.
24	Thank you.
25	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Our next speaker

is Patricia Bentley.

MS. BENTLEY: Thank you. Chief
Justice and members of the panel and
distinguished members of the audience, I
want to thank you for the invitation to
speak here about NYSUT support for legal
services throughout the state. I live in
the north country, and so I'm particularly
interested in access to --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Explain what NYSUT is?

MS. BENTLEY: New York State
United Teachers and I go on to stay that,
but New York State United Teachers. I'm
honored by the opportunity to be here. I
serve on the board of the directors of the
New York State United Teachers as a
representative for United University
Professions, which is the state-wide union
representing over 34,000 academic and
professional members working in state
operated campuses in the State University.
I just completed a six year term on the
national counsel of the American Association
of University Professors, and I wanted to

25

1

note that Judge Lippman's important 2010 law day remarks, described a vision for the future, of an unprecedented coalition of judges and legal services providers, law colleges and Bar groups who will work together to secure permanent funding for civil legal services. I'm hopeful and confident that under your leadership New York will realize that vision. So, I'm glad to add labor's voice to this whole issue seeking funding to increase access to justice and I want to assure you that NYSUT, takes its mission very seriously and among the principles of our mission that tenent that we work to improve the personal lives of our members and their families, to strengthen the institutions in which we work and to further the cause of social justice through the trade union movement.

I mentioned my work at the State union level, my State wide work is very important to me, but like all union members, I live and work in a community that I care deeply about. I have been a librarian at SUNY Plattsburgh since 1977, I own a home in

Plattsburgh, and I'm a Rotarian and I'm an 1 2 elected member of the Plattsburgh City 3 School Board and I'm also the Vice President of the Rural Law Center Board of Directors. 4 5 JUDGE PFAU: So you see the tentacles of this in all of these different? 6 7 MS. BENTLEY: In a variety of aspects, my services on the School Board 8 9 that's informed my union work, my union work 10 has informed that, my work with the Rural 11 Law Center has been extraordinary in 12 bringing me to a level of understanding and 1.3 I've also taken mediation training, so --14 JUDGE PFAU: And what we have 15 been hearing in all of these different 16 areas, is really the crux has to be, a consistent, stable funding stream, and 17 18 you're feeling that in your community? 19 MS. BENTLEY: As a matter of fact 2.0 I'm now running for City Council so, I do 21 take civil possibility and involvement 22 seriously and I understand the privilege 23 that I have as a union member, and a member 2.4 of the faculty of the State University. In 25 terms of that, NYSUT to further define that

25

has over six hundred thousand members in every community across the state which makes us available and observant in every community. There's not a community in New York State that doesn't have a school. There's hardly a community that doesn't have a NYSUT member. We represent teachers, college faculty and professionals, school bus drivers, custodians, secretaries, cafeteria workers, work teacher assistants, nurses, health care technicians and most recently affiliated with my union, life quards. Like me our members have deep roots in the local community. We're an integral part of New York social and educational fabric and see what happens to families in economic crisis and we see the members lose employment, but we also see as public servants what happens to all those in our community who are in financial and legal crisis. We are aware that in this economic climate, as you stated already, families in our communities constantly face issues like homelessness, domestic violence, predatory lending, foreclosures and denial due to our

25

ignorance of access to public benefits. Naturally the labor movement cares about jobs and employment, but we also care what happens when someone looses his or her job and cannot find another. I'm privileged, my union membership entitles me to reduced rates to legal services and I need just to call my union representative, and I can have access to an attorney, usually at at a group rate. That person can represent me, advise me and fight for my rights. Having a right to legal counsel is the foundation of social justice. Even if I did not have this union benefit, I can afford to hire an attorney if indeed I need to have one. This is not the case for most low income New Yorkers and many of our lower paid members. The only defense against homelessness or illegal debt collection, is a legal services attorney. When a victim of domestic violence can only be truly legally free from her batterer through a divorce, it's a legal services attorney who stands by her in the divorce process. With a funding shortfall for legal services in New York, IOLA, many of the

families in crisis have no one to turn to 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 passed in the 1930's. 21 22 23 2.4

25

and where's the foundation for social justice for these people? What's the safety net for children, woman and men? There are other serious crisis that demand an attorney as people lose their jobs and former employers deny them the right to unemployment insurance, it's a legal services attorney who will fight for them to gain that benefit. In fact, it is primarily legal services attorneys who are trained in the complexities of accessing all legal benefits. In my own sector, higher education, we see an exponential increase in the number of qualified interested members in part time contingent employment, who are not only not employed with little notice, but often are determined to be ineligible for unemployment insurance due to laws

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me interrupt you, when you talk about higher education we heard testimony in Rochester, in particularly community colleges, that you get kids who are really on the cusp of

becoming useful members of the society who
use this gateway.

MS. BENTLEY: Absolutely.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: To get into legal problems, that need representation and there was a lot of testimony about when they don't get that they then fall out just at the point when they get over that hump and start to earn a living, and wind up again being a burden on society. Do you think that's something that resonates with you.

MS. BENTLEY: It certainly does.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: At that level in life, where you're just trying to, get your education?

MS. BENTLEY: Certainly. Many of our students, we have now over 400 Houseman students in the statewide network, but in my own experience, at the State University, we have a couple of community colleges nearby, often students at that age the traditional age of eighteen to 24, but some are even adults, do find themselves unable to meet the obligations for their tuition and fall in arrears, and can't continue their

education or --1 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Drop out. 3 MS. BENTLEY: Drop out. They 4 become part time students, so they're really 5 kind of destined to continue in part time 6 under unemployment or low wage employment. 7 Some of our Social Services changes over the last decade and a half, have also had some 8 9 serious bearing, on how persons on Social 10 Services can enter a higher education and achieve a career or Bachelors degree. You 11 12 know when I think, there's little doubt, that that degree a Bachelors or an 13 14 Associates degree doesn't give you a step 15 up, and a much higher earning potential in 16 your lifetime. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. 18 MS. MADIGAN: Would you speak a 19 little bit about the unique challenges that 2.0 you face in your rural area in terms of 21 transportation? You mentioned in your 22 testimony particularly children and 23 transient families? 2.4 MS. BENTLEY: Exactly, and that

25

is something that came to me as a school

25

board member, it was something I was totally unaware of and if you don't mind I'll just summarize it or read it here. As a Plattsburgh city school member we strive to have the school programs that meet every child's needs and capacity. We take pride in our schools and their success, and I was troubled that one of our schools was identified as in need of improvement, when I thought, all was well. A major factor in identifying this finding was the number of transient students who I assumed and I have to admit to being ashamed of my stereotyping, were the children of the migrant seasonal workers who help bring in our abundant crops of apples and other produce which is not so transient, and in our schools are those from families at poverty level or below and perhaps on public assistance, who live in area camp grounds or parks in the Adirondacks during warmer weather and move to Plattsburgh when the weather becomes too cold to continue camping. Children in these families are routinely pulled from one school to another,

25

on a seasonal basis, as often as four to five times in an academic year and if these students need special services, special education, which we strive to provide during the Summer as well, that number may rise again. It could be six times in a given year. My school district and those around us sometimes cobbled together transportation and informal arrangements to get students stable educational services, but transportation local boundaries, state funding and education law makes that difficult. That is, when a student moves from the Plattsburgh City School District to the Peru School District because they're able to stay in a privately owned camp ground, perhaps with a pop-up trailer, they move to another school district, and we don't have a county wide transportation, system. So one locating a student is difficult, picking them up and getting them to the school district is also hard, and the school districts each have their own funding based on a state education formula. Having that student and have continuity of

education in one school district, is almost 1 2 impossible given the geographic and 3 demographic conditions in rural areas like Clinton County and the Adirondacks. I think 4 5 that probably to summarize it as much I do 6 want to say that I believe that assuring 7 access to justice, and especially justice in rural New York where population, distance 8 and demographics mitigate against access we 10 signal our commitment to social justice by 11 supporting State and federal rights and I 12 know that the members of New York State 13 United Teachers are committed to stable, 14 good education and in every one of our 15 communities regardless of the population. It is hard, we see families and 16 17 students in these critical times 18 increasingly unable to come to school, come 19 to school distracted, perhaps even abused, 2.0 and while there are legal options for that, 21 if they're constantly moving or --22 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's very hard to 23 latch onto. 2.4 MS. BENTLEY: They're not getting 25 the education they need to be successful.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks so much. 1 2 MS. BENTLEY: Thank you. 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Earl 4 Eichelberger, Director of Catholic Charities. 5 6 MR. EICHELBERGER: Good morning. 7 It's a pleasure to be here this morning. I 8 serve as the director the Catholic Charities 9 and New York State Catholic Charities 10 conferences, and we have a presence in all 11 62 counties in the state. We represent the 12 Catholic Bishops, and in all 8 dioceses in 13 matters of public policy. 14 Catholic Charities is the largest 15 non-profit provider of education, health care and human services in the state. 16 17 years ago, Catholic Charities provided 18 services to one million persons of all 19 faiths, and of all age categories in the 2.0 state. We work closely with the 7.3 million 21 parishioners in churches across the state, 22 and in the fifteen hundred Catholic 23 parishes. We worked in recent years with 2.4 those who were trying to get a 25 standardization of services in public

defender offices across the state, trying to 1 2 set up a public defender commission and that 3 was something that we have been involved in, 4 in the Criminal Justice Project. And 5 recently we became aware of the even more 6 disparate services available in this arena, 7 those who need representation in civil matters. We wanted to join and support this 8 9 effort, we have seen where Catholic 10 Charities has provided services to 11 individuals in rural areas and in urban 12 areas, and tried to avoid them being rendered homeless as a result of the --1.3 14 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you have 15 connections? How do you get parishioners to 16 -- over to legal service providers? How 17 does that happen and what are the 18 difficulties in doing that? 19 MR. EICHELBERGER: Well, Catholic 2.0 Charities in most areas gets involved 21 through referrals from the parish priest in 22 some instances. 23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Then you connect 2.4 them to the providers in your county? 25 MR. EICHELBERGER: Yes, in Bath,

New York we have a project that I mentioned 1 2 in the testimony, where Catholic Charities 3 worked with rural New York and they provided some of the social services, some of those 4 5 support services that individuals needed, to 6 avoid eviction or foreclosure, and then 7 legal services picked up and worked with them. 8 9 As I noted in the testimony, they 10 have recently had their funding cut and they 11 were not be able to provide the services, at 12 the level they have in past. 1.3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And all over 14 rural New York these run the gamut of legal 15 service providers who are really just 16 getting by, literally case to case, lawyer 17 for lawyer, and your average parishioner is 18 not necessarily able to connect? 19 MR. EICHELBERGER: That's true. JUDGE LIPPMAN: To a provider 2.0 21 that will take them? 22 MS. MADIGAN: These services 23 aren't just for parishioners or it's a wide 2.4 based community services? 25 MR. EICHELBERGER: Yes.

