		1
1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - SECOND DEPARTMENT -	
2	- SECOND DEPARTMENT -	
3	THE CHIEF JUDGE'S HEARING	
4	ON CIVIL LEGAL SERVICES,	
5	ON CIVIL LEGAL SERVICES,	
6	X	
7	26 Central Avenue Staten Island, New York	
8	September 30, 2014	
9	BEFORE:	
10	HONORABLE JONATHAN LIPPMAN,	
11		
12	HONORABLE A. GAIL PRUDENTI,	
13	Chief Administrative Judge	
14		
15	HONORABLE RANDALL T. ENG,	
16	Presiding Justice Appellate Division, 2nd Dept.	
17		
18	GLENN LAU-KEE, ESQ.	
19	President of the New York State Bar Association	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	ROSEMARY M. PFISTER, CSR, RPR	
25	BETH CICERO, CSR, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Morning, it's a pleasure to see all of you.

It's a pleasure to be out here in Staten Island in this beautiful courthouse that any day now, really any day, right, Judge McMahon, any day, right?

JUDGE McMAHON: Any day.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We will be occupying, but, today we have an exploratory occupation for this morning and I'm very pleased, as are my co-panelists, who I'll introduce to you.

To my right is Presiding Justice Randall Eng.

To my far right is the President of the State
Bar Association, Glenn Lau-Kee. And, to my immediate
left is the Chief Administrative Judge of the State
Courts, A. Gail Prudenti.

We are all delighted to be here. This is the third of our civil legal services hearing this year. We hold a hearing in each of the four Appellate Departments.

The purpose of the hearing is to; one, focus interest on civil legal services for the poor which is such a crisis in our city, here out in Staten Island, in our State and in the Country.

Second, the results of these hearings form the requests that we make to the legislature for funding for legal services for the coming year.

It's also from these hearings that we also get our recommendations from our passports as to what new initiatives we might take in civil legal services.

So, the hearings are very important because, fundamentally, there's a justice gap in New York City and around the Country that basically is between the finite legal resources available, the legal services and the desperate need by the poor and people of limited means to have legal representation in matters effecting the necessities of life; the roof over people's heads; their physical safety; their livelihoods; the well being of their families.

We have people who really can fall off the cliff in difficult economic times without the services of a lawyer, without legal representation.

Legal service providers in this Country, in the City as a whole and in the State, I would say turn away three out of four people who come to them seeking assistance in legal matters.

There are 2.3 million people who come into the Courts every year without representation in New York State.

I do believe that the Task Force that we've appointed to enhance civil legal services in our State headed by Helaine Barnett -- Helaine is right over there

in the second row -- has done a terrific, spectacular job in helping to organize these hearings and in helping to find ways to deal with this justice gap.

In attendance from the Task Force are Hon. Fern Fisher, the Deputy Chief Administrative Judge for New York City and our Access To Justice heads from throughout the State of our Access To Justice program. Adriene Holder is here in the third row right there, Adriene.

Barbara Finkelstein, who played such a great role in organizing these hearings with Denise Kronstadt. Sitting right next to her is Raun Rasmussen; right there is Debbie Wright.

So, we have a good showing from the Task Force and they've been terrific.

Christine Fecko is here, the general counsel to IOLA.

When people are not able to get representation, it's at such a tremendous cost to our society and our communities.

We estimate that for every dollar that we invest in civil legal services, we get \$6 returned to the State in less social service cost, incarceration cost, more federal dollars flowing to the State. It is essential that we focus on this issue as a State and as a society.

The reason why the judiciary and the leadership and the Bar in our State hold these hearings is because it is within our constitutional mission as the judicial branch of government.

It is ours to maintain the constitution of the government to foster equal justice. This is what we do. This is our purpose for the people and the Bar of our state.

It is so fundamental to what it means to be a lawyer and President Lau-Kee in the State Bar and all of the respective Bar Associations here on Staten Island and around the State recognize this ultimate obligation and responsibility as lawyers to help others and to serve people; this is what lawyers do.

This mission that we all have is not tangential to the work of the Courts; it is basic and fundamental.

As a recognition of the role of the judiciary in this effort, the legislature has passed a resolution asking us to hold these hearings and to report back on the needs for this year and each year to close the justice gap; and that's exactly what we're doing. The results of this hearing will inform the requests that we make to the legislature.

I would note that the New York template that we've developed to adjust this gap comprises basically

two very fundamental pillars. One is public funding of legal services.

This year we have \$70 million in public funding for civil legal services; \$55 million that go directly in grants from the Court system to legal service providers, and another \$15 million that we give to IOLA for them to give out grants because the IOLA interest rates have become so low that they went from \$36 million in funding each year to about \$8 million. So, we give them \$15 million from the judiciary to continue their own grants and their own good work. So that really involves this funding and re-prioritization of what's important in our State and our society.

We believe legal services for the poor is as important as housing and education and hospitals and all the other things that our society holds dear. We thank the legislature and the executive branch for producing that funding. You know, they've been terrific and recognized the importance again of this issue.

The second pillar of our program is pro bono work by the Bar. We have been reaching out to different groups. We had the state Bar, Empire State Counsel Program, we have the Court System's Lawyer Emeritus Program that reaches out to baby boomers. We have a new set of rules for corporate counsel. We allow corporate

counsel, not admitted to the Bar in New York, to still practice when they do pro bono work in New York.

We have the lawyer for a day program that Judge Fisher has been so instrumental in.

We recognize and the Bar recognizes how important that work is because with all the funding that we have, in a little while we're going to also have testimony from the Chair of the City Council Committee Legal Service. The council chipped in with funding.

With all the funding that we get, there isn't enough money in the world to be able to do the job without having the good work of the lawyers in this State, and they're terrific. They've done terrific work. It is so important that we have that voluntary contribution from the Bar.

So, as we move towards a civil Gideon where some day there may be a right to representation in civil cases that there is in criminal, based on the landmark Supreme Court case Gideon versus Wainwright. In civil representation, even in matters involving essentials of life, there is no such constitutional floor that provides that each person is entitled to representation.

We believe that the past hearings have moved us towards that goal, towards our right to counsel, towards effective representation for people, finding necessities

of life, whether by policy, by statute, by constitution.

We have to get people who are in the midsts of the greatest crises in their lives. We have to be able to provide representation.

So, these hearings in the past years have provided testimony from state-wide public officials, the Attorney General, the Comptroller, from Cardinal Dolan, from business people, from legislative leaders, from providers, from clients from every direction testifying to the great needs why we need more legal assistance and really trying to make the point that not only is it the right thing to do, the moral, the ethical thing to do to help those in need, but also it's good for the bottom line of our community and our society; that our community will not prosper if we have people who again are falling to the wayside, who can't go to our local stores, have money in the banks, have a place of residence that's secure.

Unless people have all of those things and kids are being educated, it's not good for anybody and not good for our society.

So, I welcome you to these hearings.

I want to stress how important this is to the judiciary and to the lawyers in our State recognizing that this is our specialist on stability.

And, I certainly feel as the Chief Judge of our State and the steward of the justice system here in New York, that there is no more important thing that I do than to foster, or certainly do everything I can to foster equal justice in our State. So, that's sort of the context for the hearing today.

We are very fortunate to have a wonderful group of witnesses. I call as the first witness, Steven Banks, the Commissioner of the New York City Human Resources Administration to come up to the table. I know that Steven Banks was the head of the Legal Aid Society in New York City for so many years.

How many years, Commissioner Banks?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Just a few, only ten.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Was the head of the Legal Aid Society for ten years, did a spectacular job at a time of some difficulties for the oldest legal service provider in the United States.

He was a great leader who returned Legal Aid Society to its glory days as it should be as one of the gold standards among legal service providers should be. He led that organization with vision and commitment. He was an instrument of part of our Task Force under Helaine Barnett's leadership.

We miss him, but we do think that Mayor

de Blasio has made a spectacular choice for him to be the 1 Commissioner of the Human Resources Administration. 2 So, I welcome you, Commissioner, and thank you 3 for all your efforts in the past in the particular issue 4 5 of legal services for the poor. Again, you're a great leader of the Legal Aid 6 7 Society. I know you will be just as spectacular leader 8 of the Human Resources Administration. 9 So, I commend Mayor de Blasio. I commend the Mayor on the choice of the Commissioner. 10 And, you're on. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I should leave now right. 13 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes, enough. What are you doing for us lately? Go ahead. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you very much for 16 your kind words. Judges, Deputy Administrative Judge, Presiding 17 Justice Eng, President Lau-Kee, great to see you in a 18 different context. 19 20 I would be happy to come in and say we need more funding for various things, but I come here to 21 support your efforts in allocating money for civil legal 22 23 services. As you know, HRA is the largest social services 24 25 agency in the United States with a budget of 9.7 billion

dollars, serving 3 million people a day in a whole range of very critical needs. In a sense, the clients that we serve are exactly the clients that you have been so concerned about in terms of providing civil legal services.

Civil legal services here are so important to the Mayor that the programs that had been a patchwork in the City have all been consolidated at the Human Resources Administration.

So in the past, there were some amounts of funding at the Department of Housing Preservation and Development known now as the Youth Community Development and such upcoming developers as the Department of Homeland Services.

All these services have been brought together under one roof to essentially give a home in the City of New York for civil justice support and civil legal services support because its such an important service for our clients.

HRA is anxious for change, equality, preventing homelessness. Legal services are critical in that effort. You have my testimony for the record. I know that brief witnesses are favored, so I'm going to make a few points from that testimony.

The consolidation involves bringing together

\$21 million based on civil budget funding. That includes an additional \$7 million that we added in the baseline for civil eviction funding, including the \$6 million we just put into the budget for our agency in September. That's in addition to the important support that the City Council added and Councilman Lancman spoke about last week, the chair of the committee spoke about it as well.

Those are part of our program as a full and great City Council and a major part of our consolidated streamline effort to ensure that we have the dollars that are targeted officially to provide the resources that are needed.

The old adage, an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure is so true in providing the civil legal services that we do in the area in anti-eviction services.

We know essentially we are providing all kinds of assistance to a very targeted group with children and other New Yorkers that would end up in a shelter.

Part of the Mayor's program is to address the record homelessness that confronts us, in addition to providing permanent housing and other assistance.

Prevention is a critical component and legal services is in the forefront of that. So that's why we added dollars to that and we're setting up a new program modeled on the

council side for senior citizens who are targets and at risk. For example, people who are in family shelters as a result of evictions, providing high risk shelter systems without adverse outcomes of that kind of proceeding. So, we're targeting services there.

At the same time, we also know this hearing is very focused on the content and importance of services that legal services provides in disaster type of settings.

I know from my own experience at the Legal Aid Society following September 11th and following Sandy, they are in the front lines of providing that kind of assistance critical to homeowner loss, jobs are needed, unemployment assistance, need the independent kind of safety net services that legal services can provide.

I mean, your focus on the essentials of life is our focus in the legal services that we are supporting at the Human Resource Administration because they are so critical to subsistence income, roof over heads, access to education. Education is critical and things our clients need.

Legal services can be part of the solution for the problems our clients have. We look forward to a partnered legal services program with you.

I would also note that one of the important

values of the funding that you prioritized is to have a well-trained seasoned staff in place.

There is nowhere more highly illustrated in the wake of disaster when you need experienced, well-trained staff to be in place.