Catholic Charities services all 1 2 individuals who come to their door, and who 3 the majority of them are not from parishes necessarily. Brooklyn is the largest 4 5 Catholic Charities agency in the country, 6 and they serve all those, those individuals, 7 mainly the poor and vulnerable members of the community. 8 JUDGE PFAU: Are you finding 10 that the parishioners that you're trying to help that you are referring to legal 11 12 services are they able to get the services 13 they need, the legal services they need or 14 is there a lack of funding problem? MR. EICHELBERGER: Often they are 15 16 not. Often there just aren't enough 17 services available, to meet the demand that 18 exists. 19 JUDGE CARDONA: Any particular 2.0 type of case that you've seen more than 21 that, where the needs are not being met? 22 MR. EICHELBERGER: Yes. 23 recent years I think the housing problems have surfaced, but there are domestic 2.4 25 violence cases, as have been previously

They're also those instances where 1 involved in the Family Court. And in a 2 3 previous life I worked for the Division For 4 Youth and spent many a day in Family Court 5 where the individuals were represented by 6 Law Guardians, but that seems to be a 7 diminishing resource right now. And the child may have a representative, but the 8 9 parent is often without representation. 10 MS. MADIGAN: You mentioned in 11 your submitted remarks about the 12 preventative programs you have been able to 1.3 put in place in some locations around the 14 state, particularly in regard to foreclosure 15 and what's the situation in terms of funding 16 for those programs that tend to be primarily 17 urban based programs? 18 MR. EICHELBERGER: There 19 throughout the state the programs that I 2.0 mentioned specifically in Bath, New York 21 which is a rural community. 22 MS. MADIGAN: Right, and are they 23 looking at significant funding cuts? 2.4 MR. EICHELBERGER: They are. As 25 County Executive Breslin mentioned United

1 Tenants here in Albany is a program we 2 support and they have experienced a similar 3 kind of cut, half of their funding has gone 4 away, because --So much for the 5 MS. MADIGAN: 6 ounce of prevention. 7 MR. EICHELBERGER: Yes. 8 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. MR. EICHELBERGER: Thank you. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. We 11 appreciate it. Steven Longo, the Executive 12 Director of the Albany Housing Authority. MR. LONGO: Thank you Chief 1.3 14 Justice Lippman. My name is Steven T. Longo 15 and I'm the Executive Director of the Albany 16 Housing Authority and I've served as the 17 director since 1990. 18 The Housing Authority here owns 19 and operates 24 hundred units of subsidized 2.0 housing. We also administer housing 21 rehabilitation programs, valued at 2.5 22 million dollars annually and we administer 23 the city Section 8 program, which subsidizes 2.4 over 2,200 additional households in the City 25 of Albany. The housing authority also

administers four privately financed home ownership programs. So we're more than 3 providing housing, today we are offering families a foundation they can use to build successful lives inspiring the best in self 6 and in community through quality rentals and home ownership and small business opportunities. Again we're honored to provide testimony to Chief Judge Lippman and 10 presiding Judge Anthony Cardona and to the 11 other respected members of the panel and we 12 thank you for convening this hearing in 1.3 Albany. 14 We have been very fortunate over

the years to partner with community organizations including the Legal Aid service providers, in order to fulfill our mission to lead the community, with professionalism and spirit in providing quality housing for a diverse population of the community and maximize social and economic opportunity. As the largest landlord in the City of Albany the housing authority --

JUDGE CARDONA: You may be the

1

2

4

5

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

2.4

largest landlord in the City of Albany, but from reading your testimony, it seems to me that you're more interested in doing the right thing, that you have seen through your twenty years of experience, that mistakes can happen, people need representation, and we need to provide that. And I would like to applaud you for that. In fact what do you see, how many people are we meeting their needs, what is the -- can we look at a percentage? You're pretty specific as to what your area is, is it really a battle?

MR. LONGO: Well, I feel strongly that public housing in Albany is not housing of last resort, our housing often in neighborhoods is -- we are the best housing, and we are very desired. We have 5,000 people on our waiting list, and when I go to tenant association meetings and talk to the resident population, their biggest concern, is protecting them from, you know, making sure that we're not knowingly letting criminals in or letting existing residents buy illegal trades, so they want to be safe in their homes. So consequently the housing

authority adopted a very aggressive lease 1 enforcement campaign, and there are 2 3 standards to stay in public housing. JUDGE CARDONA: If there should 4 5 be a problem, if a resident in the 6 particular apartment shouldn't be there, and 7 you move pretty aggressively? MR. LONGO: Yes. 8 9 JUDGE CARDONA: Unless you have 10 an attorney, representing the tenant bad 11 things can happen? 12 MR. LONGO: Exactly. 13 JUDGE CARDONA: And you 14 recognize that? 15 MR. LONGO: And I don't think 16 anybody wins in that circumstance. They 17 would get pushed to the homeless provider 18 network which is already over burdened, and 19 whereas if a Legal Aid attorney was to come in and stand shoulder to shoulder with the 2.0 21 housing authority counsel and work this out 22 and find ways to overcome the obstacles, and 23 allow them to stay, get the services they 2.4 need, and stay and not go into the homeless 25 situation, not causing the Housing Authority 1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

the tremendous expense to turnover the apartment, it's a win win for all of us.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: And so

interesting and instructive you're here testifying, and when we were in New York, we had the biggest real estate, rent stabilization association, that came and had exactly the same viewpoint that you did, that it's in nobody's interests, for these people not to have legal representation. The consequences for everybody, socially, morally, bottom line, it hurts everybody, that people without legal representation, it's just a mess. It's destabilizing for society from the housing authority here in Albany to the rent stabilization association in New York City, it is really striking that you're all on the same page in relation to this issue, where it's counterintuitive that you think that the landlord is interested in gee, you know, they have a problem, a legal problem, let's get them out of there and that's not the best result whether you are a profit making entity or whether you're the Albany Housing Authority.

1 MR. LONGO: We also deal with the 2 legal service clinic before it gets to 3 eviction. Several nights during the month, 4 pro bono attorneys and other attorneys meet 5 at the Housing Authority and do free consultations to residents that have all 6 7 types of issues that if they're not 8 addressed, they would be in the eviction 9 process and they could conceivably be 10 homeless. 11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: I join Judge 12 Cardona in applauding you as a public entity 1.3 too for taking that position, you're looking 14 at it from a holistic viewpoint rather than 15 a narrow landlord perspective. 16 MR. LONGO: Right. We want to be 17 fair. 18 JUDGE PFAU: You talked about 19 using pro bono attorneys and that they have 2.0 been so generous in their time, pro bono 21 time and for information to avoid going to 22 Court, is it your experience that this 23 wonderful pro bono effort is enough? Are 2.4 they meeting this kind of need you're seeing 25 among the tenants?

1

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LONGO: No, there's a long wait. And I think in fact, you know, it would be if more attorneys and we had more of the evening law clinics that people are there dealing with all types of issues in employment and matrimonial and things that often times without getting advice of an attorney overwhelm them, and sometimes force them and it's not always eviction for cause and it's eviction for non-payment. 90% of the time because of adverse conditions, that are effecting people's lives that they fall off the budget and one of the things that gets behind is rent and the bottom line is that the Housing Authority has to make its payments, and rent is essential to be paid and we pay on time.

JUDGE CARDONA: I think in the
Third Department that and I think and I'm
not here to testify, but the pro bono
efforts in the Third Department the
attorneys, really they contribute greatly to
the pro bono, but it's really, it doesn't
touch it, it's not enough. We have made
great improvements, our administrative

1 offices has a great of improvement in 2 getting more and more attorneys to 3 participate. We had numerous programs, the Department, CLE's and all of that, but the 4 5 bottom line, there is not just not enough. 6 And people their needs, are not met, and 7 it's time that we do something more 8 aggressive. I would think I heard that only 9 about 20 to 25 percent of the people, that 10 need legal services actually get them, if 11 that figure is accurate, that's a pretty sad 12 thing for our State. MS. MADIGAN: I heard it's as 13 14 low as fifteen and more recently ten 15 percent. 16 JUDGE CARDONA: Now I feel worse 17 than I did when I walked in. 18 MS. MADIGAN: The loss of a home 19 can be just as devastating as the loss of 2.0 freedom, but yet the Constitutional 21 protection of Gideon does not extend to loss 22 of your shelter. 23 MR. LONGO: And often times one 2.4 precedes the other and loss of employment, 25 and then to be going on a job interview and

not being able to tell the employer, you 1 have a stable address, is almost certain 2 3 that you're not going to get the job. comes back to stability of the home. 4 5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, I thank the panel, and one of the things that obviously 6 7 the task force is focusing on is trying to quantify what that is. These hearings are 8 9 all about that and I thank you all for 10 contributing. I appreciate it. Thank you 11 for coming and our next panel will be a 12 judges panel of the Honorable Judith O'Neal, Honorable Mark Powers, Honorable Michael 13 14 Conway, and Honorable Helene Heath Row. 15 Now we're turning the tables on 16 the four of you. The lights will come on, 17 so be timely. 18 MS. MADIGAN: I'm really 19 enjoying this in particular. 2.0 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Why don't 21 we start with Judge O'Shea, who is the 22 former Administrative Judge from the Sixth 23 District and -- and is from the Supreme 2.4 Court in Chemung County. 25 JUDGE O'SHEA: Thank you, Judge

Lippman and Judge Cardona, Judge Pfau, Ms. 1 2 Madigan, thank you for this opportunity to 3 comment on the impact that unrepresented 4 litigants have had on our court system. 5 My observations come from working 6 in the Courthouse in the 6th Judicial 7 District over the past thirty years. Including the 8 JUDGE LIPPMAN: 9 Family Court. 10 JUDGE O'SHEA: I was 9 years in 11 the Family Court bench in Chemung which is 12 one of the busiest Family Courts in that 13 district, I've completed 11 years in Supreme 14 Court, eight of which I also worked as the AJ, and I also worked as a court attorney in 15 16 Schuyler County, a very rural county for the 17 three hatter judges of which are Family, 18 criminal Court, Surrogates Court judge so I 19 feel that I have a good basis for the 2.0 comments I'm about to make. 21 There's no question and you all 22 know that the volume of cases in our courts 23 has expanded tremendously. The complicity 2.4 of the cases the numbers go up and up and

25

up. It's also quite apparent that the

number and the percentage of the 1 unrepresented litigants on those cases is 2 3 also increasing dramatically. I had the Chief Clerk in Chemung pull the numbers for 4 5 me and in 2006 she tells me that there was 6 74 cases filed in the Supreme Court that had 7 one party, as an unrepresented litigant. That represented 8 percent of the total 8 9 filings in the Supreme Court of that year. 10 In the first 9 months of this year, 2010, there has been over -- 178 cases with one 11 12 party self-represented and that represents almost 30% --1.3 14 JUDGE LIPPMAN: 8 percent to 30? 15 JUDGE O'SHEA: 8 percent to 30. 16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: That is? JUDGE PFAU: That is what we're 17 18 hearing. 19 JUDGE O'SHEA: Which means to me 2.0 as a sitting Supreme Court judge by the end 21 of year maybe, one third of my cases are 22 going to have unrepresented litigants. I 23 want to divide my comments into three 2.4 things, the effect of not having counsel on 25 the litigants and the effect on our court

25

system on the day-to-day operations and the effect it has obviously on our judges that are hearing the cases. First and foremost unrepresented litigants the most important thing is that, they really don't get a good result as the people that show up with a lawyer. It's just a fact of life, it's not any one person's fault. I looked and use as an example the foreclose cases I'm hearing. I'm doing lots and lots of that in our county of foreclosure conferences. people that come in that have taken advantage of going to legal aid or going to Catholic Charities, or meeting with someone before they come have a much better chance of having their mortgage renegotiated.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: And these are people who have ordinary lives in rural New York, trying --

JUDGE O'SHEA: They run the gamut and we deal with some you might call the chronic poor, public assistance, people, they were able to buy a house, whatever.

There's another segment you call recently poor and they've lost their job or some of

25

the people lose their mortgages, that's escalating, when the payment is due they don't have the money, any money. Then some people come in with catastrophic illnesses, my husband is very sick, our health insurance lapsed, we have no money.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you run the

JUDGE O'SHEA: They run the gamut and they really do, it's not just poor people, it's people that used to work and something horrible happened.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: People that need legal assistance in one fashion or another. JUDGE O'SHEA: Right.

And it's not just the litigants, let's look at the unrepresented parties vis a vie the people that have counsel, that's not easy either. The counsel maybe the defense attorney we'll call them for arguments sake, they sometimes feel that the judge is standing on his head for the pro se person, and their client feels like my God, this is taking what should be five hours as a fee, and it's been fifteen hours and we

1 2 3

hasn't made a ruling because she keeps giving that person that doesn't have a lawyer an adjournment or what not. People don't like that. They're aggravated.

have been to Court ten times and the judge

Let's see the impact on the court It starts on day one. It even system. starts day one. It starts with people going to the Court Clerk's office, I want my unrepresented matrimonial packet, I want to do my name change, I want to do all sorts of things they do on their own and they probably go the Court Clerk a couple of times, they go to the Supreme Court library a few times and they call my chambers lots of times, and they send my chambers lots of communication, that I can't read and I have to send back. We try to set up conferences, notices, well their address changes so much it takes my secretary sometimes four and five times to find a mailing address to get them, all as a result there's no central point, an attorney's office, Legal Aid office, that we can even reach these people. This is particularly a problem, a growing

25

problem because as you know, our court

staffs are operating with a lot of vacancies

and our staffs are getting smaller and

smaller, all these things take time and it

means that some of the papers that would be

usually processed a little more quickly are

sitting.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge O'Shea, how

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge O'Shea, how does this effect in the courtroom itself what you do when you see them come in without a lawyer? Is there any way that you're able to connect them to a provider, and assuming you can't, how does that effect what happens in the courtroom?

JUDGE O'SHEA: Uhm -- I would say usually they come in first in a motion term, it's usually a default situation, or a --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right.

JUDGE O'SHEA: Someone looking for interim relief and the person is usually unrepresented and they come in on a motion term and make brief argument or whatever, piles of paper, and I hired a debt consolidation service to do this for me and

25

they have all these services and they have done that and that's really not a defense so I try to not to embarrass them the people they're humiliated, you don't want a room full of people and make them explain why they can't pay their rent or whatever, and we come up, and many times, I will oh adjourn it to chambers which means I'll set up a conference on a later date, which sometimes the bank attorneys don't like or whatever, they have to hire local counsel, many of the defaults they're not local attorneys, many of them. They go to legal aid but so few of them get that, Catholic Charities has been helpful, on the foreclosure just setting people up, and as many I'm sure we'll hear from other judges many of the people come to Court on a particular issue, that's really not the biggest problem. They might come on a foreclosure, but they have divorce problems, domestic violence cases. And Family Court is a perfect example of that person that comes in.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: All needing legal

representation.

JUDGE O'SHEA: The Courts are a reflection or a microcosm of everything that happens in society. It aall comes in front of us, and these people that have representation make out better than the people that don't.

JUDGE PFAU: And you're supposed to stay neutral as opposed to reflect justice, and -- and how do you that when you have somebody clearly so needy on one side?

tell them, what to do. And I do. I'm not going to let somebody get run over by someone who has counsel by somebody that doesn't. But on the other hand you do walk a fine line. One gentleman in Family Court, he didn't have a lawyer, the person did that had the child support thing, and I kept hearing him mumble under his breath, I hear a train, and I said what, and I heard, I hear a train, and finally I said Mr. Smith, there's no train, and he'd say I'm being railroaded he says. And you don't want people leaving the system feeling like

they've been miss treated or not treated 1 2 fairly, so it is stressful. 3 JUDGE CARDONA: We can't give up 4 on anyone, you can't give up. Because if we 5 do that, we might as well lock the door. 6 JUDGE O'SHEA: And that time 7 wise, some of the cases you can spend a couple of hours trying to get the facts out 8 9 and stuff and that might be justice for that 10 case, but it's not justice for the ten 11 people waiting in the waiting room that get 12 no time. 13 MS. MADIGAN: And the impact on 14 your docket must be a nightmare. 15 JUDGE O'SHEA: Right, you have 16 met five times and it backs up so thank you 17 all for listening to me. You have a big job 18 in front of you and I hope you find a good 19 answer. 20 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you Judge 21 O'Shea. 22 Judge Powers? 23 JUDGE POWERS: Thank you. I want 2.4 to of course thank you, Judge Lippman and 25 Judge Pfau and you Judge Cardona, my

immediate superior and Attorney Madigan. I am a Schenectady County Family Court Judge, and I'm also an Acting Supreme, and in which I handle matrimonial actions for the last two years, I have done a lot -- approximately 25 percent of the matrimonial calendar and in the years before that, for several years, I had as much as 50% of the calendar.

As this panel may already be aware, representation is provided in Family Court proceedings, when it relates to abuse, neglect, termination of parental rights and by case law with regard to the custody matters. The difficulty though is that these issues are sometimes intertwined with a divorce and what I noticed in particular, with regard to divorce actions, is the inability of litigants to navigate the system. Try if you will to imagine a litigant who is given a packet for an uncontested divorce, even an experienced attorney who does not practice in that area, would of have difficulty with the packet and what happens is, we send the submissions

25

1

25

back because at a minimum they have to comply with the statute. There has to be the requisite documentation, and on the 4th or 5th occasion, we finally just about have to give up and the poor litigant is at a point where they have given up. alleviate this problem, I initiated a program or asked several volunteer attorneys, if they would be kind enough to come in, twice a month, to meet with these litigants in uncontested matters because I had to define it that way in which their sole purpose was to assist litigants with the paperwork. What I have found was, there was just too many. Secondly, the attorneys who participated were telling me, look, it was easier for me just to do the whole thing so I wound up doing the whole thing on my computer as a courtesy and I expressed my gratitude to these people for what they have done, but the need was so great, even in the uncontested side. I have not discussed contested issues that come before me. On a contested we do assigned counsel for the limited role as relates to custody. But

that leaves often equitable distribution,
maintenance, child support, and the issue of
child support is huge.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're fundamental to the result.

JUDGE POWERS: They certainly are, and I you can't think of a more emotionally laden matter, than a divorce, and outside of perhaps incarceration, and these people are frustrated and as you know, from what you read, what we testified to, the litigants who come here often come away thinking I didn't get a fair shake, I didn't know what was going on. That attorney serves a purpose of advising the litigant of course and ensuring a just result. These things require considerable explanation.

On October 12th we are going to implement new legislation with regard to maintenance, with regard to the attorneys fees, no fault grounds for divorce, and these litigants if it takes an attorney to understand, imagine what they need to know to be able to effectively have --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Imagine their

2.4

perception of the justice system when they 1 leave, as you said. 2 JUDGE POWERS: Well, they think 3 it's skewed, to be frank, it's the system, 4 5 so to speak. JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's unfair which 6 7 is just the opposite of what we want to do. JUDGE POWERS: Exactly. So I 8 9 welcome the purpose of this task force. 10 do want to acknowledge the efforts of 11 locally, of Mrs. Frear with the Legal 12 Project, of Mr. Malloy with the Legal Aid 13 Society who has always been a wonderful 14 assistant, you can call upon them, their 15 attorneys have specialized training 16 especially in matters of domestic violence, 17 which is a extremely helpful, so as to not 18 mistake acquiescence for ascent in those 19 occasions where it may be just part of the 2.0 continuing pattern and so forth. They're 21 trained in this and it really represents --22 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And their 23 training is crucial, and you've hit on a 2.4 good point, it's not just providing 25 representation, which is obviously critical

but people who are trained to provide representation in particular areas which requires specialized knowledge that we can't take a lawyer off the street and they're willing to do so and say gee, represent this person.

JUDGE POWERS: I'm grateful to say that and I can tell you on one occasion, it was so apparent to me that we had a situation involving domestic violence, and the Law Guardian has that training, and they have I should say the attorney for the child now, from the specialized training they received and I remember the attorney for the child telling me, you know the issue is of domestic violence and this is off the Richter Scale here, so it was unapparent to the respondent's attorney, the control issues and the like and it just wasn't fully appreciated and it was lost on that attorney. But I do want to reiterate the need for representation.

JUDGE PFAU: Are you seeing this need growing among particularly matrimonial cases your --

1

1 JUDGE POWERS: Am I seeing what? 2 JUDGE PFAU: The needs growing? 3 JUDGE POWERS: Absolutely. And that may be a direct relation to the 4 5 downturn in the economy, I found too often 6 what people are doing is they feel 7 compromised and their idea of a divorce 8 becomes an Order of custody from Family 9 Court and an Order of support, and they just 10 let it go. And then they keep trying to put the papers in, and I want to be as 11 12 accommodating as I can, but I can have 4 or 5 submissions and it's clear it's not 13 14 appropriate. We're at the point where we 15 have to advise them, it's not doing us any 16 good to reconsider this matter when we can't 17 act upon them. 18 JUDGE PFAU: Thank you. 19 Thank you so JUDGE LIPPMAN: 2.0 much, we appreciate it. Judge Conway? 21 JUDGE CONWAY: Thank you, Judge. 22 Judge Lippman, Judge Cardona, Judge Pfau, 23 Ms. Madigan I am a Village Justice in the 2.4 Village of Nassau, Rensselaer County, a 25 position that I've had now for the past

1 eight years and also respectively been 2 appointed Town Justice to the Town of 3 Nassau, and I very much appreciate the opportunity to appear before this panel and 4 5 testify on this very important issue. Also my understanding is that I will be the only 6 7 Town and Village Justice in the State of New 8 York to be testifying before you, in any of 9 the Judicial Departments, and this is a 10 great honor. 11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Not only that, 12 you see justice at the real level on an every day basis. 1.3 14 JUDGE CONWAY: We're in the 15 trenches. 16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You're really in 17 a position to tell us. Go ahead. 18 JUDGE CONWAY: In addition to my 19 experience as a Town and Village Justice, I 2.0 have appeared as an attorney in many of the 21 Town and Village Justice Courts in this 22 district, and had the opportunity to 23 interact with many Town and Village Justices 2.4 at our training sessions and magistrate 25 association events. I would like to think

as I appear before you as a representative of the Town and Village Justices in this state, I stand upon the shoulders of some very qualified individuals. Lawyers and non-lawyers who care a great deal about the integrity of the courts where they sit.

During my tenure as a justice, I was also privileged to be sitting as a justice in City Court of Albany, Rensselaer, Troy and Hudson, as well as town. In the Third District, as many of you know, the Third District includes Sullivan County, on the Pennsylvania border, to Rensselaer and Columbia Counties on the Vermont and Massachusetts borders and within the 7 counties in this district they're 129 Town and Village Courts, many of which are in very rural areas where access to legal representation can be quite limited.