So, our priority for funding, we're certainly looking forward to have that kind of assistance available as well as address any urgent issues such as health care.

So, we fully support your effort and we look forward to continue doing our part in the City's Human Resources Administration. In our community, we will make a difference on a day-to-day basis for children and adults.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you,

Commissioner, I think you mentioned evictions and

additional money being put into providing services to

people's families and what they are facing.

You know, with all that we've done, with all the monies we tried to provide through the State

Legislature, the monies that you're putting out there, the effort to obtain counsel, it seems like such an intractable problem. Despite all of that, the overwhelming numbers of people facing eviction in the City to not have representation.

Where do we go on this issue? It's such a

fundamental -- I see eviction support and foreclosure as the two things that are the most graphically illustrated, the fundamentals of life.

We know that on the foreclosure side, we see some progress with the amount of representation that we have in the State Legislature's Bill that is providing for the modification conferences. I see some movement.

On the eviction side, is it possible, will there come a day when people facing the loss of their homes to eviction will be represented and are there things -- I know there are, I know we're all doing it -- what are things, short of full representation, that can help people facing eviction?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think there are a range of things that we can address and we should address.

I recall Joe Strassberg's testimony at the first hearing that you held as President of the Rent Stabilization Association. He focused on the range of cases that end up in court because of problems with the delivery of basic public benefits.

As the head of the agency now, it is to get a lot of those benefits and resources that we're working on to ensure that the adverse incapacity doesn't resolve in eviction. So we don't get to that point.

We know from data when we evaluated it, that

23 percent of people applying for shelter in New York
City had an HRA case closing or sanction before applying.
So the reform is to be focused on that. I'm trying to
stress that problem.

Beyond that, there are a range of cases in which it's a dispute and the presence of legal services can make a difference between keeping a roof over your head and being evicted, as we tried to do in putting the additional dollars into the budget this year, increasing the baseline funding from 14 to 21 million with 7 million on anti-eviction services, focus on the most adverse services within the essentials of life.

One can say all the essentials of life in -CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Focus on it with legal
representation per se.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We know that focusing and targeting representation to a select kind of cases, we can make a difference because that's the group of cases producing high range.

Families with high shelter history, when they're faced with eviction, are more likely to enter shelters after eviction than other families. So we very much focus on those cases.

Senior citizens are a group of people that my target resources would like to address their needs as

well as part of the consolidation, all of this at HRA, 1 gives us this flexibility. 2 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Put resources where most basic needs are? 4 5 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes. 6 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you one other 7 question. You have been involved in this effort that 8 we've had in New York State since the beginning to 9 provide the public funding. You've been with us. 10 From what we talked about, what should we as 11 we're talking about now in your new role, target? 12 13 Who are we talking about when we say, "must have legal services"? We must do everything we can to 14 15 try to get them legal services. Where do we go from here, Commissioner? I asked 16 17 the Task Force, I think you know this, is in New York City, what should we aspirationally look to do? 18 Whether you call it civil Gideon, people vying 19 for the essentials of life, where are we going? What 20 should we aspire to and how do we get there and in what 21 timetable? 22 23 I know that's a huge question, but what's going through your head as to where you are now? You follow 24 25 these hearings, you've been at virtually every hearing

over the last years. Where are we and where do you think we should be going in the broadest strokes?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think you're going in exactly the right direction.

I think that the original targeting of essentials of life cases was an important first step in New York City's template for how to determine which are the priority cases.

I think the dollars that have been put at the State level dollars, put in at the City level, together are beginning to move us toward the goal of meeting that need.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I remember someone saying in the Task Force -- have been saying, essentials of life are 200 percent the poverty level, is that right?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: From what we see in the front lines in the clients coming into our offices, that's the right targeting, but the magic ingredient is resources.

The combination of State dollars you've been putting, the City resources, now we're putting together advances further down the line with more emphasis. New York has a template for doing this, that great progress has been made over the last several years and more needs to be made.

I think New York will give examples to other States having set this procession in motion.

I think the annual hearing gives you an opportunity to look at what we knew are these, the right essentials of life needs, the emphasis of a well-trained staff, more components of this.

Ultimately, what we're trying to do through our targeting of services is we're all going to see a lot about what it means to target particular groups for priority representation beyond what legal services would normally prioritize in these very different cases.

Once we begin to do what the Task Force did so actively in their reports to you, cost benefits -- clearly, putting in more dollars in anti-eviction is related to what happened to -- what happens when a person is evicted, an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure.

No price can be put on the human cost, trauma to children, human services.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Your point about cost benefit analysis is very -- we tried to do that, the Task Force in a number of key areas to show this is the best investment that our government and our society can make. Again, not only because of the human beings that you help, but, because it's our society, our economy, our

community at the same time.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think the focus of cost benefits has an important long-standing effect. We'll be announcing a series of reforms with respect to our employment services.

One issue is there are large numbers of people who have been subjected to sanctions, case closings who really should be getting assistance to get some supplemental security assistance.

So much work has been done as you and the Task Force brought those federal dollars into the community, not to mention the support for the individuals, the savings of local government.

We're really going to highlight that kind of approach who has its roots and work to be done.

PRESIDING JUDGE ENG: New York is blessed with an enormous resource and that is 15 law schools, 11 of which are in the Metropolitan Area, four upstate and key urban centers.

Our Court is involved, of course, in approving student practice orders.

I'm wondering if you might have some suggestions regarding the best use of students under supervision in rendering the kind of services we need and what kind of supervision do they actually need from your

experience with the Legal Aid Society and your present position?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, that's a great question. It can take me all morning. I know I don't have all morning.

I think that from my experience, the Legal Aid Society was always that if you start off with a massive supervision for staff attorneys, that you'll be well situated to put a proper system of supervised law students in place; that some flexibility needs to be given to Legal Aid providers to build upon the supervision structures in place, staff to law students.

I think sometimes when you look at the situation, as legal services programs are supervised, law graduates prior to admission, you have the same issue with regard to supervising law student dichotomy, how practices are written to set up two separate systems.

And, really, the same approach could pertain to law graduates as law students. A little more flexibility to legal services will be helpful.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Just to total it up with Presiding Justice Eng, we know we have a lot of students who attend the fifty hour program for pro bono scholars.

I think it very apropos to say that they have the supervision that they need because I don't accept for

a second the idea that law students are unable to provide really effective assistance to people in need of legal services. But they do need to have guidance and supervision, so I think it's very important. COMMISSIONER BANKS: Apropos where we go into substitute for staff attorneys model pro bono services, law students, all the other things that you've been a leader in expanding are all parts of the solution, get to the goal we want to reach in terms of meeting the needs of low income people representation. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, Commissioner, delight to have you. (Whereupon, Beth Cicero replaced Rosemary Pfister as the Official Court Reporter at this time.) (Continued on next page.) 

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We are now going to have Leroy Frazer, Jr., who is the Chief of Staff to District Attorney Thompson, come up and testify.

We have a history at our hearings on civil legal services to have some of the district attorneys from around the state. We have had District Attorney Vance, District Attorney DiFiore from Westchester County, District Attorney Rice from Nassau to testify.

There is a relationship between civil legal services for the poor and the work of our district attorney offices, and we are delighted to have you. Again, I think the district attorney is someone who has come onto the scene in Brooklyn and doing a terrific job. So please, you are here to represent him today.

MR. FRAZER: Good morning. I bring you both greetings and sincere apologies from District Attorney Thompson. He intended to be here this morning, although due to an unforeseen emergency, he was unable to make it, so he ordered me -- asked me to come and give his testimony for him.

So thank you, Chief Judge Lippman, Justice Eng,
Chief Administrative Judge Prudenti and State Bar
Association President Lau-Kee. I am pleased to be here
today to offer my perspective on the dire need for civil
legal services for low income New Yorkers.

The general public would assume that the Kings County District Attorney's office is only concerned with prosecutions and with helping victims navigate the criminal justice system. My office handles over a hundred thousand cases a year, but for many of the crime victims we see, their troubles don't end with a guilty verdict. For victims of domestic violence, elder abuse, elder fraud, immigrant fraud and unscrupulous landlords, civil legal assistance is vital.

Domestic abuse victims need a lawyer so they can keep custody of their children and arrange safe circumstances for visitation. Without legal counsel, particularly vulnerable victims like people with disabilities, may find themselves at risk of losing their kids to abusive partners because they are perceived to be less capable of parenting.

Civil attorneys also help with housing and landlord-tenant issues and human rights relief when a domestic violence victim is in danger of losing a job due to missing work or during a pending court case.

When victimization does not rise to the level of a criminal matter, we have to refer people to a civil attorney. Too often, people are either turned away due to insufficient funding or have to wait such a long time that they may be effectively precluded from getting assistance.

My office has a Family Justice Center with four legal providers: Sanctuary for Families, Urban Justice Center, South Brooklyn Legal Services and Her Justice.

Also, Day One works with teen victims of domestic violence, and JASA, Jewish Association of Services for the Aged, provides support for the elderly clients seeking Family Court orders of protection against abusive intimate partners and their adult children.

There is a burgeoning demand at the Family

Justice Center for civil legal assistance. If this option

becomes scarcer, vulnerable people will stay in dangerous

relationships even longer, exposing children to a greater

risk of injury.

For many victims of domestic violence, the criminal justice system may not always be optimal. Seeking a civil protection order in Family Court may be a better alternative. Research clearly demonstrates that one of the key components in reducing domestic violence is ensuring that victims have civil legal remedies and services.

Civil attorneys play a crucial role in assisting victims of intimate partner abuse and human trafficking who may be eligible for U-Visas and T-Visas, which are conferred on non-citizens who suffered substantial abuse in a crime and assisted in the prosecution of a case.

Immigration attorneys work closely with our

office in getting certifications of a victim's helpfulness, which begins the process of U-Visa and T-Visa applications. So far this year, our office has approved 145 certifications.

Civil legal assistance is vital to low income homeowners victimized by mortgage fraud and to apartment dwellers being forced out of rent-controlled or rent stabilized buildings.

As far as immigrant fraud, we have a new unit prosecuting those who prey on newcomers, but many require a lawyer to aid them in filing civil lawsuits against the individuals or businesses who have harmed them in ways that do not amount to criminality. Many victims will need an immigration attorney to untangle the mess caused by empty promises of a Green Card or an attorney to sue an employer for unpaid wages.

We can't guarantee restitution with a conviction.

And if there is greater access to competent legal help to
get them through the complex immigration process in the
first place, there would not be so much fraud and abuse in
the immigrant community.

So speaking from a law enforcement standpoint, providing funding for these services for low income

New Yorkers can greatly improve their lives, and by turn, improve their communities and help decrease crime.

Finally, as prosecutors, we seek to ensure justice and fairness for all. It is equally vital there is a perceived fairness in the civil courts, as in the criminal justice system. When a citizen cannot afford to retain an attorney and has to represent him or herself in Civil Court, they're clearly at a disadvantage, and their experience in doing so may leave them with a negative view of the courts. What follows are negative perceptions of lawyers, judges and the legal profession as a whole.

We must do all we can to make sure the public has faith in our judicial system, and guaranteeing representation in the civil arena will go a long way toward fulfilling that goal.

Thank you for pursuing access to justice for the state's neediest citizens.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. I appreciate your testimony. You'll give the District Attorney our thanks for that testimony.