As I indicated in the Village of Nassau, the 2000 census of the Village of Nassau which is approximately one square mile and one traffic light has a population of about 12 hundred people and the Town has a population of about 5,000. In 2000 it was

25

estimated that approximately 8,000 or 8 percent of these people in the community were below the poverty line, but anticipated that the 2010 census percentage will increase due to the recent economy downturn. We don't have the high volume issues that -that you heard about with the judges down state, but that doesn't mean that the issues that we encounter are any less important to the litigants, and does not mean that the impact and the absence of the legal representation, of indigent litigants, is any less cost prohibitive to the system, namely to our Town and Village Justices to ensure equal justice to the litigant. and Village Justices and throughout this state tend to have a great deal of pride in the courts that they administer and want unrepresented litigants to leave the system feeling as though they'd a full and fair opportunity to be heard, in a timely manner. And that their concerns were given as much due consideration, as those of their opposition, even if the other side was represented by counsel. Every litigant

1 matters to the Town and Village Justice and 2 he wants everybody to have a full, fair 3 opportunity to explain their side. But all too often particularly in landlord tenant 4 5 matters, disparity between unrepresented, 6 under privileged, under educated, even 7 illiterate litigants against the experienced 8 sophisticated landlord who has counsel is 9 failing to assist him. As we know the Real 10 Property Actions and Proceeding Law has 11 hyper technical aspects that the Appellate 12 Court can wrestle with, and without 1.3 representation, the unassisted litigants are 14 at an obvious disadvantage. 15 JUDGE CARDONA: Judge Conway, 16 could you give me any percentage or an idea 17 about how many people are not being 18 represented in your Court? 19 JUDGE CONWAY: Sure, Your Honor. 2.0 You know, we -- I think in most part as in 21 most Town and Village Courts we don't keep 22 sophisticated --23 JUDGE CARDONA: Ballpark? 2.4 JUDGE CONWAY: I can't think of 25 the last tenant that I had come in that was

represented and I would say most every
landlord is represented. Almost all the

MS. MADIGAN: And that's assuming that they come in. You mentioned in your testimony, you have an awful lot of defaults and what's your sense what happened to those tenants and for those facing eviction? Do they default, do they end up homeless and what it costs to the town?

JUDGE CONWAY: We tend not to follow them, but that's the usual result. Obviously there's a perception of intimidation out there if the landlord has an attorney and their papers are in order that the tenant and sometimes they didn't even come in and they don't show up, they fail to raise an issue that could be raised that could have been important and could have been raised or crossed on something and sometimes they give up on their security deposit and that can be the difference, being an \$850 security deposit that could mean the difference between being homeless and being able to find another place to

1 live. Sure.

JUDGE CARDONA: You're sitting there as a Judge, you know it's a very uncomfortable position to be in.

JUDGE CONWAY: It is, Judge.

It is judge. The one thing I want to talk about is the Town and Village, Court system, the person who often gets the questions or the inquiries in the court is the Court clerk. And in our situation, it was kind of an interesting situation that I had a Court Clerk come to work with us who had been in the banking industry for twenty years and she had been used to helping people in customer service and she would when she started about 7 years ago she would often call me up and say someone has come in, it's a hard luck story, I want to help them out, the landlord has a lawyer, the papers are all in order and I want to be able to tell them there are habitability issues, they're things raised possibly even to reach a better settlement and I would say we can't do that, it's not our job. We're neutral arbiters and she would say that's

1	not fair, we have to level the playing
2	field. And then I would say tell her
3	tell her to get a lawyer.
4	JUDGE CARDONA: You have a court
5	clerk practicing law.
6	JUDGE CONWAY: Tell them to get a
7	lawyer and she can't afford it and I would
8	say give them the usual materials that we
9	have.
10	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What are the
11	usual materials?
12	JUDGE CONWAY: A pretty simple
13	packet, Your Honor. It is very helpful, it
14	provides good information and it's good
15	form, but is it no substitute for an
16	attorney, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you ever
18	connect them with the legal service
19	providers? Are you able to? Are they out
20	there?
21	JUDGE CONWAY: I don't feel
22	comfortable with providing or feel
23	comfortable to tell them where to get free
24	representation.
25	JUDGE LIPPMAN: How in an area

1	like yours, how do they get that
2	representation?
3	JUDGE CONWAY: They don't.
4	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is it easy or
5	they just don't do it?
6	JUDGE CONWAY: I think they tend
7	not.
8	JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's not
9	available or they don't know how to do it or
10	both?
11	JUDGE CONWAY: They're at Legal
12	Aid Society, and I have actually had Albany
13	Law School have a disability law clinic and
14	I had some disabled people come in and they
15	had representation that they have found, but
16	I don't connect them. I don't feel that's
17	appropriate and that's stepping beyond our
18	role as a neutral arbiter.
19	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Part of this
20	whole one of the purposes of having a
21	judicial panel is that there's different
22	roles in the justice system, and that one of
23	them is lacking.
24	JUDGE CONWAY: Yes.
25	JUDGE LIPPMAN: The judge we

may have an attorney on one side, but often we don't have the attorney on the other side and I would assume and we've talked about that a little bit, you're put in an awkward situation.

JUDGE CONWAY: Well, I am and attorneys I feel are to help people typically --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: You want to tell people that as an attorney and as judge and as a person, but in your judicial role, you know, it creates real problems and you are walking a line.

JUDGE CONWAY: Sure. Often times people may walk out of the courtroom, maybe they got the same result they would have had if they had an attorney representing them, but they're still walking out bewildered and confused and that isn't the same result.

We're not helping society at all if we're sending people out the door, you know, in that sort of situation. We can't ever ensure that every litigant will be satisfied. We've got winners and losers, and we can't prevent them from blaming the

Court, but what we can do is endeavor to
ensure that everyone is appropriately
represented, and that everybody is given -given a fair opportunity to understand the
legal system issues that are present and
the assistance of counsel. They can in fact
apply the facts to the law, present
evidence, call into question contrary
evidence and wind up a timely adjudication
of a legal dispute.

And I want to give you a quote that Eleanor Roosevelt said that justice cannot be for one side, it must be for both and that seems sort of overly obviously or self-evident, but when I look at the basic fundamental principles like this when in this society, civil litigants are left to wander through the Town and Village Courts, without representation, and leave frustrated by the experience because they never understood what was taking place even if they did show up and didn't have a fair opportunity to explain their side with the assistance of counsel.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Sometimes worse

2.4

Good

1 and frustrated and they find themselves in 2 serious trouble. 3 JUDGE CONWAY: It's frustrating 4 for us when we see unjust results walking 5 out the door. 6 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you Judge 7 Conway. Judge Heath Rolald? JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23

2.4

25

morning, thank you. Good to see everyone here. Thank you all for your time, and for the invitation to present testimony to this panel. Also I want to thank Judge Fisher perhaps as well for her involvement with the panel and good morning Judge Pfau, Judge Lippman, Judge Cardona and Attorney Madigan. As you know I serve in very a busy City Court, and it's an area of practice, landlord/tenant area, which is extremely busy in my Court and I am here to talk about that primarily. I do serve in the civil part where we see a number of litigants come before the Court without legal representation. I see many low income litigants in this very type of proceeding, particularly in the housing eviction area. CYNTHIA A. WEST - SENIOR COURT REPORTER (518) 828-6410

1 The focus of my testimony today 2 will be on how the lack of attorney 3 representation in landlord tenant summary 4 proceedings impacts litigants and the Court. 5 I will say that from hearing the other 6 panelists, certainly the City Court judge, 7 the expectation, pretty much from day one 8 when I took the bench in 2005, is that 9 people will not have an attorney coming into 10 Court. Certainly I want to tell you --11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: We have and it 12 would seem counterintuitive and what could 13 be more important in society than literally 14 the roof over ones head it seems like an 15 area that is, almost the most graphic in 16 terms of the consequences, lack of legal 17 representation, you know, is that a given 18 when you come in and you think that they're 19 not going to be represented or does that 2.0 strike you as odd that the people coming 21 into the courtroom don't have 22 representation? 23 JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: Well, I 2.4 just --25 What percentage JUDGE LIPPMAN:

1	do you have?
2	JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: 5 percent of
3	the tenants.
4	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What about the
5	landlords?
6	JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: About 50% I
7	would say, 50.
8	50 and they I also find they're
9	defaults that
10	JUDGE PFAU: The people don't
11	show up because they're so overwhelmed?
12	JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: They don't
13	show up and the problem is there Judge Pfau
14	if you have an attorney present and make a
15	phone call and we get in a tenant after the
16	Court calendar and it's something like my
17	child fell ill, I wasn't feeling well, I was
18	sick or I had to go take care of a family
19	emergency, of course if there was an
20	attorney present, you have the attorney able
21	to give some kind of proof, some showing of
22	a good case reason. So, there's preparation
23	up front to deal with that.
24	JUDGE LIPPMAN: We've had a lot
25	of testimony that the landlord side wants

there to be representation on the tenant 1 2 side, it's not something that they desire to come into Court and have this unbalanced 3 situation because in the end the well-being 4 of the landlord as well as the tenant is 5 6 also served by coming to some kind of an 7 agreement that keeps the tenant in place. JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: I think that 8 9 varies in my experience, in my courts, 10 they're lawyers that are so -- and landlords 11 that are so frustrated with a lack of 12 tenants paying rent, of maybe 2, 3, 5 months into it and they come into the courtroom, 13 14 and the tenant doesn't show up, and they can 15 get a warrant. 16 JUDGE CARDONA: That's a private 17 landlord? JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: 18 Private. 19 JUDGE CARDONA: But the public landlord? 2.0 21 JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: And I was 22 very pleased to hear Steve Longo's 23 testimony, I think as you both Judge Lippman 2.4 and Judge Cardona pointed out, they work --25 they work hard to make sure that tenants

have the assistance they need to see that they can come up with a plan to save housing.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: The real estate industry as a whole feels that way to be sure, obviously you have particular cases and particularly with private landlords, that's not the case.

JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: That figure of 4,454 summary proceedings filed last year in my court are not Albany Housing. Unfortunately, 17 hundred of the Albany Housing evictions we see, 200, so I see more of the private landlord and I have a few of the other specific examples where lack of representation impacts litigants with the Court process. The increase in amount of time that the judge spends, that I spend explaining the Court process, giving direction to unrepresented litigants, about the effect of the warrant that I'm signing or the effect of a default on payment, the agreement they're reaching, often extends the morning calendar which in turn delays the beginning of the 10:30 trial that we

25

have regularly trials of the morning to begin after the calendar call. Warrants of Eviction on default are issued frequently due to the tenants failure to appear in court, and again as I said earlier too, there may be all kinds of good cause and reasons that have happened and we see an increase of defaults issued and an increase in the Orders to Show applications that are filed. The office staff, Court Clerk's office staff, and Court Attorneys spend a great deal of time assisting pro se litigants in the filing of these applications, and other court documentation. In response to the high volume of the orders to show applications we had to develop -have developed an Order to Show Cause form, so that there's an understanding that you have to show, there's a good cause reason for your absence and one of the merits of your claim in terms of a defense. The other problem I have and I didn't put this in this written testimony, but one of the examples to me is very important is how unrepresented litigants where they fall down so to speak,

they may have a landlord that tells them 1 2 outside of court, you don't have to show up, 3 just give me the money or pay it, and we 4 have an agreement and all is good, don't 5 worry about coming to Court and low and 6 behold they don't come to court and maybe 7 the landlord had a change of heart or maybe it's because the money wasn't received 8 9 exactly two o'clock the next day, or the day 10 before, but the bottom line is if you have an attorney that represents you, you would 11 12 never allow your client to make some kind of oral agreement outside of the court and not 13 14 reduce that to writing and then cancel a court appearance on the word of the other 15 16 That it's okay and that all will be 17 taken care of and they don't have the 18 benefit of counsel and that really impacts 19 the opportunity to settle a case. 2.0 JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's the 21 situation in the rural area in terms of 22 providers taking landlord/tenant cases and 23 legal service providers? 2.4 JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: Their 25 involvement, the extent of that legal

1 services?

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes? Do they have funding, the ability to take large numbers of these cases? I gather not from the stats, right?

Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York
that come in for some cases, again it
doesn't reach higher than about 5 percent,
maybe 7 percent, but about 5 percent.
They're many cases where they're there like
to be involved and it's important that
they're involved, but I don't see them in
large numbers because of their case load and
-- no.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is there public information about the providers that are out there? A lot of the people try and don't get representation or is it that they just don't know that might be available to them?

JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: I know I've given a large number of litigants that we see there, so they know there is some information at the Clerk's office and I believe and/or the table that's outside of

2.4

the court or right in the inside of the courtroom that you can make some phone calls.

JUDGE CARDONA: Pamphlets?

JUDGE HEATH: Yes. I asked the lawyers and litigants do you know where the United Tenants Association is, where there is no attorney present, and we have a benefit of having that advocate in court regularly and that doesn't -- that doesn't take the place obviously of a tenant advocate or the place of a lawyer.

JUDGE CARDONA: I understand.

That volume of cases that comes into your court every day, but let's just take the case the tenant shows up and the landlord says well, they haven't paid and that sort of thing, and the tenant says well, wait a minute, I don't have any heat or this other problem or whatever it is, what generally happens to that -- to that case?

JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: A perfect example of what may happen on a first appearance. The goal is set to it down for a hearing which is you know has to come in

on summary proceedings within ten business days, because I hear if there's a factual dispute, I don't allow for the tenant's claim or that there either wasn't -- that I have receipts, that there's money, but I don't have it with me, but there's money, I did pay, a warrantability issue or a condition a problem with the apartment, I hear a little bit to make sure there's some basis to be setting it down for trial and we absolutely will set down a matter, and that raises that type of a significant factual dispute for a hearing and --

JUDGE CARDONA: Will the tenants be told that obviously are not represented, to pick up the pamphlet and the pamphlet that people may be able to help and again, we don't meet everything, but in some cases?

JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: I don't necessarily at that point talk about that. You have an opportunity to call a lawyer, I more often because of the representative that's in the courtroom often at that time, a couple days a week if not more she is literally standing in the back and she can

often -- she sees a tenant on the way out 1 2 and will probably say to them I guess and I 3 can certainly -- that's kind of wonderful idea of and I'm thinking is not that not 4 5 only is to point out there's the advocate 6 that is even there or often there, if you 7 don't already, there's also an opportunity to look into legal representation, but I 8 9 think I probably don't say that because I am 10 making my judgment that they're not going to 11 necessarily, there's not going to be the 12 resources needed to obtain. 13 JUDGE CARDONA: That's a 14 problem. 15 JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: It's not 16 going to happen the -- especially the 17 expectation that you will call a lawyer. 18 MS. MADIGAN: In your submitted 19 materials you mention they're two steps that 2.0 we can take to address lack of counsel, just 21 briefly summarize those for us? 22 JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: Sure. Ιt 23 had to do with the lack of counsel, 2.4 certainly the need for the increased 25 resources and I commend this panel and Chief

Judge for focusing on that need and because without greater legal resources people often miss their opportunity to raise a defense or they will default and don't take the steps to come to Court to fill out an Order to Show Cause and they don't raise their claims in the proper manner and all the other problems.

I focused on something that was what I started to say a minute ago and that was some more funding even for the non-legal advocates and that's probably because of the fact that I see that assists more regularly in my court, and it provides a lot of good help to the non-profit tenants association and at least in the mean time without getting greater attorney —

JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's all part of the puzzle. Is informational service, if you will, full scale legal representation, lots of pieces to this, pro Buono, they're providers that must be part of it, so it's part of a total picture, that certainly from where you sit and I think where all the others testified from the bench this morning

1

than certainly see it on an every day basis.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE HEATH ROLAND: I think the other thing I mentioned and although again not to take away from the need clearly for the legal representation funding, is judges I mean and I feel like a I have a little speciality after being a City Court Judge of how you explain things in the layman terms, how you deal with the person that is formulating a defense, but they don't really now how to get that out and you have to be, the judge has to sensitive to where is the lack of understanding, what's my line that I can't cross, but at the same time we hear all the time from my front office staff is challenged more than I am and I think in certain respects to make sure they're not giving legal advice at the window, but there are some areas where I was struck by my colleague to my right here, Judge Conway, where he said I may not feel comfortable even saying to a litigant, do you know there's a Legal Aid society, here's a number, and I think that's maybe some discussion that we can have around that a

1 little bit more and with direct --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: All of the Judges are certainly challenged by the lack of legal representation.

other important point to talk about just very briefly, is that issue that I know you want to us to think about Judge Lippman, and how we make sure we don't cross that line of giving advice during trials, trials are so challenging because there is no attorney or other attorney and the landlord, but you have to probe and you need to find the facts to make your decision, and that's where again that becomes a specialized skill, if you will, and you have to as a judge, we need lawyers much more to work with those units and the tenants associations and advocates.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you all. I appreciate very much to hear from the judicial side of the equation. Now we will have our rural panel, on -- to focus on the rural areas and Susan Patnode, Paul Lupia, Jeanne Noordsy and Christopher Layo and I'd

ask you to come up to the witness table? 1 MS. PATNODE: While you settle 2 3 in, I was debating but while I travel the 4 rural roads, and get gas and I'm always in 5 one of those stores and people are in line 6 to get the scratch off lottery tickets and I 7 got one today and there's a better chance of winning with this ticket, than there is of 8 9 getting legal services in New York, so --10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Susan why 11 don't you start? 12 MS. PATNODE: My name is Sue Patnode and I'm the Executive Director of 13 14 the Rural Law Center of New York. And we 15 are a legal services organization that 16 serves 44 counties that are rural in New 17 York and we are located in Plattsburgh, but 18 we have offices in St. Lawrence County, 19 Hamilton County, and on the native American 2.0 reservation and in Franklin County and in 21 Essex County. 22 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Susan, give me a 23 ballpark figure, are you successful in what 2.4 you do? Do you feel that you can reach out 25 to the need? What's the need in those

1	counties?
2	MS. PATNODE: Okay.
3	JUDGE LIPPMAN: How much of that
4	need in the broadest strokes can you meet?
5	In terms of simple legal
6	services?
7	MS. PATNODE: Well, we work in
8	tandem with other civil legal services that
9	work in rural New York.
10	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's the total
11	picture in rural New York?
12	MS. PATNODE: Well, it's not
13	even, as I said in my notes, rural New York
14	is the size of Virginia, it has a population
15	of Connecticut, 26 of the 44 counties have
16	legal services, the rest of those counties
17	have nothing.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Don't have
19	anything.
20	MS. MADIGAN: Can you give us
21	having been in legal services for a long
22	time, can you help us quantify what your
23	sense is in terms of have you unmet needs
24	for the work that you do?
25	MS. PATNODE: In the counties

25

that don't have legal services I would say it is probably 80 to 90% because people don't have salaries, we have people who call us and we take referrals in, and our first question is, have you called the legal services office in your area? And we only take people who have been rejected and because of funding, not because they don't want to serve, because of funding and you know it's just and there's some very specific rural problems. All the legal services programs are centered their main center is in a metropolitan area, and it's not their fault that they can't, they have to play a numbers game. So when you take the aggregate amount of these unserved counties, it's phenomenal and then predatory lending is a tremendous problem, domestic violence is a tremendous problem, we have people who pay on a land contract for two or three years and then think they own a house.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: When you do provide services, what percentage do you think it is in the counties that you do have a presence in?

1	MS. PATNODE: Well
2	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, all the
3	different programs that you integrate with?
4	MS. PATNODE: We work in tandem
5	with Legal Aid in the county I live in and I
6	would say we probably we meet maybe one
7	in 8.
8	JUDGE LIPPMAN: One in 8?
9	MS. PATNODE: Of the people that
10	call us.
11	JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's with
12	JUDGE CARDONA: That's people
13	that call you?
14	MS. PATNODE: What?
15	JUDGE CARDONA: That's people
16	that call you, right? That you know about?
17	MS. PATNODE: Yes, they're many.
18	JUDGE CARDONA: That's people
19	that go into court every day, and they go in
20	and their case is somehow disposed of and
21	maybe not fairly and I'm not blaming the
22	Judges and I am not blaming the
23	MS. PATNODE: I had a Family
24	Court private practice in Clinton County
25	before and I saw the numbers of people that

2

1

45

6 7

8

10

9

1112

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

2.4

25

were there without anyone to help them and most people are working poor, who have absolutely no access at all, and I did want to make the point though I was glad to see Justice Conway here and the Town and Village Court Justices work very hard and they try very hard.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's very difficult.

MS. PATNODE: But there is discrimination based on place in New York because in a city, if it has over 20,000, there's at least going to be the judge who is an attorney in a room. And in those all those other areas there's no attorney in the room and they don't have the training and I know judges that show up to court, paid very little, that love there community, and they come to the courtroom on a tractor, and they do. And but yet they don't know the procedure and -- and they don't know that an attorney could probably just for the phone call, make a difference. So, I hope that you will consider place and not just numbers when you're looking at this.

1	JUDGE LIPPMAN: We're at looking
2	at all of that.
3	MS. PATNODE: And I really look
4	forward to working with you, but I think
5	it's a huge unmet need.
6	JUDGE LIPPMAN: We certainly
7	encountered that to be sure. Thank you and
8	hopefully the lottery ticket will do a lot
9	more in providing
10	MS. PATNODE: I was going to give
11	it to you, but then I thought it was an
12	ethical violation, so I'll send you a
13	picture of my winning.
14	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Absolutely.
15	Okay. Paul Lupia?
16	MR LUPIA: Good morning, my name
17	is Paul Lupia, and I'm an Executive Director
18	of the Legal Aid Society of Mid New York.
19	We are a 13 county program, we are counties
20	that range from the Canadian border in the
21	north Jefferson County, to the Pennsylvania
22	border in the south Delaware and Broome
23	Counties.
24	JUDGE LIPPMAN: So covering a
25	broad

1	MR. LUPIA: 13,000 square miles
2	and 24 attorneys to cover that entire area.
3	JUDGE LIPPMAN: 24 attorneys?
4	MR. LUPIA: Correct.
5	JUDGE PFAU: How many clients
6	would each attorney have? I mean 24
7	attorneys over the huge swatch of the state?
8	MR. LUPIA: Judge, depending on
9	the type of case, but that's something we
10	look at all the time in terms of our
11	management of our attorneys, I will say
12	about one hundred cases at any time we would
13	expect the average attorney to close, 200 to
14	150 cases a year, depending.
15	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you turn away
16	a lot of people?
17	MR. LUPIA: Yes, we do.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: How do you do
19	that?
20	JUDGE CARDONA: You get a phone
21	call and somebody calls you and some
22	mother's got three kids and is being
23	evicted, and has got domestic issues and all
24	of that, I mean, I understand why you have
25	to do it, but it must be awful to have to

say, no I can't help you? 1 2 MR. LUPIA: It's one of the 3 toughest jobs was the job of receptionist who has to do that. And what we feel --4 5 JUDGE CARDONA: You reach a 6 point and you say okay, the next 20 people 7 that call? 8 You do triage. MS. MADIGAN: 9 MR. LUPIA: We do a triage and 10 what we have done, we've tried to use 11 technology, especially the 7 counties where 12 we do not have a physical presence, we've 13 began something called the help line, it's 14 an intake system where anybody within the 13 15 counties can call in giving everyone equal 16 access to our system, and I emphasize to the 17 in-take system where everyone at least gets counsel and advice. On occasion we have to 18 19 even shut down the help line. For example 2.0 yesterday we shut it down and we had 50 21 calls by noon, and --22 JUDGE LIPPMAN: You shut it down? 23 MR. LUPIA: We had to shut it 2.4 down for the day and anybody that called had 25 to call back the next day. Even with that