Let me just ask you one question. I think you've very much made the link between a lot of people saying why is the District Attorney or his representative testifying at a hearing on civil legal services. I think you have hit it in every regard.

Let me ask you one more conceptual question. You know obviously since Gideon versus Wainwright, we have the

constitutional right to representation in criminal cases.

And I talked a little bit in my introductory comments about we are not there on the civil side; that there is no civil Gideon as of yet.

Do you think it's possible that someone intimately involved with the criminal justice system, that a problem in a civil case could be as traumatic to an individual as the very loss of liberty itself in a criminal case? Can you equate the two when you talk about legal representation?

MR. FRAZER: Yes, sir, I think we can. I think that often times -- well, I could think of an instance or instances where an individual is so harmed as a result of what takes place in a civil arena that it may lead to them losing their home, losing their livelihood and therefore, losing civil liberties that we all share.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No. I agree. I want them to hear it from somebody from the criminal side: Yes, you know the consequences of not having an attorney can be as great, and in some instances greater, than the very loss of liberty. Any other questions? No?

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE PRUDENTI: No. Thank you.

24 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you so much. Thank
25 you. Great to see you.

MR. FRAZER: Thank you.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The next witness will be Councilman Rory Lancman, who is the chair of the new City Council Committee on Courts and Legal Services.

I know Councilman Lancman from his service up in Albany in the legislature. He had a great interest in judicial issues at that time, and I know him as someone who is extremely reform minded, forward looking, a reformer in the best sense of that word and someone vitally interested in the success of the justice system.

So we welcome you, Councilman, and we are so pleased that Speaker Mark-Viverito has created this committee that you are heading now on legal services. I think it is a recognition of the importance of that issue to the City council. We welcome you and it is a delight to see you.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Thank you, Judge. It is great to be here.

Chief Judge Lippman, Judge Prudenti, Judge Eng,
Mr. Lau-Kee. It is really an honor to be here, I might add,
in the council district of my colleague, Debie Rose, who I
think you might be hearing from.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We will.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: We all do observe certain protocols, your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I know. We do. Go ahead.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: As chair of the Council's

Committee on Courts and Legal Services and as a

representative of a Queens district within the Second

Department, I am particularly happy to testify in today's

6 hearing.

I know you heard testimony last week at the

First Department hearing from Council Speaker Melissa

Mark-Viverito. Today I want to amplify her call for

expanded legal services. Providing counsel when basic human

needs are at stake is both the hallmark of compassionate

government and a sound investment of our money as tax

payers.

As a member of the State Assembly in 2010, Judge,
I had the distinct privilege of being present at your
inaugural and, if I may say, rousing Law Day speech when you
announced the Task Force to Expand Access to Civil Legal
Services in New York. Commitment to ensure legal
representation which, as you put it, relates to the basic
human needs of our population: Shelter, sustenance,
personal safety, health or child custody.

I remember that speech vividly. I was sitting next to Helene Weinstein, the terrific chair of the Judiciary Committee in the Assembly, and I remember remarking to her how surprised I was at the forward and

aggressive vision that you presented that day. It wasn't what one usually hears from a Chief Judge, and we were all very, very inspired.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. Appreciate it.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Let me applaud the Task

Force's success in moving so far towards achieving the
mission that you envision and that you articulated, which
includes an impressive increase of state funding of civil
legal services of tens of millions of dollars over four
years, which I know very well have not been four years of
otherwise expanding state budgets. It really is an
extraordinary achievement.

We in the city make every attempt to treat civil legal services as the funding priority that it must be. As you heard last week from the Speaker, council funding increased 76 percent from fiscal year 2014 to 2015. Of course, we urge the State Legislature to follow our lead in next year's budget cycle.

We in the City fund large citywide providers like the Legal Aid Society, Legal Services of New York City, the New York Legal Assistance Group, but we also fund legal services through community based organizations such as CAMBA in Brooklyn, housing conservation coordinators on the West Side and the Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation. We are always on the lookout for innovative funding

opportunities that will serve hard to reach populations and emergent legal needs.

We have made significant concentrated investments in keeping low income New Yorkers in their homes to avoid having to rely on the costly shelter system, and I was very excited to hear Commissioner Banks' testimony this morning about HRA's efforts to target legal services towards

New Yorkers who are most at risk of losing their homes and entering the shelter system at tremendous cost to city taxpayers, in stopping domestic violence through empowering women via legal representation and in maintaining vibrant families and communities through immigration services and deportation defense. The millions we spend on these services saves tens, potentially hundreds of millions of dollars in shelter costs, incarceration and in public benefits.

It is very, very important that the Task Force continue, as you have, to emphasize the benefits to taxpayers of providing adequate civil legal representation as we as elected officials make the case to our communities that these are programs that are worthy of funding with their hard-earned tax dollars.

Here in the Second Department, following the onset of the foreclosure crisis in 2008 and Superstorm Sandy in 2012, Council-funded legal services organizations have

made a critical difference in the lives of struggling

Staten Islanders, Brooklynites and Queens residents. We are
especially proud of how effective these services have been.

For example, the New York Legal Assistance Group reported as of September 1, 2014, it's Storm Response Unit had recovered \$15 for every dollar spent on representation. Attorneys advocated effectively with federal, state and local recovery programs and agencies as well as insurance companies and contractors and, when necessary, in housing and bankruptcy court.

Thanks in significant part to your work at the state level as well as city funding, Legal Aid Society attorneys have been providing foreclosure defense services for several years now, including crucial review of foreclosure documents so that families are not unjustly dispossessed by unscrupulous loan services.

Queens in particular has been referred to as

Ground Zero for the subprime lending crisis here in New York

State, including parts of my district, and I have seen how

valuable this legal representation has been to people in

danger of losing their homes.

The Council's newest initiative announced last week by Speaker Mark-Viverito is the Unaccompanied Minor Initiative, which designates one million dollars in city funding and leverages an additional \$900,000 in private

foundation funding to provide counsel for undocumented immigrant youth. Due in large part to increased violence in Central America, the number of unaccompanied immigrant refugee children and teenagers journeying to New York has risen dramatically this year. Unrepresented, these youths were four times more likely to be deported back to the violence they escaped than if they have counsel.

Just yesterday, my committee had its first hearing together with the Immigration Committee and City Council on the unaccompanied minor services and New York City's efforts to assist these children. The bulk of the conversation was about providing legal services to these kids so they have the opportunity to assert their valid legal claims to stay in the United States.

One thing that might make its way to your attention, Judge, is the conversation I had with the City's immigration commissioner, Nisha Argarwal, regarding including the Family Court or representation from Family Court on the Mayor's Task Force for Unaccompanied Minors. Because probably the most common claim that the minors are asserting to be able to stay in the United States is to seek special immigrant juvenile status which first requires a determination in Family Court of abuse, neglect or abandonment. So we'd like to see Family Court have a more formal involvement in the Mayor's Task Force dealing with

this issue.

Beyond providing direct legal representation, we believe there's an important role for providing legal information and basic information about individual's legal rights. We have funded the Housing Court Answers Program and Legal Information for Families, which helps litigants understand landlord-tenant and family law issues and formulate effective arguments to make on their own behalf before those courts.

We are committed to working with you on these and similar models that facilitate access to justice. We hope to begin a discussion soon about more accessible unbundled services and information for debtors in civil court, many of whom are victims of aggressive credit card companies and medical billing schemes that leave valid defenses available to them, but unvindicated if they don't have access to counsel.

We are also eager to collaborate and leverage the talents of the New York area law schools and their clinical programs. Not only do they prepare new lawyers for practice, they too help fill the justice gap. From The Workers

Cooperative Law Project that the City University of New York

Law School to the Youth Re-Entry Clinic at Brooklyn Law

School to the Consumer Justice for the Elderly Clinic at

St. John's, in my district, our law schools boast many

dozens of clinical offerings and are increasingly meeting the legal needs of low income and ordinary New Yorkers.

I myself, when I was in law school, represented unemployment insurance applicants in something called the Unemployment Action Center. And while I cannot say that the clients that I represented had the most experienced counsel available to them, they certainly had zealous representation. It was a great way for me, as a law student, to learn how to be a real lawyer, so-to-speak.

So ultimately, we view the justice gap as a surmountable challenge we in government owe to both indigent New Yorkers who rely on publicly funded civil legal services as well as to better-off New Yorkers whose tax funds the majority of the public services to make the requisite investment and to close the gap for dignity's and efficiency's sake.

I thank you for your time today, and I join your efforts to secure the requisite funding necessary to make equal justice a reality for all New Yorkers.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, Councilman. We greatly appreciate the efforts being made by the Council, by you, by the Speaker to focus on this area.

One question I'd like to raise for you. You talk about a lot of different models to provide legal assistance.

One of the things we are doing -- and we'd love for you, for

the Council to be involved with -- we now have a program using non-lawyers to help with the assistance of legal services. It is a program called a Navigator Program that actually allows non-lawyers to get into the courtroom with litigants. Not to argue a case as a lawyer but to provide moral support, provide information, to answer questions the judge may have.

It's based on an English model that in

Great Britain, a lot of the legal services provided are done
through non-lawyers under the theory that a non-lawyer who
focuses on a particular niche can provide great, great
assistance, as opposed to maybe a lawyer generalist who is
not into that particular -- like the Housing Council that
the federal government supports.

And President Lau-Kee knows. The Bar has been active with us in looking at this model. We think it is an interesting, new emerging area that can provide help to close the justice gap, because our view is we look at every possible avenue. I think it is an area we'd love to have the collaboration of the Council on.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Yes, Judge, I'd love to work with you on that and try to develop that line of thought. I mean, let's be honest. The vast majority of the legal work is, to a certain extent, routinized. We have all had the pleasure of working with very capable paralegals at some

point in our career who know a particular aspect of the law, whether it's personal injury, housing or what have you.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: And most of these legal cases for people who are poor and without legal representation, they are losing these cases and they are losing their claims. Not for want of a lawyer who is capable of coming up with a brilliant and legal theory or doing spectacular cross-examination, but the basic filing of papers.

I mean, Judge, I felt when I was in the Assembly, one of your remarkable achievements was, if I remember correctly, as a matter of rule, you require the banks to prove they actually owed the notes they were suing to foreclose on.

So much of the legal services that poor people require don't need to be acquired by Clarence Darrow. They just need to have their ducks in a row, their I's dotted and T's crossed.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think the Bar recognizes and we recognize that no stone is going to be left unturned. The other thing I point out before I ask if anybody else has any questions, is in the consumer credit area. In addition to foreclosure, we very much tightened the rules on consumer credit cases to ensure that the creditors -- which often with these things, they buy a lot of debt at one time and

they don't have the backups that demonstrate that there is a good claim.

So in addition to wanting to get some representation in the consumer credit area, we have just recently changed the rules. It is another area I think your committee might look at. Consumer credit is such a big area for people in the legal aid system.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: It is. For those of you who have gone through Civil Court to get to Supreme, you know the Civil Court docket is clogged with these consumer credit cases. I think in Queens, the trial dates that people are being offered are so far into the future --

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And so many of them get default judgments that aren't based on much.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Right.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's how we feel. It is our responsibility to make sure there's documentation to support --

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Right. So enhancing the court's own internal rules, as well as providing people who aren't necessarily lawyers but know which documents need to be presented.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exactly.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: And if they are not there, someone needs to raise their hand.

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exactly.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Would be very, very helpful.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exactly.

Justice Eng?

PRESIDING JUSTICE ENG: Thank you. First, I'd like to thank you on a personal level for your dedication and service. My parents remain your constituents in Queens, and they are, of course, very happy with the effort that you've made in the areas that we have discussed.

But you made a point before about the dissemination of information. It seems to me that so much could be avoided by the dissemination of information. We have seen these aggressive anti smoking anti-addiction campaigns. Perhaps a vigorous educational program involving things like predatory lending, contractor scams. Things like that might head some of these off over here and before they find the way to the courts. I am wondering what your thoughts are regarding efforts in that area.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: I think that's an excellent point, Judge. I remember in the Assembly during the subprime lending crisis, part of our efforts, a big part of our efforts, was reforming the legal process for people who found themselves in foreclosure. But we also directed resources to nonprofit organizations, neighborhood organizations that could counsel people as they were

purchasing a home or refinancing so that they would walk into a transaction with some knowledge about what to be aware of and what to stay away from. And that an ounce of prevention was worth many pounds of cure.

If we could identify and target the same way HRA is identifying where to direct legal services for people who find themselves at that point in the process, so-to-speak, if we could similarly target educational resources to people who can stay out of trouble before they find themselves in court, I think that that would be money very, very well spent.

THE COURT: President Lau-Kee?

MR. LAU-KEE: Thank you very much. As practicing lawyers, we are looking again at the Navigators Program as being something that has a lot of possibilities. Just so you know, we are also working on looking at how lawyers deal with this. Lawyers have very strong ethical constraints, and we are just sorting out now what lawyers' obligations are when you unbundle the services, how does that really work. So this is something that we are looking into, and as we keep our eye on the Navigators Program and how that's working and evaluated and find the possibilities, we hope that we will have a role in trying to leverage all these efforts.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: I would urge as you proceed,

we proceed together, because I think it is very important.

The Council can have a big role in that.

engage community services organizations and advocacy organizations that know their communities very, very well and can make sure that the program efforts are linguistically, culturally, socioeconomically attuned to the communities we are trying to help so that we don't create something where there is an opportunity for people to be exploited.

We see, for example, in the immigration arena where there is proliferation. Non-lawyers -- or at least it had been a particular problem in the past but still ongoing -- where you had non-lawyers providing services and/or purporting to provide services and they were really exploiting their community.

So if with the power and the comprehensive authority of the judicial system and the legislative branch we could do that in a way that's structured and has rules and engages the communities directly, I think it could be very, very successful.

I think one of the things we have to do that I spoke to President Lau-Kee about, we have to still look at our practice of law, statutes and regulations; what is it, what is the practice of law. And as you say, draw the right

lines so that we don't have people being taken advantage of.

And yet we use all the other resources that are out there

that might give people legal assistance.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Certainly. Look, it has to be put to the Bar. If you are not going to be able to -- either because of the nature of your practice or you're going to be unwilling to put in the number of pro bono hours that it would take to meet this vital, arguably constitutional need, then you can't resist every reasonable effort to allow non-lawyers to perform functions that traditional lawyers have provided. Because we have to get at the problem.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think we are very much collaborating on how to get this done. Because it is really a problem that we see in so many types of cases; like housing evictions, consumer credit, child support, particular areas where ninety some odd percent of the people are unrepresented. So how do you get them help if we cant, for whatever the reason, are unable to provide legal representation. We've got to be able to, again, draw lines and figure out how we do this. Judge Prudenti?

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE PRUDENTI: I just wanted to take the opportunity for a minute, Chief Judge, to thank Councilman Lancman for mentioning the Unaccompanied Minor Initiative and to let him know that the Office of

Court Administration, with Judge Fisher's help and
Judge Edwina Richardson Mendelhson, we have established
practices and protocols for applications for special
juvenile immigrant status. We'd love to share them with you.
We'd love to work with you. Please don't hesitate to call
upon us.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Terrific. Absolutely. The Chief Judge used the word collaborative.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We look forward to collaborating with the committee. Great to have you as the chair of the council's committee. Thank you, Councilman.

Appreciate it.

COUNCILMAN LANCMAN: Thank you.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Now we have the pleasure 1 of having another leader from the New York City Council 2 that is the Honorable Debbie rose. 3 Debbie is the majority leader for New York City 4 5 Council District 49, pretty much around this neck of the woods. 6 7 COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: Yes, right across the 8 street. 9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, good to see you, Councilwoman, delighted. 10 COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: Good morning. 11 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Good morning. 12 13 COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: First up I want to say I am indeed honored to be able to present testimony before the 14 15 Chief Justice and such a distinguished body of jurist. I really would like to thank my council member 16 Rory Lancman for taking up the leadership of our legal 17 18 committee with something that's been determined by the City Council as a very important issue. 19 20 So, I say good morning to everyone. My name is Debbie Rose. I do represent the 21 49th District which is comprised of the North Shore of 22 23 Staten Island in the New York City Council and you are, in fact, in my district. 24

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I know it, I feel it.

25

COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: I'd like to congratulate you, Judge Lippman, and the Task Force for the tremendous work you have done to expand access to our justice system for all New Yorkers; conducting these hearings, producing reports on civil legal needs of New Yorkers and including millions of dollars for the provision of civil legal services in the budget of the Office of Court Administration for the last four years. These are extraordinary accomplishments.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: We have not witnessed these previously.

Second, I'd like to thank you for inviting me to testify today before this august tribunal on the civil legal needs of Staten Islanders, a topic of great importance to me, a legislator, and to the thousands of Staten Islanders in need who are my constituents.

I'd like to present to you today a snapshot of my district and the documented legal needs of my constituents.

In my District, you may not realize it, but Staten Island is a microcosm of the gorgeous mosaic of almost 500,000 least populous borough of New York City, however, it is the fastest growing borough in New York State with a larger population than the City of Atlanta. People who have moved to this area because of the less expensive rents, easy access to Manhattan and they have filled our neighborhoods to the limit.

The area I represent the North Shore of States.

The area I represent, the North Shore of Staten Island, is the boroughs oldest most densely populated area and it was established by the Indians back in 2100 B.C.

My North Shore has the boroughs most ethically diverse population.

It's oldest infrastructure and its densest housing.

The Park Hill section of my district is affectionately known as "Little Liberia" and has the largest Liberian population outside of Africa.

The neighborhood of Tompkinsville has the largest Sri Lankan population outside of Sri Lanka.

Indeed, the North Shore is home to many immigrants from African countries; Central and South American countries, India, Albania, China and Poland.

While much of New York City has recovered from the great recession from 2008 through 2009, it has not been an even recovery and the need for legal services for those still struggling to get by has remained stubbornly high.

For example 22.2 New Yorkers turned to food

stamps to get by in 2013, up 14.9 percent from 2008. 1 Unemployment was 7.3 in 2008 and today 2 3 7.9 percent. The percentage of those living below poverty 4 5 went from 18.4 percent in 2008 to 20 percent in 2012. Civil legal service attorneys provide critical 6 7 services to thousands of these low-income residents every 8 year, serving as a lifeline to many that, without their assistance, would otherwise have nowhere else to turn. 9 As an elected official, I know the provision of 10 civil legal services not only saves lives but saves money 11 12 as well. When someone does not have representation in 13 our complex legal system, they are often unsuccessful or 14 15 they give up out of frustration. Their unmet legal needs invariably take a toll on our local government and on the 16 taxpayers; from housing to medical care to education, the 17 18 long term costs of unrepresented individuals in our legal system touch all aspects of the community. 19 More than 3 million New York City residents 20 have incomes below 200 percent of the federal poverty 21

As a result this lack of funding for civil legal services, the Chief Judge's Task Force has

22

23

24

25

level. Yet 800 of the 800,000 lawyers in New York City

are dedicated to providing legal services to the poor.

consistently found that less than 20 percent of the legal needs of low income New Yorkers are being met.

Sadly, this is confirmed by my constituent services staff's time and, again, we struggle to find the assistance for my constituents, a certain kind of resources for low-income Islanders.

The need for legal services for Staten Island is large.

Last year the two major providers of free legal services on Staten Island, Legal Services NYC and Legal Aid Society handled 3,010 cases, assisting over 10,138 people.

The main areas they handled include housing, immigration, disaster relief and domestic violence which I will further discuss in my testimony.

But, I would really be remiss if I did not at least briefly mention other areas that we have seen in the need for medicaid health care benefits, especially for domestic violence victims, bankruptcy, consumer credit and credit areas championed by New York City and the stop credit check discrimination Bill A-57 because they are so critical for people who loss their jobs and/or become unemployed during the recession and are still struggling to get by.

Family law where there's virtually no legal

assistance for women who are not in domestic violence situations but struggle with child custody and payee issues.

Reentry to help people try to integrate into their community is especially important as there is so much information out there as to what happens when someone transitions from jail back into the general population is entitled to.

Meeting the legal needs of limited English language proficient clients disability rights which helps low income disabled children and adults get social security disability benefits saves the City and State millions of dollars.

Education law because we receive more and more calls from parents seeking help for their student in disciplinary proceedings and in implementing IAP.

Elder law, particularly in the area of elder abuse which advocates in my district have said is experiencing a sharp rise in numbers.

Employment law, another area championed by the City Council in legislation which I was pleased to sponsor such as the pregnancy discrimination bill, unemployment discrimination, bail; because in this difficult economy we are in, we are all in, we have experienced an increase of people being denied job

opportunities for improper reasons.

Then there is still the foreclosure prevention, because, according to recent reports the epicenter of the U.S. foreclosure crisis is shifting away from States like Florida and Arizona to New York and New Jersey with foreclosure filings in New York City increasing 30 percent in 2013.

And, its reached a three year high of 15,993. The parent representation because in the North Shore of Staten Island it has been documented that we have the highest percentage of children being taken away from their parents in all of New York City.

Prevention of low income housing -- I'm sorry, preservation of low income housing because we have a crisis of the lack of affordable housing on the North Shore, an area which is a high priority for the City Council and the de Blasio Administration.

Then there is unemployment insurance benefits which then become the lifeline for people who lost their jobs.

For the purposes of my testimony, I will focus in depth on the housing, immigration, domestic violence and disaster assistance.

Housing: Most housing problems on Staten

Island stem from a critical lack of affordable housing on

the Island which make tenants fearful of losing their home and thus, more vulnerable from abuse from the landlord.

Addressing the affordable housing crisis in New York City is an important issue.

Who better to address these issues than our civil legal services attorneys who have been in the trenches fighting for housing rights.

The landlord/tenant issues we see commonly in my office include the lack of apartment repairs and rodent and insect infestation. In SROs in Staten Island, the tenants are experiencing poor maintenance, dangerous and unsanitary living conditions.

Increasingly, we are seeing discrimination claims related to the refusal to rent to HIV/AIDS constituents who receive housing benefits.

The civil legal services funding does much to help address this imbalance.

Our constituents also in special housing have problems not just in the press for many months now documenting their problems, but our tenants work through the NYCHA system for repairs and concerns regarding their apartments.

Serious concerns common amongst my constituents are mold remediation because of the lack of repairs to

grow mold is a constant issue and it's a health and safety issue.

Appliance and repair/replacement, major equipment repair such as elevators, people who have disabilities I'm very sensitive to, those who cannot gain access to their apartments because elevators are out of repair for extended periods of times and safety and security concerns.

When NYCHA is slow to respond, legal assistance can make a tremendous difference.