technology we're not able then to serve 1 2 people fully and not able to provide them 3 with in-court representation. We looked at in 2009 judge we closed twenty-six percent 4 5 of our cases with in-court representation, 6 but when you break that down County by 7 County, the results are striking and somewhat sobering. In the counties --8 9 JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're both 10 important, right? That you get people 11 before they get to court that's interfacing 12 with the basic entities that we all deal 13 with, health, housing, you know, whatever it 14 is without having a court case, that's 15 really important too, right? 16 MR. LUPIA: It is. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: How do you 18 balance that? 19 MR. LUPIA: It's partial services 2.0 and partial services can mean partial 21 justice and that's something that none of us 22 want. 23 JUDGE LIPPMAN: What about where 2.4 did you get your money from? 25 MR. LUPIA: Our primary federal

legal services organization we have over 42, 1 2 3 funding sources. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 JUDGE PFAU: 14 for grants obviously. 15 16 17 grants? 18 MR. LUPIA: 19 2.0 21 22 23 2.4

and as of last week we got 44 grants and JUDGE LIPPMAN: So it's kind of an important issue, particularly in rural New York, it's kind of a hodge podge, right? You get it when you can get it, but there's no stable funding source coming out of the public that you can go and hire your lawyers and know you're able to continue in business, your always kind of catching as catch can, is that what it's about? And you're applying Much of your resources is in trying to get resources and applying for That's right. The grants well are difficult to keep those, and where you don't have a physician presence, we had to close our Oneonta office, in May of 2009, that office was just one attorney and one paralegal, one support person that covered Otsego and Delaware counties.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: You couldn't 1 2 continue funding it then? 3 MR. LUPIA: We lost the only two 4 local grants that we had in the area, a 5 United Way grant who told us she didn't want 6 to fund us any more as we weren't going to 7 have an office and there was another grant in Delaware County that -- that represented 8 9 seniors, and the letter I received that was 10 telling, the director of that agency praised the work that we did, then went on to say, 11 12 that he decided he was going to terminate 13 the contract based upon the barrier of time and distance, because we were trying to 14 15 serve that county out of Utica, which is an 16 hour away. 17 JUDGE LIPPMAN: What do you pay 18 your people? Can you pay them a competitive 19 wage and what needs to be done? 2.0 MR. LUPIA: I recently saw a 21 survey done and I forget by whom so you have 22 to forgive me, but I know that legal 23 services attorneys are the lowest paid in 2.4 the -- in the -- in my office, we start 25 someone right out of law school at \$38,000 a

1	year, far below the national average.
2	JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you are really
3	competing with the people you're
4	representing who we call the working poor
5	before that may include the lawyers who work
6	for the legal services provider.
7	MR. LUPIA: I believe the
8	national average is \$40,000 according to the
9	Legal Services Corporation and we are
10	somewhat below the national average and in
11	fact, we just raised that it. We were
12	paying \$33,000 a year.
13	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Can you get
14	people who can give you a kind of
15	representation?
16	MR. LUPIA: You get people.
17	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Or just dedicated
18	people?
19	MR. LUPIA: You get them judge,
20	but it's hard to keep them. Many of them
21	are burdened with law school.
22	JUDGE LIPPMAN: So at some
23	point
24	MS. MADIGAN: Who want to buy a
25	house maybe?

MR. LUPIA: Start a family? And 1 2 all of that factors into people leaving. So 3 what we did --4 JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you are 5 dealing with specialized areas that require 6 people that do have an expertise? 7 MR. LUPIA: The greatest area of need judge that we have seen particularly 8 9 with our rural areas. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: What is the 11 particular discipline? 12 MR. LUPIA: I think that's 13 increased over the last couple of years, are 14 domestic violence issues, housing issues, 15 evictions, foreclosures and we've seen a 16 huge increase in unemployment insurance 17 requests and none of that is surprising given the current state of the economy. 18 19 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's interesting 2.0 too, there's such a need in both you see in 21 the big metropolitan areas in the state is 22 tremendous and the need and all of the 23 problems, but it is equally as striking the 2.4 inadequacy not in terms of what you do 25 provide, but what you can't provide in

representation in rural New York is every 1 2 bit as compelling, as what you have in the 3 big metropolitan areas. MR. LUPIA: When it come to 4 5 indigent representation, in-Court representation, legal service corporation 6 7 told us that the national average in 2009 8 was 21 percent of the cases closed, overall 9 we do 26 percent, but in the counties where 10 we don't have a physical presence, it was 11 only 11 percent, and it was 28 percent in 12 the counties where we have a physical 13 presence. The bottom line is that nothing 14 can substitute for a physical presence in 15 the counties. 16 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And rural New 17 York I guess is more challenging in that 18 regard in that the geographic --19 MS. MADIGAN: Just 2.0 transportation. 21 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Just makes having 22 a presence not so easy in certain parts? 23 MR. LUPIA: It's not -- many of 2.4 our clients have unreliable personal 25 transportation or vehicles and simply are

not reliable. And it's not realistic to ask someone down in Otsego County, to be able to get a bus, to go to Utica to speak to one of our attorneys.

JUDGE PFAU: If you had consistent funding, you know, year to year, at least some stability in it, would you be able to better plan how to -- do you have a presence in those other counties or reach out to counties?

MR. LUPIA: We would, and that would be one of the priorities that we would do or use new funding for, it would be to try to restore services, to more acceptable levels in this county.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.

JUDGE CARDONA: Have you ever done a study or this is difficult -- how many attorneys or staff you would need to meet the demands assuming the people meet the standard for representation? When you say you have 20 some attorneys or staff, I assume, you mean, go to the Legislature and say you know to do the right thing, to be fair, to treat people the right way, it's

1	going to cost a lot of a lot of money.
2	MR. LUPIA: Off the top of my
3	head I don't know that.
4	MS. MADIGAN: Well
5	JUDGE CARDONA: It's an
6	interesting thing, everyone agrees it's a
7	crisis we're not meeting, the needs, it's
8	easy I think, but the question is, what are,
9	could we do what about it, what will it
10	cost, you know, how many lawyers and staff,
11	will we need, how do we spread it out? I
12	guess that's the task force problem.
13	MR. LUPIA: I know at one point
14	the Legal Services Corporation used to have
15	a goal of two attorneys per every 10,000
16	poor people and I know that goal has not
17	been met.
18	JUDGE LIPPMAN: If we doubled
19	your staff would you able to meet the need?
20	MR. LUPIA: No, no judge. I
21	think most legal services providers would
22	say, they need triple the staff and that
23	would not meet all the needs.
24	JUDGE LIPPMAN: I bet. I bet.
25	MS. MADIGAN: Talk a little bit

about the technology issue; do you have the technology access to do a remote access if you went to BOCES in Oneonta could you talk on a screen with one of your attorneys say in Utica and they're in Binghamton or

Syracuse?

The technology we did MR. LUPIA: purchase hardware and it was a call center based software to allow us to have this help line and in-take system. And that does allow for anyone within the 13 counties to try to be able to gain access to the in-take system and whether you live in Syracuse or down in Delaware County. I would add technology is not free and that system cost us \$225,00 and we're now faced with updating the software at a cost of \$25,000, and we received grants from the New York Bar Foundation, and received a special grant from the Legal Services Corporation a technology initiative grant, and it's -those funds are hard to find.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes, all part of the puzzle, right? Putting together and providing county representation you would

23

2.4

25

1

like to provide and the benefits of 1 2 representation. So thank you. Jeanne 3 Noordsy? MS. NOORDSY: Good afternoon 4 5 Your Honor, and all the members of the 6 panel, and I thank you for this opportunity 7 to testify. I represent the Domestic Violence Project of Warren and Washington 8 9 counties, a program of Catholic Charities. 10 I am taking note, I'm not the only voice 11 here today emphasizing the issue of domestic 12 violence and while certainly it's 13 disheartening to note the prevalence of 14 domestic violence in our state, it is heartening to know that others are also 15 16 aware and speaking to the needs of our 17 attorneys and domestic violence victims. 18 JUDGE LIPPMAN: How is it 19 possible that we don't provide 2.0 representation to that kind of position? 21 How is that possible there is no vehicle to 22 provide representation in those kinds of 23 cases? It would seem obvious that you would 2.4 need a lawyer. 25 MS. NOORDSY: Yes and --

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is this an

2 imbalance already?

3

6

7

happens is, you know one of the most

valuable programs legal services program to

MS. NOORDSY: I think what

us, programs that have attorneys that are

geared to take domestic violence cases such

8 as the Domestic Violence Legal Assistance

9 that's Legal Aid in New York and that

separates, that's a very valuable service to

11 us. One of the members of the panel said a

few minutes ago, a person needs not only an

attorney, but an attorney that understands

14 the particular issues they're facing and I

think one of the things I am going to

emphasize this morning is simply the

incredible need for quality legal services

specialized for domestic violence, because

there are certainly the public defender's

office, and assigned counsel programs that

are county run, they're attorneys in private

22 practice who take pro bono cases, but none

of that meets the needs in terms of volume

or necessarily in terms of specialization.

JUDGE PFAU: Are you seeing an

increase in the numbers for instance?

MS. NOORDSY: Seen a need?

JUDGE PFAU: In the number of

incidents, a need for your services? Have

they grown?

MS. NOORDSY: I have been doing this work for twenty years and we have been very busy for twenty years, so I don't know there, you know I don't know if we've made a dent in domestic violence in reducing. I don't know if necessarily whether it's increased, but I know we have been extremely busy at our shelter and it has been more full and I'm not sure if that's because we're making some positive strides with domestic violence in making services known, but you know there's a very large need. Most of our clients cannot afford their own attorney. One of the issues is when the battering spouse happens to have more money and can afford to hire his own private attorney and in some of the Family Courts, the party may not apply for assigned counsel at the first hearing and so they may be unrepresented at the first hearing while

he's already --

JUDGE CARDONA: I don't understand that, if you must file a petition in Family Court, okay? Would not the judge assign counsel right at that point, to the petitioner in?

MS. NOORDSY: Not always. If there was, if he's the judge is already bringing her in ex parte, he may ask her at that moment can or does she want to apply.

JUDGE CARDONA: That's for a temporary Order or something like that, but I'm saying, on the first return date, when the respondent comes in, okay, and let's say that it's just the first appearance, that that litigant has not appeared before the Judge for a temporary Order, generally speaking, aren't the judges then appointing an attorney, for that petitioner?

MS. NOORDSY: What I'm hearing from a lot of my co-workers who are in court on a routine basis with our clients is that there are times when the opportunity to request the attorney didn't happen until both parties are already present for the

1	first time.
2	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Initial
3	appearance.
4	MS. NOORDSY: And if the batterer
5	in this case was already represented because
6	he can afford to hire his own attorney,
7	she's already at a disadvantage at that
8	first hearing and you're saying?
9	JUDGE CARDONA: The better
10	approach would be when somebody files a
11	petition they did fill out the necessary
12	forms to try to get assigned counsel before
13	the initial appearance.
14	MS. MADIGAN: Because there are
15	clearly decisions being made and
16	MS. NOORDSY: Yes uh-huh and
17	JUDGE CARDONA: Because they
18	will ultimately hopefully get an attorney.
19	MS. NOORDSY: Yes.
20	JUDGE CARDONA: At that first
21	appearance, so why not do it beforehand?
22	MS. NOORDSY: Sometimes some of
23	the things that have already happened, even
24	on a temporary order at that initial
25	hearing, and the children are represented

and there may not be --1 2 JUDGE CARDONA: That may put the 3 person at a disadvantage. MS. NOORDSY: Right, with respect 4 5 to matrimonial matters, there are little to 6 no legal services available, to assist low 7 or no income victims of divorce, and I know divorce may seem like a non emergency, but a 8 9 couple of things --10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: These aren't the 11 high profile court cases that you read about 12 where people who have money to drive each 13 other crazy, you know, that's part of the 14 game, these are people going through the 15 necessity of life. 16 MS. NOORDSY: To be able to move 17 on with her life and not be legally tied to 18 him any more so she may start a matrimonial 19 action so she will end up in that forum whether she can afford it or not. I checked 2.0 21 with our Supreme Court this week, and I was 22 correct in that they're not able to assign 23 counsel, if it's a matrimonial matter unless 2.4 the custody also gets transferred over,

so --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

MS. MADIGAN: I know the private Bar has stepped up in our region, we have a number of lawyers that actually hired interns to assist with handling uncontested divorces, that are a couple of years behind in terms of meeting that need.