For example, we have a constituent with constant mold issues. After two years we were able to have the building inspected and the cause of the mold was identified. The heating system had not be cleaned for years.

On their own, constituents are not often able to achieve results or and navigate the complicated NYCHA system.

Section 8: There are many basement apartments on Staten Island approved for Section 8 despite the fact they are not legally approved rentals.

When NYCHA inspects the apartment and finds an apartment uninhabitable, they discontinue the payment of the Section 8, the Section 8 payment, after which tenants are sued by landlords for non payment and evicted.

It is also common for landlords to demand additional money from Section 8 tenants, which they often pay, for fear of losing an affordable apartment.

In these and many other instances, legal assistance can make the difference between a home and homelessness for countless low-income New Yorkers.

The need of the immigrants in my district include federal issues such as naturalization, citizenship and deportation. But, also they include State Court issues such as discrimination based on immigrant status, particularly in the area of housing.

And, low income, immigrants, victims of domestic violence are particularly vulnerable to oppression, exploitation and abuse because of their immigration status.

Moreover, a lack of legal immigration status deter victims from reporting crimes or assessing civil legal services.

Immigrants also often lack proper employment credentials and are, therefore, more susceptible to exploitation by employers and lack access to health care and other essential benefits. Language barriers also impede access to justice.

The legal, economic and social barriers faced by immigrants in all of these areas can seem

insurmountable without effective tools, support and advocacy from civil legal service attorneys.

Domestic violence is a serious public health crisis in my District.

In 2013, there were 9,549 domestic incidents in the precincts in my council district. That's roughly 26 incidents everyday.

The Mayor's Office to combat domestic violence reports 56 percent of felony assaults which occur in my District were domestic violence related.

Overall, the Richmond County D.A.'s Special Victims Bureau has reported that 22 percent of the 13,000 crimes every year on Staten Island are domestic violence related.

Studies suggest access to legal services is critical in helping victims escape from abusive relationships and could decrease this number of victims by as much as 21 percent.

I don't need these statistics to inform you of this crisis in my community because I hear from constituents everyday. Just yesterday morning I received an email from a constituent pleading for help from a spouse who had isolated her and made her completely dependent on him.

In order for her and others like her to

successfully separate from abusive relationships, they
must utilize multiple diverse legal procedures, including
securing orders of protection against their abusers,
navigating complicated family law, waging child care
difficulties, custody disputes and accessing safe
housing.

Additionally, many domestic violence victims are financially dependent on their abuser and need assistance accessing public benefits and other supports so that they can support themselves when leaving an abuser.

Staten Island, as you know, was a big victim, hard hit by Super Storm Sandy which wreaked havoc especially on Staten Island.

Twenty-four Staten Island residents died as a result of the storm which is the largest death toll of all the boroughs.

Approximately 16 percent of the borough was inundated with flooding, approximately 75,000 residents.

The North Shore which many people didn't think -- didn't acknowledge suffered substantial damage from Hurricane Sandy, massive power outages, hundreds of downed trees and power lines.

Our businesses were primarily very hard hit, especially along Richmond Terrace and Bay Street.

Disaster survivors have complex legal needs in the wake of Sandy, legal service attorneys provided invaluable information on school transfers, transportation, and immigration and family matters related to lost documents. They required help filing FEMA disaster assistance, unemployment insurance claims, replacements of medication, assessment of health care needs, and assistance in obtaining food stamps and public assistance.

Ongoing advice on a variety of housing related subjects will be crucial including landlord/tenant relations, public housing, Federal Section 8 homeowner issues and foreclosure.

In addition, recovery could not have moved forward without small business assistance, including help obtaining loans provided by free of charge by legal service attorneys.

The Universal Declaration on Human Rights states the following:

Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well being of himself and his family, including food, clothing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in

circumstances beyond his control.

This statement, as comprehensive as it is, is a reflection of my fervent hope that some day New York City will be an exemplar of the standard for the entire world.

I have, I will continue to devote my life working making it so.

New York City is a City of extremes. It has the greatest disparities in income of any major United States city with the top 1 percent of the population getting 44 percent of the income of the City, a share nearly four times as great as 30 years ago.

It is crucial to our well-being as a society that all people regardless of their income, have efficacious access to the Courts.

When a significant portion of the population is denied access, a justice system, no matter how well structured, fails to achieve its most basic goal.

Therefore, I fully and wholeheartedly support the efforts of this Task Force to expand Access to Civil Legal Services in New York.

I look forward to continuing to work with you to insure all low-income New Yorkers have true access to your court system.

Thank you for taking your time to come today.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you so much.

1	We appreciate the testimony. I think you laid
2	out the breath of the problem so well, especially out
3	here on the Island.
4	Let me ask you a question in relation to legal
5	services here on Staten Island.
6	Is there good communication providers?
7	Do you know when people come in where to send
8	them? Is there a power back and forth between the
9	providers?
10	I know you need more resources, but with what
11	you have, are you able to tap into them to get people
12	help?
13	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: We have a wonderful
14	relationship with our legal services here.
15	If it were not for them, many of the
16	constituent issues that we have in my office everyday we
17	would not be able to address.
18	We are heavily reliant on our legal services to
19	help our constituents negotiate the legal waters and to
20	resolve their issues.
21	The problem is that there are just not enough
22	resources.
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We know.
24	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: And they are overwhelmed.
25	They are overwhelmed. They do an excellent job with the

1 resources that they have, but, the need is so much greater as I laid out. 2 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You did. 3 COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: In every, every area, there 4 5 is a need for my constituents to access legal services. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think you set it out so 6 7 well. 8 I think people don't realize that almost every 9 conceivable problem that comes up in a community has some 10 relevance to the legal system and needs representation or someone who talks the language of whoever your dealing 11 with, whether its the education bureaucracy or a landlord 12 or whatever it might be. There's one more question. 13 Do you think one of the issues we had at the 14 15 beginning of this endeavor that we kept to is that we set 16 the bar at 200 percent of poverty level, does that mostly 17 capture most of the people? 18 I know even the average middle class person has problems navigating the justice system, getting the 19 representation, but in terms of civil legal services, the 20 funding that we do get, does that capture most of the 21 people in the most desperate need, 200. 22 23 COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: Yes, I believe it does. But, there are still a number that go under the radar 24

because they're undocumented.

25

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes, of course.
2	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: They are afraid to come
3	forward.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We find them to give them
5	hope.
6	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: So, it's very difficult to
7	say that that's the finite number.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right, there's no hard
9	and fast rule.
LO	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: It's very general, a good
L1	range.
L2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Any questions?
L3	May I just say that I also had a lot of
L <b>4</b>	dealings with the navigators. I think that you point on
L5	what is bringing them into the system.
L6	I'm so glad. It's important for us to get the
L7	feed back.
L8	COUNCILWOMAN ROSE: We had to utilize them in
L9	medical in the medical area where found people having
20	difficulty with their benefits or having been unemployed
21	and/or losing their benefits and being told that they no
22	longer have coverage.
23	And, we've had issues where people have
24	actually had coverage were entitled to certain benefits
25	but were not given those benefits.

1	And it was through the navigators working with
2	them at in all the early stages bringing them in, telling
3	them what paperwork they have to have and making calls
4	that we were able to have successful outcomes.
5	So, I would like to see that program extended
6	when you look at its resources.
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Great. Thank you so
8	much.
9	The City Council is very well represented
10	today.
11	Thank you so much.
12	(Whereupon, Beth Cicero replaces Rosemary
13	Pfister as the Court Reporter at this time.)
14	(Continued on next page.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Reverend Doctor

Demetrius S. Carolina.

REV. DR. CAROLINA: Good morning.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Pleasure to see you today.

REV. DR. CAROLINA: My pleasure. Thank you so

much. I am pulling out might glasses because my eyes work at will.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay.

REVEREND DR. CAROLINA: Good morning and thank you for inviting me to address the Chief Judge and this distinguished panel of experts with regard to expanded access to civil legal services in New York.

I am executive director of the Central Family

Life Center, which seeks to improve the lives and
environment of Staten Islanders. Our program runs the gamut
of serving low income Staten Islanders. Our anti-gun

violence program, 49 Strong, is based on the Cure Violence

model that uses credible community members, some of which
have been involved in the criminal justice system and have
turned a new leaf to identify potential violence in the
community and to interrupt it at its root.

As part of that model, the City Council funded wraparound services, which includes mental health hospital legal services that are provided by the Legal Aid Society.

We also have a Re-Entry and Recidivism Prevention Program that supports our Cure Violence work which promotes strong interpersonal and familial relations, community engagement, economic self sufficiency and healthy living for accused and formerly incarcerated participants.

Our afternoon literary and tutoring programs serve a diverse population of students from nearby communities, many of whom speak English as a second language. We also have seniors programs in our center where we host instruction, outings, events and where seniors come and can gain access in terms of information on health, wellness, voting, housing and legal issues.

I am here today to testify to the importance of funding the civil legal services program and the need to increase funding to ensure that low income populations on Staten Island have access to legal services.

Staten Island is New York City's most under represented and often forgotten borough. We are connected to the rest of the boroughs by either ferry or bridge. We often feel isolated from the other boroughs. As a result, before, during and after Hurricane Sandy, we have had a lack of services on the Island available to our residents, especially low income residents of color.

Our low income residents are affected by the same issue as other low income New Yorkers such as poor

conditions in their apartments and eviction proceedings, as you've heard, difficulties in making payments to banks in order to keep their homes or victims of predatory lending from their mortgages and access to benefits such as public assistance, food stamps or health benefits like Medicaid and Medicare.

Staten Island has a large immigrant population community where individuals not only need assistance with navigating the federal immigration process, but in keeping their families together, they have a tremendous need for civil legal assistance. Our low income residents are equally confused by courts and other bureaucracies, as are other New Yorkers. And yet, yet issues of poverty and social justice inequity are exacerbated on Staten Island because we are isolated from the other boroughs often.

As a result, the need for civil legal services on Staten Island is heightened. We are grateful for the assistance provided by the civil legal services organizations on the Island, but it is not nearly enough to serve the low income communities. Hurricane Sandy struck the northeastern region on October the 29th, 2012, destroying homes and apartments, triggering massive power outages and flooding subways, stores and office buildings. The storm affected almost 300,000 New Yorkers and took 49 lives. It damaged over 27,000 homes, leaving 2.1 million

people without power immediately after the storm.

About 16 percent of Staten Island was inundated with flooding, impacting approximately 75,651 residents.

After Hurricane Sandy hit, several legal service organizations began providing disaster relief and legal assistance at shelters for homeless and displaced residents at the disaster centers and at community based organizations on Staten Island.

Many were concerned and confused about their access to federal benefits, insurance claims, housing and jobs. The poor were hardest hit by the storm. Legal service organizations, including the Legal Aid Society and Legal Services NYC helped desperate families whose lives were shattered by the storm to access benefits and programs. They have also greatly helped families with legal disputes that arose out of the devastation caused by the storm.

Two years after the storm, however, there are still many areas on Staten Island that have yet to be served. Low income residents of color on Staten Island are still trying to pull together their limited resources in an effort to rebuild communities. Without the expansion of the Legal Aid Society or other legal service organizations, those areas will remain underserved. An expansion of legal services for poor Staten Islanders is gravely needed so that needful support can be provided for underserved areas that

were mostly affected by the storm. The City Council, through its Task Force to Combat Gun Violence, identified a neighborhood in Staten Island that had a high rate of gun violence. The City funded the Central Family Life Center to bring to that neighborhood a Cure Violence model, which identifies and interrupts gun violence at its root.