MS. NOORDSY: I think as we see I think when working with poor victims of domestic violence that can't or didn't qualify for the assignment of counsel, but can't really afford a retainer for an attorney, I believe there will victims who are actually not using the Courts. It's too scary and possibly dangerous to face the person, that batterer, in court if she is attempting to represent herself and she may not come to court at all or may not go beyond the initial Petition in an attempt to go forward unrepresented, you know facing a lot of risk and not being able to convey facts. Certainly such an attorney to present the facts and not be adequately portrayed she is at risk of not getting an Order of Protection or not getting custody and we see victims of domestic violence

1 losing custody to the batterer which you 2 think is totally not what you expect to see 3 happen, but and I think that members of the Bar and community and Judges generally 4 5 understanding of domestic violence, but may 6 not be understanding of some of her survival 7 strategies like having to leave in an emergency and maybe not being able to take 8 9 the children with her in an emergency then 10 trying to go back and get the children, but 11 not being understood, and she needs an 12 attorney to be able to convey to the Court those actions and that she needed to take in 13 an emergency and we have seen woman not get 14 15 custody back, in situations like that, where 16 we have to leave in an emergency and we have 17 seen woman that were represented by 18 attorneys especially attorneys trained in 19 domestic violence where it helped that case 2.0 significantly to have that representation. 21 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you. 22 Thank you very much. 23 Okay. Yes, sir. Christopher 2.4 Layo? 25 MR. LAYO: Uhm -- today, I came

2.4

25

here after a four and a half hour ride from North Fork, New York, in St. Lawrence County, and I need the assistance of the legal services for a case, again the Department of Health, the Department wouldn't let my workers drive me any more, with my vehicle anywhere, although they would let them do that before. They're personal carriers. And because of a rural area if you don't have access to a vehicle and I can't drive, so, they would have to do that, and you know you can't get around very well. There's not much that you can do about it. Legal services we fought for about six months and we were able to win my case and everything was good. My experience with legal services, has really been there's no basically, in three main ways, the first is that of course they're free, and because I'm disabled and I'm on disability, so I'm on a fixed income. The second is that how I was treated, they treated me very well. They did a very good job and went ahead and did more than they'd to. My attorney drove to my house, during the middle of winter

1	when I had to sign the paperwork and I
2	couldn't pay for it, finally I filed for
3	benefits and they really worked hard to
4	actually really make it, and it was a huge
5	difference in my life and
6	JUDGE LIPPMAN: What would you
7	have done without the representation?
8	MR. LAYO: Well, I probably
9	wouldn't have been able to do anything, you
10	have to have a lawyer to do anything when it
11	comes to the State, and government, and
12	stuff like that.
13	JUDGE LIPPMAN: Did you go in to
14	court with him?
15	MR. LAYO: Luckily we were able
16	to have it resolved before it went that far.
17	JUDGE LIPPMAN: So a lot of this,
18	I think you're a good example of it's not
19	necessarily what we envisioned the lawyer
20	going into court to represent somebody, it's
21	often dealing with large governmental
22	entities or health entities, or education
23	institutions or whatever it might be.
24	MR. LAYO: Yes. Also, like my
25	life has been really bad or would be badly

altered that I wouldn't have, and I also 1 2 like what they did for me, I remember every 3 day, every day when I go places, you know, I remember that, legal services did that for 4 5 me and it's not something that wasn't like 6 over and done with. It's a long run and in 7 a life changing in a way. And also, like you know geographic isolation up in my area, 8 9 is like really it makes it important to be 10 able to have, you know, offices and legal services offices located in like in the 11 12 area, because I'm about two hours away from 13 the large area of Plattsburgh and I'm not 14 really -- it's four and a half hours from 15 here, and also in my area, it's a very low 16 income area there and really not very much 17 money at all, and they can't afford a 18 lawyer, and they probably can't afford to 19 travel you know to a --2.0 JUDGE LIPPMAN: How did you get 21 in touch with them? How did you know they 22 would be able to help you? 23 MR. LAYO: Well, originally what 2.4 happened was, I went through and got ahold 25 of a lawyer or disability advocate and he

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

referred me to the Legal Aid Society because they're in my area, and that would be a lot easier than trying to travel down here. And like basically like, the recommendation that they would really help legal services is trying to possibly get more funding or more lawyers, because up in my area it's just so much poverty and other things and a lot of people are suffering, and you know the funding might be able to help people, and there are more people like me in a similar situation, that are really totally effecting your lives and it's not very much they can do about it. And but all in all it's a very positive experience, and it was very helpful and that's about really all I can say about it unless you have any more questions for me?

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Number one, I
want that thank you for making the long trip
here because your case graphically
illustrates the need for funding for civil
legal services, particularly in rural New
York that's hard to get around, a large
geographic distance, you make a compelling

case for you know, for --1 2 MR. LAYO: Right. 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Consistent, stable funding for civil legal services all 4 5 around this state. And again not always, 6 what you picture getting a lawyer like going 7 to Perry Mason with you in the courtroom, 8 but someone who will deal with your problem. 9 MS. MADIGAN: And how to 10 coordinate services to remain at home with 11 dignity and your story is really 12 inspirational and we wish you the best of 13 luck as you pursue your college education 14 and look forward to hearing you as an important voice in the future. 15 16 MS. PATNODE: Maybe you want to 17 go to law school. 18 JUDGE LIPPMAN: God willing. 19 Thank you all, we appreciate it. Next we 2.0 have a clients panel, which is the final 21 panel for today. Lauren E. Raffe, Dorothea 22 Medina and Anne Knapp and Ronald. Would you 23 all come up? Okay. So first we're going to 2.4 hear from Lauren Raffe, a client of Legal 25 Aid Society of Northeastern New York,

accompanied by Judy Chercheveski. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Just Judy is fine. 3 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Lauren, go 4 ahead. 5 MS. RAFFE: Well good afternoon, 6 Your Honor, thank you so much for hosting us 7 this afternoon, we appreciate it. 8 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Our pleasure. 9 MR. LAYO: The opportunity too 10 for our voices to be heard. Obviously I'm 11 speaking for my son, Aiden, who is seven who 12 will do his best to be playing with leggos, 13 and be a good boy. Good afternoon. That's 14 good. I'm here today representing Aiden 15 Raffe who is seven-years-old and Aiden 16 suffers from a severe medical issue, and is 17 awaiting a multiple organ transplant. Aiden 18 takes nothing by mouth and relies obviously 19 on a system or technology of feeding tubes 2.0 and a pump and that's why he's in this chair 21 most of the time. He takes all his fluids, 22 nutrition and medication by that pump. 23 Aiden is confined to a wheelchair, while 2.4 attached to the pump. He's on the pump, 20 25 to eighteen hours a day and it just depends

1

on his medical state at that time.

2.4

25

In January of 2008, shortly after my returning from law enforcement, my husband Michael became unemployed. At the time we lived in Ithaca, New York, where both of our children attended local public schools with no problem. After a full year of unemployment it was clear that we needed to move our family from Ithaca back to the capital district to pursue a business opportunity that I had here. We at that time moved very specifically for the Spring of 2009 during the April break, so that the boys could be enrolled at the local public school. We went and we met with the Senior Administrator of the school district prior to signing a real estate contract for property in the suburbs because we knew there was going to be a big list for the school district. We wanted to be sure that the district was able and willing to provide services for our two disabled sons, and they were aged twelve and five at that time. district officials assured us that they were able and willing to provide the complex and

2.0

high level of services that the boys required, and the entire move was coordinated around the new district deadlines for review and granting of Summer special education services for both of the boys. It's important, it was important to all of us that the boys have continuity of services through the family transition, we had to move, we are changing schools and we wanted to keep things as normal as possible.

So, classes started up after

Spring break and my older son was able to
attend the middle school, but my younger
son, Aiden, was not admitted to the
kindergarten of the local elementary school.

Once we brought Aiden and the home care
nurse, who is in attendance today, to meet
with the elementary faculty and staff, the
administration backed away from their prior
assurance and continuously delayed his
admission. The reasons for delay and denial
changed rapidly depending on the excuse of
the day. Aiden's doctor had issued clear
orders for actions that should be taken by
the attending nurse to deal with Aiden's

2.4

25

various health needs. The district declared that doctors orders would not be followed. When pressed for an explanation, the district responded that their attorneys had advised them, that the care for my son, that he needed, was not permissible on school property. And that he would have be home schooled. Once the district invoked their legal counsel, I looked for representation for Aiden. A friend referred me to Legal Aid specifically the Children's Law Project. The intake process was quick and I was soon speaking to a competent and experienced attorney, who was familiar with the education law, and Aiden's issues which was just totally critical for this case and our attorney was able to sort through the barriers the district was presenting. after she became involved Aiden was admitted to his local elementary school under a temporary compromise that allowed his home care nurse to be present in the school, but not in the classroom. The stipulation at that time was that Aiden be removed from school property, and treated by the home

2.4

25

care nurse on an adjoining public property, should there be a medical emergency. As time passed, our attorney pointed me to our resources available to advocate for Aiden and we were relentless in contacting oversight agencies such as VESPID and the New York State Licensing Bureau and as pressure grew the district was beginning to communicate with us even through their legal counsel. After our attorney researched education law, and the Nursing Practice Act, she contacted the elementary middle school and secondary continuing education school health department, and State Nursing Department, for a written opinion. She presented the information to the school attorney, and in the end we did prevail and the school district acknowledged they were in fact responsible for Aiden's safety. attorneys drafted an individualized health plan which not only provided one-on-one nursing services for Aiden, but also required that all nurses in the district to be trained on how to reinsert a feeding tube. This health plan allowed Aiden to

25

participate in school. The Legal Aid Society allowed my family access to resources and expertise in a timely and professional manner. The phrase justice delayed is justice denied, is on point for this case, because a medically fragile child has only a small window of opportunity to experience normal community life. Aiden spends so much time in medical facility that every minute of school with typical children is precious. The Children's Law Project protected and ensured Aiden's right to a free and appropriate public education. At the same time, the Legal Aid Society has enriched our community by allowing Aiden to participate in normal daily activities. the Legal Aid Society, Children's Law Project had not represented Aiden, he would be confined to home school, with no chance for a normal education and social development. I hope that there will be funding for the legal service providers so that all disabled children will experience education success. Thank you for taking your valuable time to consider the important

question of access to counsel for all New Yorkers, and I hope you do all that you can to ensure access to justice, not just to the building, but to the services.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you have any doubt that Aiden would not be in the school he's in today without the help of legal services?