As part of this City Council's program, we also receive wraparound services which include legal services from the Legal Aid Society. Through the Legal Aid Society's Community Development Project, which helps non-profits and small businesses, the center was able to fill to capacity and develop 49 Strong, saving lives, which is our anti-gun violence project.

Through the provisions of legal services, our nonprofit was able to better address social economic and social justice needs in the community through the Cure Violence initiative. The legal services offered by the Legal Aid Society also continued to help our community members who have been greatly affected by violence.

While the target area that our Cure Violence

Project has focused on and received great assistance, our

neighborhoods and communities and Staten Island have not yet

received the same benefits. The nature of Staten Island is

such that one area affects other areas, and that can be seen

through crime and violence. Our communities are

interconnected, and the assistance from the City Council has addressed only a small piece of a much larger issue on Staten Island.

All Staten Islanders affected by violence need resources, especially civil legal services in order to repair their communities. More civil legal services are needed to be able to assist all low income Staten Islanders. Our isolation and lack of access to services exacerbate the need of the low income people of color in our particular borough.

We ask that you do not forget about Staten Island in your provisions of legal services for the poor, and instead, expand civil legal services to low income Staten Islanders. Thank you so much for this opportunity.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, Doctor, for coming in. Let me ask you the same question I asked Councilwoman Rose. Do you have enough access to providers? In other words, you know where to go when you have a problem. It's not a question of we don't have enough resources there, but the communication is good between the center and the providers?

REV. DR. CAROLINA: I would say we have phenomenal access to the services that we now presently have and that the relationship between the central --

THE COURT: Good, strong?

REV. DR. CAROLINA: Extremely strong. And they may very well also tell you that they get tired of our calls, because we consistently rely on them for various needs.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you another question. If they don't come in to you, do they know where Legal Services is? Is it only through the middle man, so-to-speak, that they are able to find their way over there? Do people know where to go for Legal Services in Staten Island if they didn't come in to your particular program?

REV. DR. CAROLINA: I would argue that many are aware of Legal Services because of the work that they are doing, as the councilwoman has stated, you know, over 30,000 interactions. However, our program does provide certain clientele with information about access to Legal Services that they may not otherwise be aware of.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think we need the middle people. Don't get me wrong. But I wonder whether the average person just out there when they have a problem, if they are not going to some center or community organization or the council person's office, knows it exists, and I guess it's uneven. A lot of the people do and some don't.

REV. DR. CAROLINA: Some don't. Cultural people go where they are most comfortable, familiar with. And having

1	this information then allows us to then point them in the
2	right direction.
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. I know exactly.
4	Any questions? No?
5	Thank you, Reverend Doctor. Thank you for your
6	work.
7	REV. DR. CAROLINA: Thank you.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thomas Cunsolo, President
2	of the Staten Island Alliance.
3	MR. CONSOLO: Thank you, your Honors.
4	I'd like to really say how I appreciate you
5	guys giving us a chance with organizations to testify
6	here today.
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Delighted to have you.
8	MR. CONSOLO: I gave you a written statement,
9	but I do want to touch on a couple of things.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Sure.
11	MR. CONSOLO: In 2008 I had a problem with my
12	home. I had to face foreclosure myself.
13	If it wasn't for legal services, I wouldn't
14	have a home over my head today.
15	So, they were very instrumental for me keeping
16	a roof over my head.
17	Since Super Storm Sandy hit, what we've seen
18	are the grassroots organizations, people at the poverty
19	level were here, 2008 they moved here. Now, since the
20	storm, they're here.
21	We can see in the next coming years when the
22	flood insurance hits.
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes.
24	MR. CONSOLO: In 2015, 2016 and it doubles from
25	200,000 to 400,000, the problems that are going to arise

then are going to be worse than what it is today.

So, we feel that there is a need for this service and we need a lot more of it. That's what we're here to say today.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think you know the point, particularly with the grassroots organizations, we have to find ways to have our political leaders and governmental leaders understand the depth of the problem.

I think, particularly, it's difficult out on Staten Island when the other witnesses said you're a little bit removed from the rest of the City, the tendency is to kind of forget the need is too great out here.

And, I think it's very important that organizations like your's and the leaders of the different communities out here on the Island make sure that, again, our political government leaders across the City and State get it. Because, you know, there are so many priorities in our society and the City of New York, and, I think that sometimes we felt in the endeavor that we've been undertaking, that there's not quite an understanding of how essential civil legal services are to the average person.

Forget the people just at the poverty level to the middle class person, the people just getting along

having a job, you hit a legal problem like they want to 1 foreclose on you, the bank wants to foreclose on your 2 house, who do you go to, what do you do? 3 The legal service provider, generally, as you 4 5 indicated in your statement stands between you and something which would devastate the individual, that 6 7 family, and it's so important. 8 MR. CONSOLO: Because a regular homeowner doesn't understand the legal terminology, you could just 9 10 get so far without that. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You don't understand that 11 12 language. MR. CONSOLO: You don't understand their 13 language unless it's in laymen's terms and it's never put 14 15 in layman's terms. 16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I say this not in a 17 critical way, whoever holds the mortgage, I think what 18 happens is they have their own protocols. You call, you get 16 different answers; you get 19 20 a voice mail and you need someone who can get into the belly of the beast that looks at the language of the 21 bank, whatever the bureaucracy you're dealing with. 22 23 MR. CONSOLO: That's correct. 24 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think we need this

25

money desperately.

We're doing everything we can to increase the public funding, but, I think it's so good that you're here.

I think it's so good that all of the voices

I think it's so good that all of the voices here on the Island and the rest of our City and the State be heard. I think I said this before, that this is as important as the money we give to housing, to hospitals, to schools.

Legal representation is so critical in almost everything that people in our society care about, the problems they confront everyday.

MR. CONSOLO: To answer the question that you gave before, the testimony before me, it is hard for a regular person to --

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Find a place to go.

MR. CONSOLO: When we formed The Alliance, we were able to get the information out.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think you performed a great service.

On top of that, we were talking about in yesterday's hearing we did in Rochester, the problems are not that much different. Believe me, some of the notices that you get from different institutions, whatever they are, have something that you say, gee, if you have a problem, you know there are these people who help you

1	with legal problems and you can call them; and, just
2	maybe, maybe, maybe they can be the ones who will solve
3	your problem.
4	MR. CONSOLO: Correct.
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So thanks so much for
6	coming in.
7	Presiding Justice Eng.
8	PRESIDING JUSTICE ENG: Have you been able to
9	acquire in-house legal money, Staten Island Legal
10	Services?
11	MR. CONSOLO: We literally had them in the
12	house with us.
13	Since the storm, so many times they've been out
14	there on their own, not even legal services.
15	This storm devastated the Island like nothing
16	you've seen before. The way legal services came out for
17	the Island, if it wasn't for them, I don't know where we
18	would be right now to tell you the truth.
19	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think that's a story
20	that we hear from all of the areas devastated by Sandy.
21	The next two witnesses are also going to
22	address that issue.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE PRUDENTI: If you had some
24	difficulties, did you know where to go for legal
25	services?

1	MR. CONSOLO: No, it took me four years of
2	fighting by myself before I found the legal services when
3	I did.
4	Before I found them, I thought I had the
5	solution, going back and forth with the banks, came up
6	with a plan. But, I could see the plan was running out.
7	I had no legal aspect on how to fight that plan when it
8	runs out.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We heard the same
LO	testimony in Rochester yesterday from people in similar
L1	situations. They started to try to deal with it and it
L2	just never quite made it happen.
L3	MR. CONSOLO: What they do to you, they drive
L <b>4</b>	you insane to tell you the truth.
L5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: There has to be a point
L6	with all the legal services, to have a level playing
L7	field.
L8	MR. CONSOLO: Exactly.
L9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're not doing what
20	they're supposed to be doing, but you need someone who
21	understands it.
22	MR. CONSOLO: Legal services puts you on a
23	level playing field, without them, it wasn't even for
24	sure.