MS. RAFFE: I would have had to absolutely have home schooled him, and had to solve the problem that way, not to have to deal with the messiness of his medical condition in the school environment.

JUDGE PFAU: And not just legal services, but somebody who really knew how to navigate that system.

MS. RAFFE: That's exactly on point, Your Honor, and as you know, that the attorney that knows the law, and knows who to contact, what to cite, and what to argue, because in this case it's a medically fragile child and there's a lot of issues and it's not a regular typical disability, if you will, that's well tried. This is new ground so you've got to move quick.

1 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's a very 2 compelling case that you make for an issue 3 that we're holding the hearing on and we greatly appreciate your coming in and 4 5 telling us your story and we greatly 6 appreciate Aiden being here. 7 MS. RAFFE: He thought he was 8 important, because he's representing a lot 9 of children. 10 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Absolutely. 11 Thank you so much. Dorothea Medina? 12 Client of the Legal Project 13 accompanied by Lisa Finch. 14 MS. MEDINA: Thank you to Chief 15 Judge Lippman and the task force for holding 16 these important hearings on the need for and 17 the future of civil legal services in New 18 York State. 19 My name is Dorothea Medina and I 2.0 am here to speak as a former client of the 21 Legal Project here in Albany. I know that 22 New York State is in a financial crisis and 23 I know how hard it must be to determine what 2.4 gets funded and what doesn't, but I must 25 tell you that the civil legal service

2

3

1

4

6

5

8

7

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

organizations like the Legal Project, are the once that simply cannot be cut. By not having programs like these you are putting lives in danger. Without the Legal Project there will more people that women feel hopeless and scared to leave their abusive relationships and this is because they will not have the money to hire an attorney to guide them through the many complicated steps it takes to get a divorce or to establish custody of their children. I am an example of somebody who often falls through the cracks in terms of getting assistance. I am 33, a single mother of two daughters and a son. My daughters are 13 and 8, my son is three. I am CSEA member and worked at the Department of Motor Vehicles for the last 11 years.

In 2005 I met a man who I thought was perfect for me. He accepted me and treated my daughters as his own. So in 2007 I ended up marrying him and this is where my story begins. In February of 2008 after having experienced a history of abusive and controlling behavior by my husband, we got

2.4

25

into a huge argument and I told him that I no longer wanted to be with him. He must have realized that -- how serious I was because he became enraged and started screaming and he sent me to the basement to get a bag to pack his things and leave. unfortunately he followed me and continued to argue, my husband then grabbed an electrical cord and wrapped it around my neck and started to strangle me. I was able to remove it, but from there I was punched, kicked, slapped, for the next 40 minutes or so, just when I thought it was over, he took the same cord and wrapped it around my neck again. Before this all happened I never understood the phrase, my life flashed before my eyes. Believe me when you know that you are on life's past moments, your life truly does pass your before your eyes. I could see my daughters and my son crying at my funeral and I could see my husband on the News claiming that somebody broke in, and killed me, and that he was a loving husband that found me dead. Actually it was that thought that gave me the strength to

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

fight and maneuver myself out of his hold. He wasn't just trying to scare me this time, he was actually trying to kill me. I never saw anybody look so evil before. He decided that the next round would be to put a box cutter to my neck and to have me move my hands so he could get a good clean cut. That took about five minutes for me to accept my fate and I removed my hands. Fortunately though he left because there was no blades in it. Around 8 o'clock that next morning, I came up with an excuse to go downstairs without him and once I was down there I ran to the police station and from there to the hospital. That's the last time I saw my husband. He was captured a year later and is currently serving seven years.

At the time I remember speaking
to a representative from the Equinox

Domestic Violence Program concerning my
financial situation and I explained to her I
had already spoken to an attorney
previously, but I couldn't afford her
services. This is where I found out about
the Legal Project. When I called I couldn't

(518) 828-6410

23

2.4

25

believe how fast it was and I was able to start the process of getting my life back. I was assigned an attorney so I can establish sole custody of my son. Once that was taken care of I was assigned an attorney for the divorce procedure. If I didn't have the Legal Project, I would still be married to a man who tried to take my life. is absolutely no way I would have been able to afford a divorce attorney and understand the legalities of the legal system. attorney in the Legal Project was able to break things down and explain step by step and she had nothing but patience and understanding of the events that almost took my life. It's women like me that would be devastated without this funding. Like I said before, unfortunately I always seem to fall between the cracks. I am a single mother of three that works for the State. Ι make too much money to receive assistance like Section 8, Social Services, or Legal Aid, but yet I don't make enough to live decently. The Legal Project has a program -- has a program that helped people like me

that can't afford legal assistance. What I don't understand is why there even is a question for the need for funding for that program? Please do all that you can do to make sure that the funding is always there for these programs. They need to be able to do the important work they do without always worrying about cutting services, otherwise women like me would have no way out. The Legal Project gave me the gift of freedom and that is priceless. Without that help I may not be here today to tell my story. Thank you for taking the time to listen to me.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you for coming in, we appreciate it and certainly the Legal Project is a classic example of the kind of programs we need in this state, to provide absolutely necessary legal services. What would you have done?

MS. MEDINA: Honestly I would still be married right now. And I'd probably be just dreading the time he gets out. I probably wouldn't have the courage to go through the Family Court, and the

divorce on my own. 1 2 JUDGE LIPPMAN: And you go out 3 you work every day and you? MS. MEDINA: Yes. 4 5 JUDGE LIPPMAN: Try to be a 6 useful member of society, and someone whose 7 doing their share, and get you the needed help with the legal representation. 8 9 MS. MEDINA: Yes, I wouldn't have 10 been able to do it without them. 11 JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's a great 12 program, and we appreciate your coming in. 1.3 Thank you. Thank you Legal Project. Okay. 14 Anne Knapp and Ronald from the Empire 15 Justice Center. 16 MS. KNAPP: This is Ronald, he's the newest member of our family. We've 17 18 known Ronald for about five years. We began 19 the process of adopting a six year old from 2.0 Haiti and we have 3 other children, but came 21 to know a tremendous need for children 22 without homes, so we started to adopt then a 23 two-year-old. So we have known Ronald 2.4 because we travel back and forth to the 25 orphanage for several years and we realized

25

what happened with him. He was brought to the orphanage by his father, brought there as he lived in a province outside of Haiti in a very poor village. When Ronald turned twelve years old his father realized there was something wrong with him, and brought him to an orphanage in the City of Port Au Prince where the owner of the orphanage, was able to find a cardiologist an American cardiologist who diagnosed Ronald with mitral valve disease and said that Ronald will die if he didn't get to the States for surgery. We were able to arrange a host family, a doctor, to coordinate a pediatric cardiologist here at Albany Medical and Ronald came and had the mitral valve surgery. A family that wanted to adopt him once they learned that Ronald was returned permanently to Haiti wouldn't get the cardiac care he need. Unfortunately, his medical visa expired before he could be adopted -- before the adoption would be completed so he had to return to Haiti to the orphanage. He returned there, and about eighteen months later while still in the

25

process of pursuing an adoption, the mitral valve replacement failed, and that time we were visiting the orphanage and Ronald was 50 pounds lighter than you see him now. He was on oxygen, near death, and the orphanage was desperately trying to get a visa to get him to the States to get it repaired, but unfortunately the embassy down there would not process an adoption visa at the same time as the medical visa, so they basically told him he will die in Haiti. Fortunately those of us involved in the process here in the States cried pretty loudly to our Congressman and sent and made enough pressure to get the US Embassy to issue a He returned here last year, 2009, to Albany Med, where he had finally had successful mitral valve replacement done, but in April returned with a double pneumonia and we visited him and prayed for him and the doctors told us it would be a matter of time to figure out if he was going to die of the pneumonia and heart failure. Ronald survived and he was able to undergo the heart surgery. He had congenital heart

22

23

2.4

25

failure before. Just before the surgery by this doctor here in Albany he donated all of his services and saved Ronald's life. was to return to the family, the family also had three multiple handicapped children and that they have adopted. Ronald's medical needs and the difficulty with the other children made this family begin to really struggle. His medical visa expired October of last year, and again, legally he would have to return to Haiti. The cardiologist told the family that he will die if he returns to Haiti without the medical care he needs, he's on Coumadin, and he goes to cardiologists and doctors regularly. At this point it was too overwhelming for the family as they didn't know what they were going to do, they couldn't find legal representation or find a way to keep Ronald in the United States, without paying an exorbitant fee. Then we heard something called temporary protective status, but could not find any legal service that would help them. At that point they were beginning to pursue institutional placement

25

for Ronald, but because we knew Ronald and well, we care about him. We decided to have him come live with us, so Ronald came to live with us in May, and I began the search to find out what this temporary protective status is and I have to tell you, I have a degree in English and I could not understand what I had to do, to get temporary protective status. I called and called, and first I was told that Ronald -- that Ronald was given a humanitarian role and after the earthquake and that turned out not to be true. So I continued to search, I was finally told by a private attorney they would do the process of temporary protective status in early June, but it had to be completed by July 20th, and she would do that for about \$2,000, 25 hundred dollars. We have five children, I'm a teacher and my husband is a farmer, we couldn't afford it. So we began to make phone calls to see if we can find donations and in the mean time I called another attorney, and it was at this point I spoke with receptionist that was kind enough to tell me about a place called

25

Empire Justice Center. I took Roland to the Empire Justice Center in June, and Barbara Winger an attorney in two hours had us apply, waived her fee, the court fees and had them waived for us, and had our application for temporary protective services finished, and in the mean time she had found us an attorney who told us we can adopt Ronald and we're pursuing that, and that attorney will do that for a reasonable cost that we can afford. But without the Empire Justice Center, and that referral, I never would have been able to do that. Quite frankly we know Ronald knows that he could never return to Haiti, unless things change dramatically in the medical system. He needs to be here in New York, close to his cardiologist. So, thanks to the Empire Justice Center, he can now be here legally and he won't have to go back. And, that's our story.

JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, that speaks for itself, the story. And we commend you Ronald and especially the Empire Justice Center, for pursing and being persistent,

1

2

3

45

67

8

9

10

1112

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

and I think the whole story certainly demonstrates the enormous value and societal value in civil legal services, and what it means in translation in terms of human beings and their lives and happiness and their future. So, it's great to have Ronald and thanks for coming in. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:weak_problem} \mbox{We the appreciate your coming in} \\ \mbox{and all your efforts.}$

I do want to close by saying thanking this panel and close by saying I think it's clear from this particular hearing the breadth of life that civil legal services touches. Every day whether it be the boss, domestic violence, eviction, debt collection, housing, education, employment health, in the cities and in rural New York, I mean civil legal services is essential to our way of life here in New York and essential on so many levels and critical to our society and health of New York. So, I think that it's only appropriate this hearing has been held in the high Court of our State, in this beautiful courtroom, that has such history to it and such majesty too

1	and certainly the civil legal service
2	providers in this state have majesty to them
3	and deserve our support and the support of
4	the support of the public funding, and
5	this hearing has been very, very helpful
6	certainly to us, and to the task force and
7	to the work we have ahead. So, thank you
8	all for being here. We greatly appreciate
9	it. Thank you.
10	
11	(Whereupon, the proceedings
12	concluded in the above-entitled matter).
13	******
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	
3	I, CYNTHIA A. WEST, a Shorthand
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
5	State of New York, do hereby CERTIFY that
6	the foregoing record taken by me at the time
7	and place noted in the heading hereof is a
8	true and accurate transcript of the same, to
9	the best of my ability and belief.
10	
11	
12	
13	Cynthia A. West
14	
15	Dated: October 6, 2010
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	