(Whereupon, Beth Cicero replaced Rosemary

25

## **Thomas Cunsolo**

```
77
1
        Pfister as the Official Court Reporter at this time.)
 2
 3
                   (Continued on next page.)
 4
 5
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Scott Primiano, the

President of the Insurance Advocates, Flood Direct National

Insurance Program and Steven G. Leventhal, Esq., Access to

Justice Program Chair; Nassau County Bar Association;

Leventhal, Cursio, Mullaney and Sliney.

Scott, do you want to start?

MR. PRIMIANO: Good morning. I am Scott

Primiano, President of The Insurance Advocates, an insurance brokerage and flood insurance specialty firm located in Amityville, New York.

It is an honor to present testimony today and to share a summary of the street level experiences encountered by homeowners and communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties that continue to this day as a result of Superstorm Sandy.

Since October 29, 2012, members of our team have worked closely with the Touro Law School Disaster Relief Clinic, New York Rising Field Offices and a myriad of community based non-profit organizations to provide pro bono guidance and hands-on assistance to those struggling to process their insurance claims, apply for assistance and return home.

We began to provide our work expecting to provide a few hours of pro bono assistance and advocacy each day for a few months. Almost immediately, our efforts to help became a full-time, seven-day per week mission and remain so to this day, working with over one hundred families still displaced or living in varying degrees of dilapidation.

We find the roads to proper indemnification and assistance clogged by complicated bureaucracy compromised by devious contractors and inundated with crafty storm chasers attempting to make money on the backs of our victims by providing false hope and empty promises of assistance.

Most vulnerable and most likely to be victimized by the system and those who prey on it are the elderly, uneducated, disabled and impoverished. Unlike those who have savings to fall back on, qualify for loans, have ample cash flow and are savvy enough to advocate for proper settlements, these segments of our community haven't the time, availability or resources necessary to successfully represent and protect their interests.

The common threads in all their stories are summarized as follows:

The insurance claims process. Filing a flood insurance claim necessarily requires a proper valuation of damage. During a catastrophic event such as Sandy, insurance adjusters are flown in from all corners of the country to evaluate the damage and open the claim. Often arriving prior to the full extent of the damage being realized by either the adjuster, homeowner or the tenants, the claim is opened with an understanding that undocumented damage can

and should be added to the claim at a later date. While more than a simple cursory inspection, it is far from a precise evaluation, as this initial visit by the claim adjuster is most often hurried and hectic. They have multiple homes to see in a very short period of time.

The adjuster has multiple properties to review in any given day, and the claimant is still in shock from the event and wondering how they are going to eat, sleep, wash, get to work and pull their family back together.

Unfortunately, this common scenario sets the stage for an undervalued and underpaid claim.

As more damage becomes apparent and missing items are noticed, claimants are required to provide documentation to substantiate the additional request in the form of receipts for additional work done, photographs, contracts with contractors, along with their estimates.

To abide, the claimant must then pay up front to have the damage repaired or replaced, hire a contractor that requires a down payment, and in the event of a dispute with the adjuster, choose between hiring an attorney, public adjuster or self-advocating their claim. Those with resources and the ability to wait out the elongated process, eventually end up with a more favorable settlement. Those who are more desperate and can't afford to hire anybody or even attract their attention nearly always fall victim to

settling for less. It is here pro bono legal representation and advocacy is most needed.

We had heard about the grant programs that are available, and although their intentions are fabulous and the people working behind the scenes are trying to do the best thing with what they have, they are also challenging for the group that I am talking about.

So in an attempt to fill the gap highlighted above and to provide help to those without any or proper insurance, federal state and local grant programs have been established. Though well-intended, these program are very limited in scope and often come with participation requirements that are unachievable for those at, near or below the poverty rate.

Local or community based programs most often appear immediately following a catastrophe and are mainly focused on blankets and bananas. This help is essential and much appreciated, however, it is not lasting and tends to be implemented rather randomly and haphazardly.

State programs take much longer to evolve and require an extraordinary level of documentation, time and patience to successfully participate in. Additionally, the programs currently in place, such as New York Rising, Build It Back and the Acquisition Program require the participants to incur significant up-front expenses, to pay for surveys,

environmental tests, architects, contractors and inspections prior to grants being released. Amounting to over \$10,000, these fees are simply not affordable and the process for applying too complicated for the vast majority of those who most need the help. They simply give up.

Federal programs such as FEMA assistance SBA

loans all require pre-qualification and come with mandates.

FEMA will replace a heating and hot water system but

requires a homeowner to purchase maximum flood insurance

limits and doesn't address other damage to the property.

SBA loans require good credit scores and sufficient income.

Our clients don't qualify. Residential assistance requires

a homeowner to find a place to live, come up with a down

payment and move there. Because of demand, rental

properties are extremely difficult to find and very

expensive. Our clients can't begin to qualify.

Though the intentions of the these programs are good and assistance welcome, they are designed with the average flood victim in mind and assume too much about the participants' ability to qualify for and comply with program requirements. Only by working with a pro bono advocate or attorney can a client break through to policy makers, be heard and have adjustments or exceptions made.

The last category is storm chasers. This is something that's been heard about in the press, certainly,

but I don't think the scope of the problem is clearly evident to everybody.

This breed of contractor, public adjuster and assistance provider feeds off the most impoverished and vulnerable homeowners and tenants who can't find or qualify for the help they desperately need. They show up at every catastrophic event, unlicensed and unaccountable, promise a quick, easy fix to whatever sufferings their victim is encountering. Promises range from removing mold and fixing a roof to getting more insurance or grant money. The victims of these scams are asked to provide a modest down payment and often sign a one-sided contract. Filled with hope that help has arrived and prayers might be answered, our victims scrape together what little money they have and sign on.

Then a nightmare ensues. Contractors disappear or does partial work before demanding more money to finish the job. The public adjuster attaches the already existing claim without ever presenting a claim for additional money or even contacting the insurance company. The private advocate makes a few calls, declares it is what it is and moves on.

In the absence of legal representation, an already bad situation becomes profoundly worse. One could argue that they should know better and should always

maintain a buyer-beware frame of mind. Our experience has shown under normal circumstances, our clients do know better. However, faced with a catastrophic loss and fading hope, desperate people will do desperate things.

Unfortunately, the scenarios presented are not incidental or mere anomalies. Instead, they are trends in commonalities. Those who can afford legal representation and legitimate advocacy can and do navigate the bureaucracy, receive better insurance settlements and avoid becoming victims of storm chasers. They can also afford to wait out the process with the knowledge that however annoying and frustrating it may be, dislocation is a temporary condition. Those who do not have access to proper representation and guidance end up hopeless and forlorn. As we have seen in other major storms such as Katrina, their displacement becomes permanent and their daily subsistence tenuous.

It has been two years since Sandy ravaged our communities, and for those two years, the only true hope our clients have had is being provided by the pro bono network of attorneys and legitimate advocates.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you for your testimony. I think it lays out the continuing problem a lot of people kind of are not so close to; think everything's gone away and no more issue.

Let me ask you a question for the future. I

think we struggled through the individual pain and suffering, certainly from our perspective of legal assistance. The great work done by providers, great work done by pro bono attorneys who jumped into the breach to try and deal with it.

What happens the next time? What do we have to do to be better prepared certainly from our perspective of this legal representation issue? What do we have to do?

Not that we are close to being out of the woods.

MR. PRIMIANO: Right.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But let's look forward.

What do we have to do next time to be prepared so people,

certainly in the area of legal assistance, get the help they

need?

MR. PRIMIANO: That's a great question. What we all learned I think throughout this process is proper assistance for catastrophic events is a three-legged stool. There is the insurance component, there is the legal component and then there is the contractor or construction component. I think we piecemealed it together this time. Collectively.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: By the seat of our pants.

MR. PRIMIANO: Exactly right. And not

pro-actively. After the fact.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right.

MR. PRIMIANO: So in preparation for the inevitable next storm or next event, a proper understanding of the legalities of both insurance contracts, FEMA guidelines, the flood insurance policy --

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No one was too conversive before all this, and you think after Katrina and all the other natural disasters --

MR. PRIMIANO: -- we'd know. By speaking from the insurance side, flood insurance is like the unknown policy. Nobody ever delves deeply into it. Brokers don't like it because it doesn't pay money. Nobody cares. They do care when it is too late to care.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Exactly.

MR. PRIMIANO: So knowing how the mechanics of the

-- whether it is a grant program, whether it is an insurance
policy or whether it is a construction program, knowing how
they work and knowing how they should work --

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: How they work together.

MR. PRIMIANO: And how they come together. So I envision -- and this is a vision -- but I envision aid at the next storm being somebody coming into -- whether it is a building or even a trailer -- and being able to sit in front of a panel of three representatives from those areas who absolutely know the proper advice to give and what the next steps are. If we can keep our victims moving down a process

and a path and help them organize themselves to that path, what we are creating is hope. Every step is a step closer to home. When it is random and haphazard, we get random and haphazard results.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I think at the very least, you think a lot of these -- I don't know what to call them -- organizations or groupings of people that had developed in the aftermath of this storm, maybe in some degree stay intact so when the next thing comes, we are not reinventing the wheel each time and have some people with experience and understanding of what it's all about.

And the legal area, I think you are entirely right; that a lot of us, even the practitioners -- this is an area that necessarily hadn't been greatly understood or focused on. We are going to talk a little bit about that with our next witness.

Thank you so much. Let's go to Mr. Leventhal.

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No one can say it better. Appreciate it. Thank you for coming. 2 Let's go to, Mr. Leventhal. Councilman 3 Leventhal. 4 5 COUNCILMAN LEVENTHAL: Thank you, Chief Judge Lippman, Presiding Judge Eng, Chief Administrative Judge 6 7 Prudenti, State Bar President Lau-Kee, ladies and 8 gentlemen. 9 I am honored to appear before you. I am Second Vice President of the Nassau County 10 Bar Association and Chair of the Association's Access to 11 Justice Committee. I am also a corporate director of the 12 13 Nassau/Suffolk Legal Services Committee. But, I am here only in my capacity as Chair to the Access To Justice 14 15 Committee. Our Association has over 5,000 members. It is 16 one of the largest suburban Bar associations in the 17 18 United States. Next month, we will host our fourth Annual Pro Bono Fair, at which Nassau County residents 19 20 will have an opportunity to consult with lawyers knowledgeable in a wide-range of legal disciplines and 21 fluent in a variety of languages. 22 23 The Nassau County Bar Association Commitment to 24 Justice for All has particularly demonstrated by the

regular and frequent legal consultation clinics at which

25

our volunteer attorneys provide advice and guidance to
Nassau County residents on issues regarding elder law,
bankruptcy law, mortgage foreclosure proceedings, and, of
course, issues related to recovery from the effects of
Super Storm Sandy.

My testimony today will address the critical need for free legal services in a coordinated response to natural disasters. However, my focus is not limited to the surge in need that occurred in the days, weeks immediately following the Storm.

Instead, I address the continued need for increased access for legal service providers that is still very much present almost two years later, and, there is no end in sight.

Our experience in responding to Sandy has heightened our awareness of previously unforeseen problems. Many homeowners encountered obstacles in obtaining urgently needed compensation from government programs and from insurance providers.

Many residents are still struggling with delays in the processing of claims, and with confusing denials when claims are finally processed. For many, Federal and State disaster relief remains elusive. For some, scarce resources were expended in lawsuits brought to compel payment from agencies or insurers.

Nassau County is densely populated in most areas. Many storm victims were unable to find adequate, affordable, temporary housing in proximity to their homes. Many had no alternative but to use personal funds previously allocated for mortgage payments to pay the cost of temporary housing or to protect their storm-damaged home from further deterioration and to start the process of rebuilding.

As a result many of these, homeowners now face foreclosure.

To make matters worse, some unscrupulous contractors took money from storm victims and then disappeared without performing, or in other cases, without completing the work. Other contractors were unaware of new regulations regarding the elevation of homes and performed their work inadequately.

Many homeowners are still struggling to recover from their losses and to restore their family to normal lives.

Recently FEMA has requested that some homeowners refund benefits that the agency claims overpaid. We believe that some errors in payment may have occurred due to bureaucratic inefficiency, incomplete documentation and other inadvertence, all of which may have been avoided or minimized if applicants

had wider access to legal representation.

Many storm victims had never before needed to seek government assistance and had no idea where to start. Some had claims that were complicated by inadequate documentation of general transfers of ancestral homes and the need to process applications for probate or administration.

In some cases, the program established to provide revitalization assistance to storm-damaged communities, the New York Rising Community Reconstruction Program, has declined to release funds to homeowners in foreclosure, while the mortgagee refuses to offer loan modifications until the houses are repaired. This results in a standstill that has frustrated both sides in the foreclosure proceeding and promoted inefficiencies in the Courts.

The Nassau County Bar Association has been able to provided assistance to storm victims through free clinics and by collaborating with providers such as Nassau Suffolk Legal Services in a jointly sponsored landlord/tenant Attorney Of The Day Project, and the Nassau County Coalition against domestic violence in a collaborative panel of matrimonial attorneys.

At our clinics we engage in a form of legal triage, helping homeowners make preliminary

determinations as to whom they should contact, what documents will be required, whether it is likely they will be able to meet their burden of proof, and what array of options may be available to them. Through Our Bridge Over Land Divides program, known by its acronym BOLD, we arrange for the participation of attorneys fluent the Spanish, Korean, Haitian, Creole, Russian and Urdu languages, among others.

On September 8, 2014, the Nassau County Bar Association held it's 108th clinic.

In the past five years it provided assistance to more than 8,000 residents. Two years since Super Storm Sandy, we assisted over 3,500 storm victims other than foreclosure. Uncounted others over the telephone, through email and as walk-ins.

When disaster struck, the infrastructure already in place for our mortgage foreclosure clinics enabled us to mobilize attorneys quickly, and to deploy them at the home of the Association and also at offsite locations to assist storm victims in the most heavily devastated areas of Long Beach and Freeport.

However, despite these efforts and the effort of our partner-providers, Nassau County residents continue to struggle with a myriad of challenges, including private insurance denials, disputes

unscrupulous incompetent contractors, landlord-tenant issues, bankruptcy and problems related to the stresses placed on their families. It appears now that the need will continue

indefinitely and so will our free legal clinics. However, many difficult legal problems are unresolvable through clinic consultations. Legal service providers are essential partners in the relief process, working to meet the enormous need and handling litigation for eligible clients among other things.

In sum, there is a permanent continuously evolving need for adequately funded legal service providers as so many struggle to return home and restore their lives.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you.

First of all, we commend the Nassau County Bar Association for all of your good deeds. Good work.

I think more testimony illustrates the need for a partnership between legal service providers and the Bar in it's pro bono efforts.

I think, working together, we can move mountains, plenty of mountains to move especially when you have a natural event like Sandy.

I think you've been terrific.

What's left to be done? I want to make clear

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	<b>71</b>
1	how appreciative we are of the Bar's efforts.
2	The Nassau County residents continue to
3	struggle. The Bar is illustrative of the good deeds of
4	lawyers who understand there's a responsibility is fine.
5	Everyone has to earn their own livelihood, but, they have
6	responsibility to those who need help.
7	COUNCILMAN LEVENTHAL: Thank you, Judge.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you both.
9	(Whereupon, Beth Cicero replaced Rosemary
10	Pfister as the Official Court Reporter at this time.)
11	(Continued on next page.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Our last three witnesses are clients of legal service providers. I'd ask Shaun Little, client of the Legal Aid Society, accompanied by Judith Goldiner, Taiwo Osinaike, client of Legal Services NYC, accompanied by Rachel Hannaford and Diego Parra, client of Legal Services NYC, accompanied by Stephanie Taylor to come up.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: When I say accompanied, I don't mean literally accompanied. They are here with them. They are going to testify on their own. Let's talk start with Shaun Little.

MS. LITTLE: Good morning. My name is

Shaun Little. I live in Staten Island. I am a survivor of

Superstorm Sandy. Before the storm, my family and I lived

in Averne, Queens. My house in Averne was five feet under

water during Sandy. I have lost everything.

Before the storm, I was a home health aide.

After the storm, it was very difficult for me to find and keep jobs. I was first placed in a mass shelter with my husband, daughter, disabled son and disabled foster child.

At the shelter, I ran into one of my former clients, a developmentally disabled woman who had also lost everything in the storm. The City was threatening to place her into an institution, so I took her in with my family. We were then transferred to the Manhattan Inn, a hotel in Times Square.

In April of 2013, I learned the City was threatening to kick me and my family and 488 other families onto the street even though we had no where to go.

I contacted Judith Goldiner at the Legal Aid Society. The Legal Aid Society, along with pro bono counsel Weil Gotshal, brought a suit against the City. They stopped the City from terminating the hotel payments and working with New York Disaster Interfaith Services, they continued the hotel program until all 488 families had permanent housing.

The Legal Aid Society helped me obtain a federal funding housing voucher. When I found an apartment on Staten Island, Legal Aid help me get furniture, moving expenses and first month rent. I now have a new job, and my children and foster child are in school. My older daughter is in college.

I am very grateful that the Legal Aid Society agreed to help us. Without them, my family would not have a safe place to sleep at night, and it would be very difficult for me to keep a job and for my children to go to school and college. However, I know that there are still many families who are struggling to obtain housing.

The Legal Aid Society is an incredible resource to New Yorkers like me who experience difficult times.

Without the Legal Aid Society and other civil legal services

1	programs, families will have no where to turn when the next
2	disaster strikes. So I am here to support continued and
3	increased funding for civil legal services in New York.
4	Thank you.
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. I think your
6	story demonstrates how legal services saves lives, saves
7	families and pulls them together.
8	MS. LITTLE: Yes.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And keeps you in a time
10	when you don't know what to do or who to go to. I think it
11	is an inspired story. You sound good, and you've been
12	through an ordeal.
13	MS. LITTLE: Yes.
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And here you are. Thank
15	you.
16	MS. LITTLE: I survived, yes.
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks so much for coming
18	and telling your story.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Taiwo Osinaike. Did I pronounce it, right?

MS. OSINAIKE: Yes.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. OSINAIKE: Good morning. My name is
Taiwo Osinaike. I live in East New York, Brooklyn. I would
like to talk about how much Legal Services New York City and
South Brooklyn Legal Services has helped me and my three
children over the past two years. Being a survivor of
domestic violence has made life hard in every way. When my
husband left our home, it was not just an emotional problem.
It became a legal problem as well when I could not afford
the rent and my landlord started two evictions against me.
I did not anticipate how much I would go through in order to
stay in my home with my three children.

I am a home daycare provider. I do not make a lot of money. After my husband left our apartment, I qualified for a Section 8 subsidy, but my landlord keep delaying in signing me up. The people in the landlord's office treated me very badly. They asked me to bring in paperwork that I had already submitted. They told me my Section 8 will start but did not start. Meanwhile, I could not keep up with the rent and the bills kept piling up. They took me to court, and I did not have a lawyer, so I signed a stipulation agreeing to pay over \$8,000 because I did not

know my rights and I was afraid I would be put out of my home, but I did not know how I was going to get the money.

Then the people in the landlord's office told me that I could not run the daycare out of my home. They started another eviction case against me. I did not understand this because I was fully licensed. I reached out to Legal Services New York City because I needed a lawyer's aid. Luckily, Miss Richard Hannaford at South Brooklyn Legal Services became my attorney.

for the better. She made sure the landlord understood that they could not kick me out for running a daycare out of my apartment. She made sure that the stipulation I signed was not enforced. She even came with me to the landlord's office to meet with the manager about my Section 8.

Finally, after over a year weight, I got the
Section 8 subsidy. After I got Section 8, Miss Rachel
negotiated with the landlord to lower the amount of rent I
owed. She got me assistance from the City and from charities
to pay back the rent. It was such a relief to have someone
fighting on my side. All of the stress that the landlord
and my family situation caused was finally gone the day
Miss Rachel told me we had paid the landlord the back rent
and both eviction cases were over.

I know that without the help of a Legal Services

lawyer, I would have been evicted. I am very grateful for the assistance of Legal Services in New York City and South Brooklyn Legal Services. They helped me get through a very hard time, and now, me and my children feel happy and safe in our home. I wish that everyone in my situation would also be able to get help from Legal Services.

Thank you so much.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you. You feel the same, that Legal Services changed your life?

MS. OSINAIKE: Yes. I was thinking I am going to be on the street or in the shelter. We had a very hard time, but thank God for His glory. Everything.

we have from all of you, nothing could better demonstrate what legal services means to the people for facing the problems that we all face in life. Sometimes we need a helping hand. Particularly with legal issues that you are not prepared to deal with. So thank you so much for coming in.

MS. LITTLE: Thank you.

MS. OSINAIKE: Thank you.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, now we're going to 1 ask Diego Parra, you're our last witness. We saved the 2 best for last. Go ahead. 3 MR. PARRA: Thank you very much. 4 5 Good morning everyone. First, of all on behalf of my family, myself, I 6 7 want to thank free legal services for the help and for 8 the change that they made in my life. 9 I'm here today to tell you why I believe more legal services are needed to support free legal services. 10 I am a client of free legal services. I am 11 also a Board member of Legal Services in New York City. 12 13 In my role as client and Director, I have seen how important free legal services is for poor people. 14 thank that Task Force like Queens Legal Services, a 15 branch of Legal Service NYC. 16 When I came to Queens legal services, I was 17 18 very uncomfortable talking about what happened in my I was very scared. My immigration status was 19 going to expire and my marriage was in trouble. 20 I was going to lose my child and have to leave 21 afraid. 22 the United States. I was close to my deportation letter. 23 Stephanie, my lawyer, tells me to talk about everything that happened in my life. 24 25 At that time I was living by myself, I have

nobody to talk about what's happening to me.

I finally, on the second week, I decided to open up and told her that I had been victim of domestic violence, very bad, happens to males, problem very hurtful to express out. It is hard to believe that you go through that situation. I suffered, not only mental but physical abuse. I believe mental is stronger because it blocks you from taking actions and looking for help.

It was really helpful for me to talk about it. Stephanie, my lawyer, encouraged me to talk about everything happening in my life. She told me it was one year's work. She finally told me to get confidence in myself. She let me know I can continue, that in my life I can move on by myself. I began the final healing.

In a few years papers were filed for me to remain in the United States and fight for my child. I had two cases, one for domestic violence in family court. I was fighting for custody for my child and for my immigration status.

Over the next few years, I went from losing my immigration status to becoming a citizen.

I am now a student at Baruch College studying finance and investments with a minor in law and policy.

My child is now age seven, and I am so proud to be a father. I'm proud also to give a speech to my school for

my situation when I was there. I've been at the top of students twice. My son, more than seven times at age seven because I make clear to him that school is extremely important for people to succeed in life.

Free legal services help people. They care.

They help and they care. They have changed the life of the family for me and for the families of everyone who could be helped.

My goal is to have legal services for the whole community.

Not only do we have a fantastic lawyer like Stephanie but we have a whole team who also help you receive legal assistance, help with benefits.

If we had more lawyers like Stephanie, everyone's struggle would be so much less.

I want to thank my two angels in the room, they are Stephanie Taylor and Jana Morace. They gave me their hand and they walked me through the whole process and they saved my life.

I want to read these are words from President

Obama last week who says -- give me one second this is

very important -- he said: I chose him to serve as

attorney general because he believes as I do that justice

is not just an abstract theory, it's a living and

breathing principle.

In this type of conversation, principles of life for the people who need it the most.

Thank you so much.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you much.

Certainly, the whole purpose of this hearing is to get the people to understand this is not some distant thing that you can't touch or feel. It's something that everyone has a right to equal justice is what our society is all about. Having legal services here, it's almost like a friend or family, I think, someone you can talk to and get some help from on the very essentials of life. You have to know it's so important, so critical that everyone has a right to do, has a right to the basic essentials of life, that we all need to have.

I thank all of you. Our three last witnesses were so terrific. Thank you all of you for attending the hearing. I recognize that we've heard today from our government, from the Commissioner of Human Resourses, from our two City Council representatives who are so terrific, from the D.A.s Office, from community leaders, from people who have worked so hard in relationship to the problems coming out of Hurricane Sandy, Super Storm Sandy.

All of them have a common message. I think it's legal services in that message, is that legal

services are critical to our community, to our society and that we can't rest, none of us can, and particularly, we in the judiciary and the legal profession understand that we can't rest until the idea of equal justice is a reality for each and every person in our community and our society.

And you've all helped, I think, to get that message across.

What we're going to do is take the information that we got from these hearings.

We have one more hearing left to go in Albany next week and we will digest it, make a record of it and then use it as a resource to get the additional resources everyone agrees for all people in different walks of life all over our City and State.

I thank Staten Island and Judge McMahon and the Judges here for allowing us to hold a hearing.

I think it's a perfect setting.

So many problems across the State as evident here in the Second Department. The testimony from Staten Island and Brooklyn and Queens and Nassau and Suffolk, these are problems we have to hit straight on.

Rest assured, we will continue to confront the justice gap in this City, in this State head on. You all helped us to do that.

	106
1	Thank you so much, especially to our three last
2	witnesses.
3	Thank you.
4	(Whereupon, the proceeding closed at this
5	time.)
6	
7	
8	ROSEMARY M. PFISTER, RPR, CSR, Senior Court
9	Reporter, in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the
10	best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	(Certification valid only when signed in blue ink)
12	
13	hosenayn Stuter
14	
15	ROSEMARY M. PFISTER, CSR, RPR
16	OI ST
17	
18	2-180
19	Beth S. Cicero
20	
21	BETH CICERO, CSR, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
22	SUPREME COURT-RICHMOND COUNTY
23	
24	
25	